### Singapore Management University

## Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University

Research Collection Yong Pung How School Of Law

Yong Pung How School of Law

12-2016

# 2016 - A tumultuous year of the revolt against the elites

Tan K. B. EUGENE Singapore Management University, eugene@smu.edu.sg

Follow this and additional works at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sol\_research



Part of the Asian Studies Commons, and the Science and Technology Law Commons

#### Citation

EUGENE, Tan K. B.. 2016 - A tumultuous year of the revolt against the elites. (2016). Business Times (Singapore). 20-20.

Available at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sol\_research/3855

This News Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Yong Pung How School of Law at Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Collection Yong Pung How School Of Law by an authorized administrator of Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. For more information, please email cherylds@smu.edu.sg.

Publication: The Business Times, p 20

Date: 21 December 2016

Headline: 2016-A tumultuous year of revolt against the elites

# 2016 – A tumultuous year of revolt against the elites

To bring trust back into the relationship between those in power and masses, governments need to purposively deal with people's resentments, fury and fears. BY EUGENE KB TAN

N the annals of history, 2016 will probably be remembered as the year of the populist revolt against the elites, against the backdrop of bewildering disruptions and an abiding sense of displacement and control accentuated by technological ad-

and control accentuated by technological advancements.

Anti-establishment figures and movements have triumphed over the establishment and the elites (notwithstanding that "elites" and "establishment" can be lazy labels as they generalise and stereotype complex situations into simplistic categories): consider Britain's vote to leave the European Union or Brexit, Rodrigo Duterte's election as the Philippines' president and Donald Trump's election as America's 45th president.

president.

The critical challenge is to bring trust back The critical challenge is to bring trust back into the core of the relationship between those in power and the masses. The gradual erosion of trust and confidence between the government and the people is often insidious, culminating in a democratic revolt through the ballot boxes.

Adroitly identifying the genuine concerns and to purposefully deal with the angst, anger and anxieties is a key task of governance in

and anxieties is a key task of governance in such unsettling times.

At one level, this entails that governments ensure that the markers of globalisation – such as open markets, immigration, and trade and investment policies – not only work but are also shown to work for the benefit of the masses. This requires that the benefits of globalisation be shared as widely and as equitably as pos-

be shared as widely and as equitably as possible.

In addition, the downsides of globalisation, whether it's income and wealth inequality, wage stagnation, job insecurity and loss of jobs, are minimised and mitigated where possible.

Mr Trump's election is perhaps the loudest wake up call to right-thinking governments and politicians the world over to ponder how governance and politics can bring out the best in people rather than eliciting visceral reactions, such as xenophobia, ractism and misogyny, among the population.

Instead of being the vanguard of democratic governance, American politics is gridlocked, rendered dysfunctional through zero sum ideological battles. To compound matters, politics

rendered dysfunctional through zero sum ideo logical battles. To compound matters, politics is populated by a coterie of elites and uber-rich who seem bent on self-preservation and furthering vested interests, undermining the fragles social compact that binds American society. Although Singaporeans can take comfort that our domestic context is very different from that of many liberal democracies, we are by nomeans immune from such divisive forces, which can easily spiral out of control once unleashed.

hat of many liberal democracies, we are by no means immune from such divisive forces, which can easily spiral out of control once unleashed.

Singapore is a poster child of globalisation work for us. Our geopolitical fate compels us to be an open economy, with trade, foreign investments and immigration as essentials.

Yet, the vagaries and sharp end of globalisation in content and immigration as essentials.

Yet, the vagaries and sharp end of globalisation in the same extential in the content in the content of the content in the content of the content of the content in the content of the content of



minority on the fringes. Given that Singaporeans have known only one government since 1959, the odds are that any unhappiness would fairly or otherwise – be pluned on the ruling party, the institutions, the elites and their erstwhile policies. Singapore has not had a prolonged economic downumm nor a crisis thus far. For a relatively sheltered and depoliticised polity, how would we fare in a severe test of wills?

polity, how would we fare in a severe test of wills?

For many economies, dealing with the income and wealth inequality is critical in avoiding a deep divide between the haves and the have-nots, the elites and the non-elites, the establishment and the masses. The aim is to prevent a widespread sense of dispossession, disenfranchisement and despondency from developing that would ultimately result in an tax versus them' mindset within societies, even those with long histories.

The establishment and the elites constitute a minority in any society. As such, how a society remains cohesive even as the benefits of globalisation accrue unevenly across a population is the critical challenge for governance. Governments need to be sensitive and responsive to the concerns of the average citizen, particularly when the latter encounters doldrums in their economic livelihoods.

Governance for the benefit of the people needs to be brought back as the raison detre of political, economic and corporate leadership. There is also the urgent need to nurture a discerning electorate so that the forces of nativism, authoritarianism, misogyny and racism will not rear their ugly heads when times are bad

nativism, authoritannism, imaging, imag

laws, institutions, policies and fiscal measures contribute towards shared, equitable economic growth while also endowing people with an adequate sense of control over their individual destinies.

It is ironic that US President Barack Obama in his final speech at the United Nations General Assembly in September this year said "those trumpeting the benefits of globalisation have ignored inequality." The path forward 'starts with making the global economy work better for all people and not just for those at the top!.

Amid the keen contestation for power, politics must also be the central means to solve problems, prepare a society for future challenges and build a cohesive nation. This requires that trust be the currency of politics, and the heart and soul of political leadership must be to steadfastly uphold the onerous responsibility of serving for the greater good.

Unless those virtues are imbibled and manifested, democratic politics and elections can easily degenerate into demagoguery with profound consequences for elites and non-elites allike.

The writer is associate professor of law at the Singapore Management University School of Law