Singapore Management University

Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University

Research Collection Yong Pung How School Of Law

Yong Pung How School of Law

9-2018

Signposting as a principle in lawmaking

Seow Hon TAN Singapore Management University, seowhontan@smu.edu.sg

Follow this and additional works at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sol_research



Part of the Legal Education Commons

Citation

TAN, Seow Hon. Signposting as a principle in lawmaking. (2018). Straits Times. Available at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/sol_research/2880

This News Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Yong Pung How School of Law at Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Collection Yong Pung How School Of Law by an authorized administrator of Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. For more information, please email cherylds@smu.edu.sg.

Publication: The Straits Times, Pg A25

Date: 27 September 2018

Headline: Signposting as a principle in lawmaking

Signposting as a principle in lawmaking

Laws, even when not enforced, shape the moral ecology of the society we live in

Tan Seow Hon

For The Straits Times

In the debate over Section 377A of the Penal Code, which criminalises private and public acts of gross indecency between male persons, it is often said that there is no point keeping the law if the Government does not intend to enforce it. More accurately, during the parliamentary debates on the Penal Code review in 2007, then Senior Minister of State 160 Peng Kee said that the police had not been proactively enforcing Section 377A and this stance would continue. Prime Minister Lee Hsien Loong noted then that "everybody knows" where the gay bars and clubs were

and they did not have to go underground but were left alone. The suggestion that a law will not be proactively enforced presumably means that state resources will not be put into which the conduct addressed by the service of the conduct addressed the police carrying out operations to bust activities related to drugs. Strictly, this does not amount to a representation that it will never be enforced.

Even so, those concerned with the rule of law might suggest that there should be congruence between laws was amounced and official action, as was advocated by the late Harvard professor Lon Fuller.

When a law is made, it gives rise to legitimate expectations of people that if an act is done, particular consequences would follow.

However, Prof Fuller's concern from the rule of law point of view was really with scenarios such as officials in corrupt nations not enforcing a law against a scion who ribed them, or those with mistaken interpretations of the law.

interpretations of the law. He did not address the different

issue of what the legitimate functions of law were – whether, for example, laws could serve to enforce moral norms or serve a signposting function.

LEGITIMATE FUNCTION OF LAWS

LEGITIMATE FUNCTION OF LAWS

Some argue that alternative provisions exist for the types of conduct the Government chooses to prosecute, so, Section 377A is unnecessary. For example, in the 2014 case of Mr Tan Eng Hong, who brought a constitutional challenge of Section 377A, Mr Tan was eventually charged under Section 374A, of the Penal Code for committing an obscene act in a public place. Supporters of Section 377A suggest, however, that it remains necessary for signposting. If a longstanding law is abolished, it woulds end the signal, as PM Lee noted in 2007, that "our stance has changed." Section 377A opponents see that the signal control of the sign

Singapore be extended to same-sex couples.

Opponents seek repeal precisely in the hope that decriminalisation would serve to render the conduct more acceptable.

Can laws legitimately serve a signposting function?

more acceptable.
Can laws legitimately serve a signposting function?
Princeton philosopher Robert
George observed in his book,
Making Men Moral, that laws profoundly affect societal notions of what is "morally acceptable, forbidden and required".
Laws (and governmental decisions) shape the moral ecology within which people live and make their choices. Take the then Media Development Authority's (MDA) decision in 2013 to ban extramarital dating website Ashley Madison. If such agencies were allowed to freely advertise on public transport, children growing up in such a mille un light develop quite different beliefs about what is normal or acceptable conduct.

different beliefs about what is normal or acceptable conduct. The fact that not all immorality is criminalised is not reason in itself for repealing any law that does criminalise certain acts some consider immoral. There may exist

overriding prudential reasons for not subjecting a particular act to criminal sanctions.

For instance, the norm against extramarital affairs is upheld through MDA's decision, rather than criminalisation.

A prison sentence would only further alienate the straying spouse and possibly decrease the chances of marital reconciliation, while a monetary penalty might be trivial to a rich person.

'SLIPPERY SLOPE' CONCERNS

Total text person.

SulpPERY SLOPE' CONCERNS

Supporters of Section 377A point to developments in other countries that follow upon the repeal of similar laws. Some of these developments were in fact facilitated by what the repeal of such laws entailed. Repeal involves rejection of the principle on which Section 377A is based and the adoption of a contrary principle on which Section 377A is based and the principle on the control of the principle on the principle of the principle

Does repeal mean that individuals have the right to decide for themselves what forms of sexual activity to engage in, as long

individuals have the right to decide for themselves what forms of sexual activity to engage in, as long as they are consensual and cause no harm to others? If so, arguably, the government cannot in principlest.

Section 377A also assumes the waveningness of some sexual acts and that there are good reasons to criminalise them.

If repeal proceeds on the further basis that homosexual acts are not wrong, more might follow upon repeal. For if such acts are morally acceptable, should not society then allow such lifestyles to be promoted?

If so, school curricula might have to change to normalise homosexual lifestyles. In many countries, alternative family structures and other rights of homosexual couples have been recognised.

And if repeal is argued on the basis that it is wrong to discriminate against gay couples, it might give rise to what has happened elsewhere, where refusing to offer certain services that in one's view are tantamount to celebrating or endorsing the homosexual lifestyle can dimprudent to address the question of repeal of Section 377A alone without attending to the question of whether one is prepared for further developments.

stopinion@sph.com.sg

Tan Seow Hon is an associate professor at Singapore Management University.



If repeal proceeds on the further basis that homosexual acts are not wrong, more might follow upon repeal. For if such acts are morally acceptable. should not society then allow such lifestyles to be promoted? If so, school curricula might have to change to normalise homosexual lifestyles. In many countries, alternative family of homosexual couples have been recognised.

A Pink Dot event at Hong Lim Park in July. The writer warns that repealing Section 377A may give rise to more demands for social acceptance and recognition of homosexual lifestyles, and that "It would be unrealistic and imprudent to address the question of repeal of Section 377A alone without attending to the question of whether one is prepared for further developments". ST FILE PHOTO

Source: The Straits Times @ Singapore Press Holdings Limited. Permission required for reproduction