Singapore Management University

Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University

Research Collection Yong Pung How School Of Law

Yong Pung How School of Law

7-2016

Brexit giving shared sovereignty a bad name

Tan K. B. EUGENE Singapore Management University, eugene@smu.edu.sg

Follow this and additional works at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sol_research

Part of the Asian Studies Commons, and the Political Economy Commons

Citation

EUGENE, Tan K. B.. Brexit giving shared sovereignty a bad name. (2016). *Today*. 12-13. Available at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sol_research/3864

This News Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Yong Pung How School of Law at Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Collection Yong Pung How School Of Law by an authorized administrator of Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. For more information, please email cherylds@smu.edu.sg.

LESSONS FOR ASEAN

Brexit giving shared sovereignty a bad name

FUGENEKETAN

The United Kingdom's divorce from the European Union (EU) may well be the tipping point for European regionalism. If the EU poorly manages the UK's exit, or Brexit, it may presage the slow decline and grow-ing irrelevance of the EU in the global also holds lessons for the Association of South-east Asian Nations (Asean).

The tragedy of Brexit is the pro-found misunderstanding of sover-eignty. The concern now is the risk of populist exit contagion gaining trac-tion in other EU member states, all in the name of sovereignty and democratic choice.

To further inter-state coopera-tion, the EU operates on pooled sovereignty, in which decision-making powers are shared among member states. This is to be contrasted with unanimous decision-making, which gives states the right to unilaterally veto decisions that they disagree with. Despite the intrinsic appeal of

unanimous decision-making, states may pool sovereignty to reduce the likelihood of gridlock. This does not detract from their remaining inde-pendent sovereign nations. Instead, they are able to collectively gain and exert influence that none of them could have had on their own. On the value that EU provides to

member states, Oxford University's Jacques Delors Professor of Euro-pean Law, Stephen Weatherill, puts it well: "States give up a degree of

power to act unilaterally so that they may participate in the deployment of a collective problem-solving capacity that is a great deal more effective. Re-sources of power are not finite: Act-ing through the EU expands the sum of State powers so it becomes greater than its parts." Professor Weatherill adds that the

EU also seeks to "tame" states' his-torical capacity to cause harm to each other. The EU's rules and institutions do not replace, still less suppress, the several different locations of political authority across Europe. Rather, they create "a credible set of reciprocally undertaken commitments designed to make real promises to solve problems their citizens expect to see solved, and by preventing them from inflicting external harm"

of law at the School of Law, Singapore Management University. The creation of a single EU mar-

Eugene K B Tan is

ket for goods and services is a good example. The single market demonstrates that EU member states value the benefits of the collective abolition of trade barriers in favour of competition and efficiencies. This is an inte-

gration model of sorts for Asean. Not-so-successful initiatives are the free movement of EU nationals within the EU and the creation of a shared currency, the euro. They prematurely shifted regional integration from a free trade area to a broader so-cial and economic union, which is an overreach given the disparities with-in the EU. In turn, this necessitated in the name of legal harmonisation-instituting more regulations by an unpopular command-and-con-trol bureaucracy in Brussels, and the making of a supra-national state with CONTINUED ON PAGE 13



The Europear

bureaucracy

in Brussels PHOTO REUTERS

CONTINUED FROM PAGE 12 institutions such as a regional judici-

The European Commission headquarters in Brussels last week. Some of the EU's initiatives ary and legislature. What can Asean learn from Brexit? Asean is organised quite differ-ently from the EU. For one, there is no pooling of sovereignty. Instead, the EU's initiatives necessitated more regulatio by an unpopula command-and-control Asean Charter, the grouping's consti-tutional text, speaks of a normative, desired state of inter-state governmentality and cooperation.

Despite its fair share of difficulties and disagreements, Asean is a fair-ly cohesive and successful regional grouping. Next year marks the gold-en jubilee of its founding in 1967. It has engendered intra-regional amity and comity within South-east Asia by nurturing a culture of mutual respect and accommodation among member states. This has led to the self-congratulatory mantra that "no two Asean member states have ever gone to war

with each other". However, the past is not a reliable guide to the future should Asean be-come increasingly fragmented, or if unilateral, self-interested assertions of sovereignty take on ascendency This is not far-fetched in light of China's rise as a regional hegemon that is keen on bilateral rather than multilateral arrangements, especially over territorial claims in the South China territorial claims in the South China Sea. On another front, several Indone-sian ministers have objected to Singa-pore's transboundary haze pollution law on the grounds that it violates In-donesia's sovereignty. Assean has facilitated regional eco-paried deagement hypermetiling e. etc.

nomic development by providing a sta-ble regional security environment. Asean members are realistic that their community-building will not be as intensive and extensive as the EU's. The diversity of history, culture, poli-tics, language, religion and economic development constrains Asean from being integrated like the EU. The abiding demand for and long-

standing understanding of the sover eignty norm in Asean has resulted in a policy of non-interference and a con-sensual approach to decision-making. sensual approach to decision-making. While this is pragmatic, the "Asean Way" has been criticised for its failure against recalcitrant member states, such as in Myanmar and the abuse of human rights there when it was under military rule. However, Asean is coming to grips

with the limitations of traditional sov-ereignty. The Asean Charter seeks to create a rules-based organisation. Increasingly, the principle of "responsible sovereignty", which enjoins states to take responsibility for the external effects of their domestic actions, is gaining currency. This broader conception of sovereignty entails obligations and duties towards other sovereign states as well as to one's own citizens. Sovereignty recognises that states

remain the primary actors in the in-ternational system. Responsibility, however, highlights the need for cooperation among states, rather than unilateral action, to better meet the most fundamental demands of sover-eignty, which is the protection of their people and the advancement of their

interests. Brexit vividly demonstrates Interests. Brexit vividiy demonstrates that deeper regional cooperation is only possible when there is domestic buy-in and legitimacy. Regional inte-gration must ultimately benefit and be seen to benefit the masses.

As Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong said of Brexit: "The desire to disen-gage, to be less constrained by one's partners, to be free to do things en-tirely as one chooses, is entirely under-standable. And yet in reality for many countries disengaging and turning inwards will likely lead to less security,

wards will likely lead to less security, less prosperity and a dimmer future." Sovereignty is a double-edged sword. If misused, it would do more harm than good in our increasingly in-terdependent world. Unilateral state action is grossly inadequate in deal-ing with major issues such as climate change, financial market regulation, terrorism and migration. Sovereignty must galvanise the human desire to cooperate in enlightened self-interest for the greater good.

× PID WORLD BREXIT WAS A WAKE-UP CALL FOR ASEAN, SAYS INDONESIAN MINISTER 22