Singapore Management University

Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University

Research Collection Yong Pung How School Of Law

Yong Pung How School of Law

1-2018

Proposed law must balance effectiveness and liberties

Tan K. B. EUGENE Singapore Management University, eugene@smu.edu.sg

Follow this and additional works at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sol_research



Part of the Asian Studies Commons, and the Internet Law Commons

Citation

EUGENE, Tan K. B.. Proposed law must balance effectiveness and liberties. (2018). Straits Times. A16-A16.

Available at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sol_research/3832

This Editorial is brought to you for free and open access by the Yong Pung How School of Law at Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Collection Yong Pung How School Of Law by an authorized administrator of Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. For more information, please email cherylds@smu.edu.sg.

Publication: The Straits Times, Pg A16

Date: 16 January 2018

Headline: Proposed law must balance effectiveness and liberties

Proposed law must balance effectiveness and liberties

Eugene K. B. Tan

For The Straits Times

The threat of deliberate online The threat of deliberate online falsehoods, or more popularly fake news, has to be taken seriously. Other countries have suffered coordinated attempts by foreign state actors or other organisations to manipulate public opinion and influence elections, undermine social cohesion and even incite violence. We cannot discount similar attempts by unfriendly countries or organisations to burn or the property of the countries or organisations to burn or the countries or the

similar attempts by unfriendly countries or organisations to hurt Singapore and Singaporeans. In Singapore, existing laws to deal with the spread of such insidious information, such as the Sedition Act and the Penal Code, may be inadequate as they tend to kick in only after the deliberate falsehoods have been disseminated. They are also not equipped to effectively thwart online falsehoods propagated by local or foreign players as part of a

larger conspiracy to subvert our society.

Given existing gaps, there may need to be a dedicated law to deal with this evolving threat. Last week, Parliament resolved to establish a Select Committee to tashbish a Select Committee to false hoods and to recommend how we can better tackle the sourge. This follows the release of the Government's Green Paper on the same subject a week earlier.

As the issue of fake news and online misinformation is rather broad, it would be practical for the Select Committee to focus on one aspect of fit: that is, the spread of false or misleading information resulting from a coordinated effort whether as a matter of statecraft or for the purpose of making profits. This sends a clear signal that any law to be considered is targeted and will be used against those mounting deliberate, mischievous efforts to sway Singapore, rather than at individuals inadvertently spreading inaccurate information.

third-party users in propagating falsehoods using their services. If such platforms are shielded from legal liability, they may be less responsive to the harms posed

To be clear, the battle against fake news is not a zero-sum game where triumphing over falsehoods requires the curbing of fundamental freedoms. On the contrary, freedom of speech and expression can help ensure that bad speech and falsehoods are decisively exposed for what they are.

by deliberate online falsehood campaigns. But if too onerous a burden is placed on media platforms, there will be a detrimental impact on the growth of online services and their being an important means of upholding freedom of expression.

To be clear, the battle against fake news is not a zero-sum game where triumphing over falsehoods requires the curbing of fundamental freedoms. On the contrary, freedom of speech and expression can help ensure that bad speech and falsehoods are decisively exposed for what they are.

falsehoods are decisively exposed for what they are.

As such, any law must delicately behave the interests of protecting the home from while also ensuring the home from while also ensuring the home from while also ensuring the home from the law for the surface of the law for the l

the threat is neutralised. There is also a need for judicial oversight to constitute a key plank of any proposed law, including judicial review as well as independent or judicial supervision before more severe powers are exercised. This helps ensure that executive decisions, including those made in moments of crisis, can be subject to scrutiny.

moments of crisis, can be subject to scrutiny.

Laws are an important means to deal with the threat of disinformation but they are by no means the panacea. There is the continual need to bolster Singaporeans' information literacy so that they do not succumb to fake news in the first place. Correspondingly, it is vital to develop trust and confidence in key information and news sources such as newspapers, television and radio stations.

stopinion@sph.com.sq

Source: The Straits Times @ Singapore Press Holdings Limited. Permission required for reproduction