### Singapore Management University

## Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University

Research Collection School of Social Sciences

School of Social Sciences

1-2022

# Reply to Komatsu et al.: From local social mindfulness to global sustainability efforts?

N. J. van Doesum

R. O. Murphy

M. Gallucci

E. Aharonov-Majar

U. Athenstaedt

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/soss\_research



Part of the Place and Environment Commons, and the Social Psychology Commons

#### Citation

van Doesum, N. J., Murphy, R. O., Gallucci, M., Aharonov-Majar, E., Athenstaedt, U., Au, W. T., Bai, L., Böhm, R., Bovina, I., Buchan, N. R., Chen, X. P., Dumont, K. B., Engelmann, J. B., Eriksson, K., Ll, Norman P., Fiedler, S., Friesen, J., Gächter, S., Garcia, C., & González, R.. (2022). Reply to Komatsu et al.: From local social mindfulness to global sustainability efforts?. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 119(4), 1-2.

Available at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/soss\_research/3548

This Journal Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Social Sciences at Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Collection School of Social Sciences by an authorized administrator of Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. For more information, please email cherylds@smu.edu.sg.

Author
I. J. van Doesum; R. O. Murphy; M. Gallucci; E. Aharonov-Majar; U. Athenstaedt; W. T. Au; L. Bai; R. Böh Bovina; N. R. Buchan; X. P. Chen; K. B. Dumont; J. B. Engelmann; K. Eriksson; LI, Norman P.; S. Fiedler riesen; S. Gächter; C. Garcia; and R. González

#### REPLY TO KOMATSU ET AL.: From local social mindfulness to global sustainability efforts?

van Doesum, N. J.; Murphy, R. O.; Gallucci, M.; Aharonov-Majar, E.; Athenstaedt, U.; Au, W. T.; Bai, L.; Böhm, R.; Bovina, I.; Buchan, N. R.; Chen, X. P.; Dumont, K. B.; Engelmann, J. B.; Eriksson, K.; Euh, H.; Fiedler, S.; Friesen, J.; Gächter, S.; Garcia, C.; González, R.; Graf, S.; Growiec, K.; Guimond, S.; Hrebíčková, M.; Immer-Bernold, E.; Joireman, J.; Karagonlar, G.; Kawakami, K.; Kiyonari, T.; Kou, Y.; Kuhlman, D. M.; Kyrtsis, A. A.; Lay, S.; Leonardelli, G. J.; Li, N. P.; Li, Y.; Maciejovsky, B.; Manesi, Z.; Mashuri, A.; Mok, A.; Moser, K. S.; Moták, L.; Netedu, A.; Pammi, C.; Platow, M. J.; Raczka-Winkler, K.; Reinders Folmer, C. P.; Reyna, C.; Romano, A.; Shalvi, S.; Simão, C.; Stivers, A. W.; Strimling, P.; Tsirbas, Y.; Utz, S.; van der Meij, L.; Waldzus, S.; Wang, Y.; Weber, B.; Weisel, O.; Wildschut, T.; Winter, F.; Wu, J.; Yong, J. C.; van Lange, P. A. M.

Published in PNAS, 2022 January 19, pp. 1-2. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2119303118

Komatsu et al. (1) argue that Van Doesum et al. (2) may have overlooked the role of GDP in reporting a positive association between social mindfulness (SoMi) and the Environmental Performance Index (EPI) at country level. Although the relationship between EPI and SoMi is relatively weaker for countries with higher GDP, that does not imply that the overall observed relationship is a statistical artifact. Rather, it implies that GDP may be a moderator of the relationship between EPI and SoMi. The observed correlation is a valid result on average across countries, and the actual effect size would, at least to some degree, depend on GDP. However, it would not be valid to select a subsample of countries with high GDP to test this, as such would create a restriction of range that artificially attenuates the correlation. The correct strategy is to test the degree to which GDP moderates the effect of EPI on SoMi. Unfortunately, the substantial collinearity between GDP and EPI (the Pearson correlation is 0.64 for raw GDP and 0.78 for log-transformed GDP) and the small sample size of countries prevent an effective test of the interaction term. If one still estimates the interaction, it turns out to be nonsignificant:  $\beta = -0.235$ , t(26) = -1.041, and P = 0.308 for raw GDP; and  $\beta = -1.041$ , and  $\beta = -$ 0.045, t(26) = -0.343, and P = 0.734 for log-transformed GDP. This result does not mean that, with a larger sample of countries, a statistically significant interaction would not be found, and, in any case, the average effect still remains valid. Moreover, the complexities of the world make it likely that alternative moderating variables will be discovered that relate to both EPI and SoMi; this makes future research even more important. In that vein, it is encouraging to read that the EPI as updated in 2020 with some established drivers of climate change (e.g., waste management, CO2 emissions from land cover change, and black carbon emissions) (3) still shows a positive association with SoMi as assessed in 2015 (1). Indeed, this may invite a shift from a relatively local to a more encompassing global focus. In many ways, people live their lives in the local environment, even though the outcomes may be experienced at the global level. Climate change is a strong case in point. While tentative, Komatsu et al. provide intriguing indications that SoMi can be associated with global sustainability, especially in their analysis of the ecological footprint of consumption—an interesting illustration that consumption is often local, while consequences are often global. We thank the authors for their extension of our work, and we agree that the distinction between global and local sustain ability efforts may be fruitful as a variable for future research efforts. After all, this supports the idea that social mindfulness "may promote a social and political climate that helps recognize, address, and reduce climate change" (ref. 2, p. 6).

#### References

- 1 H. Komatsu, J. Rappleye, I. Silova, Social mindfulness for global environmental sustainability? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 10.1073/pnas.2118710118 (2021).
- 2 N. J. Van Doesum et al., Social mindfulness and prosociality vary across the globe. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118, e2023846118 (2021).
- 3 Environmental Performance Index, 2020 EPI results. https://epi.yale.edu/epi-results/2020/component/epi. Accessed 22 October 2021

Author contributions: M.G. analyzed data; J.V.D., R.O.M., M.G., and P.A.M.V.L. wrote the paper; and E.A.-M., U.A., W.T.A., L.B., R.B., I.B., N.R.B., X.-P.C., K.B.D., J.B.E., K.E., H.E., S.F., J.F., S. Gachter, C.G., R.G., S. Graf, K.G., S. Guimond, M.H., E.I.-B., J.J., G.K., K.K., T.K., Y.K., A.-A.K., S.L., G.J.L., N.P.L., Y.L., B.M., Z.M., A. Mashuri, A. Mok, K.S.M., L.M., A.N., M.J.P., K.R.-W., C.P.R.F., C.R., A.R., S.S., C.S., A.W.S., P.S., Y.T., S.U., L.v.d.M., S.W., Y.W., B.W., O.W., T.W., F.W., J.W., and J.C.Y. revised the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest. This article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

1 To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: n.j.van.doesum@fsw.leidenuniv.nl.

2 N.J.V.D., R.O.M., M.G., and P.A.M.V.L. contributed equally to this work.

a Social, Economic and Organisational Psychology, Institute of Psychology, Leiden University 2300 RB Leiden, The Netherlands; b Knowledge Centre for Psychology and Economic Behaviour, Leiden University 2312 HS Leiden, The Netherlands; c Department of Economics, University of Zurich 8006 Zurich, Switzerland; € d Morningstar Investment Management, Chicago, IL 60602; e Faculty of Psychology, University of Milano-Bicocca 20126 Milan, Italy; f Department of Psychology, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva 8410501, Israel; g Department of Social Psychology, Institute of Psychology, University of Graz 8010 Graz, Austria; h Department of Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong, China; i Department of Applied Psychology, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China; j Department of Psychology, University of Copenhagen 1353 Copenhagen K, Denmark; k Department of Economics, University of Copenhagen 1353 Copenhagen K, Denmark; I Copenhagen Center for Social Data Science, University of Copenhagen 1353 Copenhagen K, Denmark; mDepartment of Clinical and Legal Psychology, Moscow State University of Psychology and Education, Moscow 127051, Russia; n Sonoco International Business Department, Darla Moore School of Business, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208; o Department of Management and Organization, Michael G. Foster School of Business, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195; p School of Social Sciences, Department of Psychology, University of South Africa 0003 Pretoria, South Africa; q Center for Research in Experimental Economics and Political Decision Making, Amsterdam School of Economics, University of Amsterdam 1001 NJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands; r Behavioral and Experimental Economics, The Tinbergen Institute 1082 MS Amsterdam, The Netherlands; s Center for Cultural Evolution, Stockholm University 114 18 Stockholm, Sweden; t Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455; u Department of Strategy & Innovation, Institute of Cognition & Behavior, Vienna University of Economics and Business 1020 Vienna, Austria; v Department of Psychology, University of Winnipeg, Winnipeg, MB R3N 0G1, Canada; wCentre for Decision Research and Experimental Economics, School of Economics, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom; x Laboratory of Social Interaction, Psychology Department, Universidad Veracruzana, Veracruz 91095, Mexico; y Escuela de Psicologia, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, Santiago 7820436, Chile; z Department of Personality and Social Psychology, Institute of Psychology, Czech Academy of Sciences 602 00 Brno, The Czech Republic; aaDepartment of Social and Personality Psychology, Institute of Psychology, SWPS University of Social Sciences and Humanities 03-815 Warsaw, Poland; bbLaboratoire de Psychologie Sociale et Cognitive, Universite Clermont Auvergne (CNRS, LAPSCO), Clermont-Ferrand F-63000, France; ccSherpany Product Department, Agilentia AG 8001 Zurich, Switzerland; € ddDepartment of Marketing and International Business, Carson College of Business, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-4730; eeDepartment of Business, School of Business, Dokuz Eylul University 35390 Izmir, Turkey; € ffDepartment of Psychology, York University, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada; ggSchool of Social Informatics, Aoyama Gakuin University, Kanagawa 252-5258, Japan; hhlnstitute of Developmental Psychology, Beijing Normal University 100875 Beijing, China; iiDepartment of Political Science and Public Administration, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens 10678 Athens, Greece; jiCentro de Medicion Mide UC, Escuela de Psicolog 1a, Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile 7820436 Santiago, Chile; kkRotman School of Management, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3E6, Canada; IlDepartment of Psychology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3E6, Canada; mmSchool of Social Sciences, Singapore Management University, Singapore 178903; nnGraduate School of Informatics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 4648610, Japan; ooSchool of Business, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521; ppDepartment of Experimental and Applied Psychology, Institute for Brain and Behavior Amsterdam, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam 1018 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands; qqDepartment of Psychology, University of Brawijaya, Malang 65145, Indonesia; rrDepartment of Social Sciences, University of Brawijaya, Malang 65145, Indonesia; ssDepartment of Management, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China; ttBusiness School, London South Bank University, London SE1 0AA, United Kingdom; uuSchool of Psychology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia; vvCentre de Recherche en Psychologie de la Cognition, du Langage et de l'Emotion, Maison de la Recherche, Aix Marseille Universite 13100 Aix-en-Provence, France; wwDepartment of Sociology and Social Work, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of lasi 700460 lasi, Romania; xxResearch School of Psychology, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia; yyInstitute of Experimental Epileptology and Cognition Research, University of Bonn 53127 Bonn, Germany; zzDepartment of Developmental, Personality and Social Psychology, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Ghent University 9000 Ghent, Belgium; aaaCenter for Law and Behavior, Department of Jurisprudence, Amsterdam Law School, University of Amsterdam, 1001 NA Amsterdam, The Netherlands; bbbInstituto de Investigaciones Psicologicas, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnicas, Universidad Nacional de Cordoba 5000 Cordoba, Argentina; cccCatolica-Lisbon School of Business and Economics, Universidade Catolica Portuguesa 1649-023 Lisbon, Portugal; dddPsychology Department, Gonzaga University, Spokane, WA 99258; eeeThe Institute for Futures Studies, Stockholm 111 36, Sweden; fffSocial Media Lab, Leibniz-Institut fur Wissensmedien 72076 T ubingen, Germany; gggDepartment of Psychology, University of Tubingen 72074 T € ubingen, Germany; € hhhDepartment of Industrial Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands; iiiCentro de Investigac, ao e Intervenc ~ , ao Social, Instituto Universit ~ ario de Lisboa, Lisboa 1649-026, Portugal; jijInstitute of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350108, China; kkkColler School of Management, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel 6997801; IllCenter for Research on Self and Identity, School of Psychology, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom; mmmMechanisms of Normative Change, Max Planck Institute for Research on Collective Goods 53115 Bonn, Germany; nnnKey Laboratory of Behavioral Science, Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences 100101 Beijing, China; oooDepartment of Psychology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences 100049 Beijing, China; and pppSchool of Social Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 639798