

Singapore Management University

Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University

Research Collection College of Integrative Studies

8-2022

Evidence of alliesthesia during a neighborhood thermal walk in a hot and dry city

Yuliya DZYUBAN

Singapore Management University, ydzyuban@smu.edu.sg

David M. HONDULA

Jennifer K. VANOS

Ariane MIDE LL

Paul J. COSEO

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/cis_research_all



Part of the [Environmental Design Commons](#), and the [Urban Studies and Planning Commons](#)

Citation

DZYUBAN, Yuliya; HONDULA, David M.; VANOS, Jennifer K.; MIDE LL, Ariane; COSEO, Paul J.; KURAS, Evan R.; and REDMAN, Charles L.. Evidence of alliesthesia during a neighborhood thermal walk in a hot and dry city. (2022). *Science of the Total Environment*. 834, 1-12.

Available at: https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/cis_research_all/15

This Journal Article is brought to you for free and open access by Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Collection College of Integrative Studies by an authorized administrator of Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. For more information, please email cherylds@smu.edu.sg.

Author

Yuliya DZYUBAN, David M. HONDULA, Jennifer K. VANOS, Ariane MIDELL, Paul J. COSEO, Evan R. KURAS,
and Charles L. REDMAN

Evidence of alliesthesia during a neighborhood thermal walk in a hot and dry city

Y. Dzyuban, D. M. Hondula, J. K. Vanos, A. Middel, P. J. Coseo, E. R. Kuras, C. L. Redman

Yuliya Dzyuban (corresponding author)

Office of Core Curriculum, Singapore Management University, Singapore

Global Institute of Sustainability and Innovation, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

e-mail: ydzyuban@smu.edu.sg

David M. Hondula

School of Geographical Sciences & Urban Planning, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

Global Institute of Sustainability and Innovation, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

e-mail: david.hondula@asu.edu

Jennifer K. Vanos

School of Sustainability, College of Global Futures, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

Global Institute of Sustainability and Innovation, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

e-mail: jvanos@asu.edu

Ariane Middel

School of Arts, Media and Engineering, Herberger Institute for Design and the Arts, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

School of Computing and Augmented Intelligence, Ira A. Fulton Schools of Engineering, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

Global Institute of Sustainability and Innovation, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

e-mail: ariane.middel@asu.edu

Paul J. Coseo

The Design School, Herberger Institute for Design and the Arts, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

Global Institute of Sustainability and Innovation, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

e-mail: paul.coseo@asu.edu

Evan R. Kuras

Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

e-mail: erkuras@bu.edu

Charles L. Redman

Global Institute of Sustainability and Innovation, School of Sustainability, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

School of Human Evolution and Social Change, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

e-mail: charles.redman@asu.edu

1 **Abstract**

2 Designing cities for thermal comfort is an important priority in a warming and urbanizing
3 world. As cities continue to break extreme heat records, it is necessary to develop and test new
4 approaches capable of tracking human thermal sensations influenced by microclimate conditions,
5 complex urban geometries, and individual characteristics and thermal perceptions in dynamic
6 settings. Thermal walks are a promising novel research method to address this gap. During a
7 thermal walk in Phoenix, Arizona, USA, we examined the relationships between the built
8 environment, microclimate, and subjective thermal judgments across a downtown city
9 neighborhood slated for redevelopment. Subjects equipped with GPS devices participated in a 1-
10 hour walk on a hot sunny day and recorded their experience in a field guide. Microclimate
11 measurements were simultaneously collected using the mobile human-biometeorological
12 instrument platform MaRTy. Results revealed significant differences in physiological equivalent
13 temperature (PET) between street segments, with streets with higher sky view factor (SVF) and
14 east-west orientation showing a higher PET overall. Furthermore, we showed evidence of
15 thermal alliesthesia, the pleasure resulting from slight changes in microclimate conditions.
16 Participants' sense of pleasure was related to the mean PET of the segment they just walked.
17 Overshoots in pleasure votes occurred at PET transition points; slight increase/decrease in PET
18 resulted in the rise of dis/pleasure respectively. We also showed that estimated percent shade was
19 significantly correlated with SVF, PET, and pleasure, indicating that participants could sense
20 minor changes in microclimate and perceived shade as pleasant. Findings of this study improve
21 the understanding of dynamic thermal comfort in complex urban environments and highlight the
22 value of thermal walks as a robust research method.

23 **1. Introduction**

24 Cities globally are warming due to the changing climate and urbanization (IPCC, 2014).
25 At the same time, planning measures aimed at achieving sustainability goals, mitigating urban
26 heat, and improving health and wellbeing of urban dwellers call for more traversable, safe,
27 physically enticing, and compact city form with enhanced walkability (Beatley, 2000; Berke,
28 2002; Forsyth, 2015; B. Stone et al., 2010; Wheeler, 2000). Yet, walkability goals can work in
29 the opposite direction as cooling goals. Walkable, compact neighborhoods have high percentages
30 of impervious surfaces (e.g., pavements and buildings), more urban surface area from tall
31 building walls, and mechanical waste heat. These features alter the reflectivity and energy
32 balance of the lower atmosphere creating relatively warmer microclimates called urban heat
33 islands (UHI) (Jenerette et al., 2007; Oke, 1987; B. J. Stone, 2012; B. J. Stone et al., 2007; B. J.
34 Stone & Rodgers, 2001). Traditionally, UHIs are defined as areas of urban climate where surface
35 and air temperatures tend to be warmer than in adjacent undeveloped areas (Oke, 1987), but
36 increasingly researchers are critically reevaluating the use of only simple measures of surface or
37 air temperatures to understand people’s thermal experiences of urban climates (Hamstead et al.,
38 2020).

39 Hamstead and colleagues (2020) suggest thermally resilient communities require more
40 human-centered measures that better represent the complexity of people’s thermal experience as
41 a place-based phenomena (Wilhelmi & Hayden, 2010) combining both objective and subjective
42 measures of heat. Planners, designers, and city officials require enhanced people-centered
43 metrics to pursue broader social sustainability and resilience goals such as walkability and
44 livability, which are foundational goals to create socially rich, urban places (Jacobs, 1961).

45 Achieving these goals without compromising thermal comfort with a warmer built environment
46 requires an understanding of how variations in urban form influence pedestrians in the city.

47 The practice of heat management is in its infancy in many cities (Hamstead et al., 2020)
48 including the many heat mitigation plans that do not provide adequate justifications for
49 interventions (Dare, 2019). After reviewing 19 North American cities' heat mitigation policies
50 (total of 307 policies), Dare (2019) found that two-thirds of the policies called for blind action
51 without adequate framing of the context (e.g., for public health). Meerow & Newell (2019)
52 suggest building urban resilience to hazards, such as heat, requires asking important questions to
53 frame resilience around a clearer understanding of urban resilience for whom, what, where,
54 when, and why. This framing may require that city officials adjust their assessment methods to
55 better account for how to assess heat as an experiential hazard to inform a greater understanding
56 of that hazard for plans and actions (Hamstead & Coseo, 2019). Many existing policies may only
57 assess simple metrics (e.g., surface and air temperature) to document existing thermal conditions
58 that are then extrapolated into loose proxies for how communities may or may not experience
59 and manage heat as a risk (Dare, 2019; Hamstead & Coseo, 2019; Keith et al., 2019). Dare
60 (2019) also found that many policies to reduce heat favor “visible” strategies (e.g., street tree
61 planting) that may leave out “less visible” but important experiential strategies (e.g., improved
62 transit service, support for utility bills) that could be better accounted for if residents are included
63 in assessments and planning procedures (Guardaro et al., 2020). Such lived thermal comfort data
64 could include assessing pedestrian experiences to better understand the full transit experience
65 from traversing outdoor walkways between home, work, and services and include multiple

66 combinations of travel from walking to taking private vehicles or public transportation (Dzyuban
67 et al., 2021).

68 From a physiological perspective, an individual's thermal sensation (e.g., cold, hot)
69 depends on the energy balance between the body and the environment. Environmental variables
70 that affect thermal sensations include ambient temperature, radiant temperature, atmospheric
71 moisture, and air movement (Fanger, 1973). Metabolism, skin temperature, blood flow, and
72 sweat production are the main physiological processes that are responsible for energy balance
73 and thermal sensation of the body. These processes depend on the activity and clothing level of
74 the individual (Vanos et al., 2010). Thus, walking individuals will have different thermal
75 sensations than people who do not move. Moreover, pedestrians traverse several microclimate
76 conditions within a short period of time, constantly adapting physiological responses to thermal
77 conditions.

78 In addition to physiological and behavioral adaptations, there are also psychological,
79 individual, and contextual differences affecting the thermal comfort and sensation of walkers.
80 These include the presence of nature, expectations of what the weather should be like, short and
81 long-term thermal history, time of exposure, ability to choose microclimate conditions, urban
82 design and physical characteristics of space, engagement of multisensory experiences,
83 demographics, culture etc. (Vasilikou & Nikolopoulou, 2020).

84 Since improved walkability is an objective of many cities worldwide (Shields et al.,
85 2021), exploration of dynamic thermal perceptions of pedestrians moving through complex
86 morphologies is crucial in understanding how to create optimal conditions for walking. In recent
87 years, "thermal walks" have been implemented as a novel methodology to explore the dynamic

88 thermal sensations of individuals moving through streets with various design characteristics
89 (Vasilikou & Nikolopoulou, 2020). Such methodology allows for simultaneous collection of
90 subjective thermal judgments and micro-meteorological data, enabling a more holistic
91 understanding of pedestrian thermal comfort or sensation in natural urban settings. For example,
92 researchers have deployed thermal walks to compare objective and subjective measures of the
93 thermal environment across space and time, (e.g., Chokhachian et al., 2018; Lau et al., 2019;
94 Nakayoshi et al., 2014), understand an additional aspect of a place in relation to the thermal
95 environment (e.g., Dzyuban, 2019; Lau et al., 2019; Ohashi et al., 2018; Vasilikou &
96 Nikolopoulou, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020), and engage stakeholders or public audiences in urban
97 planning and design as it pertains to thermal comfort (e.g., Caverzam Barbosa & Klok, 2020).

98 The objective of this study is to understand the variations in subjective thermal judgments
99 of pedestrians moving through distinct urban morphologies; the relationships between perceptual
100 and affective thermal sensations, such as thermal sensation vote (TSV), outdoor thermal comfort
101 (OTC), and pleasure scales; and the main drivers of change in these thermal judgments. We also
102 investigated changes in micro-meteorological conditions in relation to urban geometries. To
103 achieve those objectives, we conducted a thermal walk on a hot day in a residential
104 neighborhood in Phoenix, Arizona, USA. This study contributes to the understanding of how
105 variations in urban morphologies and subtle changes in microclimate conditions can trigger
106 variations in subjective thermal judgments. This information can aid in planning decisions for
107 improving pedestrian thermal comfort.

108 **2. Methods**

109 2.1. Study site

110 Phoenix is one of the hottest cities in the U.S. with more than 110 days of maximum
111 daily temperatures exceeding 38°C (*National Weather Service - NWS Phoenix*, n.d.). The city is
112 located in the Sonoran desert (33.4484° N, 112.0740° W, 331 m above sea level) with a hot arid
113 desert climate (Köppen-Geiger BWh) (Kottek et al., 2006). As a desert city, Phoenix is
114 characterized by horizontal development. Its downtown local climate zones are dominated by
115 open, low-rise designs with patches of large low-rise and bare soil. Tree coverage is low, and
116 vegetation is mostly comprised of shrubs, bushes, and grass (Wang et al., 2018). There is a
117 spatially inequitable distribution of heat in the city, with low-income, minority neighborhoods
118 being hotter and more vulnerable to heat compared to higher income areas. On average, there is a
119 4°C difference in T_{air} between low and high income communities in Phoenix (Harlan et al., 2006;
120 Jenerette et al., 2015). The thermal walk was conducted in Edison Eastlake, the neighborhood
121 with the highest concentration of public housing in Phoenix and 67% of residents living in
122 poverty. The neighborhood is characterized by degraded infrastructure, a lack of amenities, and
123 poor environmental quality due to a nearby freeway and a superfund site. This predominantly
124 Latino neighborhood was shaped by the history of racial segregation and environmental injustice
125 (Bolin et al., 2005). In an effort to break the poverty trap and improve neighborhood conditions,
126 Edison Eastlake was awarded a Choice Neighborhoods Planning and Action Grant through the
127 U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The redevelopment aims to
128 improve public safety, ensure street walkability, and provide public spaces with amenities and
129 educational opportunities. Old public housing will be replaced with mixed-income units. The
130 redevelopment plan was co-created through a city-university-community partnership (Guardaro
131 et al., 2020) that used recognition, procedural, and distributional environmental justice

132 approaches (Langemeyer & Connolly, 2020) to integrate scientific and community knowledge
133 into planning procedures and documents with a particular focus on heat assessments. The plan
134 also includes improving thermal conditions in the neighborhood since it is currently one of
135 Phoenix’s hottest residential areas and most vulnerable to heat. These improvements will include
136 changes in layout and green and grey infrastructure applications (*Edison-Eastlake One Vision*
137 *Plan*, 2018).

138 2.2. The thermal walk

139 The Edison Eastlake neighborhood redevelopment effort provided an exceptional
140 opportunity to track the effect of planning interventions through the implementation of pre- and
141 post-data collecting campaigns. The thermal walk was one of such efforts to establish a baseline
142 of thermal conditions and experiences of the current neighborhood conditions. It is an
143 experimental citizen science project with residents helping to co-create a neighborhood ‘heat
144 map’. The “Heat Mappers Walk” was organized by The Nature Conservancy in Arizona in
145 partnership with Museum of Walking, Phoenix Revitalization Corporation, and Arizona State
146 University’s Urban Climate Research Center and Knowledge Exchange for Resilience. 14
147 participants, equipped with GPS devices (QStarz), engaged in a 1-hour walk around the
148 neighborhood and recorded their thermal and visual experiences in a field guide. Participants
149 walked in groups of two to three with slightly staggered times and were escorted by the research
150 team. The “Heat Mappers Walk” event happened on Saturday, September 29, 2018, 16:00–18:00
151 Local Time (LT), with the walk occurring between 16:18 and 17:18. Phoenix Sky Harbor Airport
152 local air temperature (T_{air}) for the duration of the walk was 37.8°C , with an average wind speed
153 of 3.1ms^{-1} , relative humidity (RH) of 17%, and 1/8 to 2/8 cloud cover (*Local Climatological*

154 *Data Station Details: PHOENIX AIRPORT, AZ US, WBAN:23183 | Climate Data Online (CDO)*
155 *| National Climatic Data Center (NCDC), n.d.)* (refer to Supplementary Material (SM), Item 2
156 for descriptive statistics of meteorological measurements collected over the route). The sunset
157 was at 18:14 that day (*Sunrise and Sunset Times Phoenix, September 2018, n.d.*). Participants
158 received a field guide (SM, Item 1) that included the route map (Figure 1) and survey questions
159 about each walk segment. The 5km walk started in Edison Park and included three residential
160 street segments with various infrastructure characteristics, including minor arterial roads, large
161 areas of vacant land, two hospital parking lots, and a school playground. Seven stops divided the
162 route into street segments. The field guide survey questions consisted of three parts. The first
163 part included basic demographic information (age and gender), duration of average summer
164 outdoor exposure, and perceived health risks in relation to normal and extreme summer heat. In
165 the second part, walkers were asked about their clothing during the walk, their initial thermal
166 sensation vote (TSV), and outdoor thermal comfort (OTC). The third part included stop-specific
167 questions, perceptions of the walked street segment, proposed changes in urban design, and
168 estimated percent of shade per walked segment. TSV and OTC questions were asked in relation
169 to the participant's momentary sensations at the stop (e.g., "At the moment I am:", "My current
170 level of thermal comfort is:"). The perception of pleasure was solicited regarding the previously
171 walked segment (e.g., "My perception of the street segment I just walked is:").

172 Every walker wore a GPS device to log individual location data. Micrometeorological
173 observations were conducted using the human-biometeorological cart MaRTy (Middel et al.,
174 2021; Middel & Krayenhoff, 2019) . MaRTy measures air temperature, relative humidity, mean
175 radiant temperature, and wind speed and direction as experienced by pedestrians, shaping their

176 thermal sensations. The observations were used to calculate physiological equivalent temperature
177 (PET) and modified physiological equivalent temperature (mPET) for each study participant.
178 PET is defined as the air temperature at which the human body is at heat balance indoors
179 translated to outdoor conditions and is commonly used in thermal comfort studies (Hoppe,
180 1999). mPET was introduced to address the weaknesses of PET by improving evaluation of the
181 humidity and clothing variability (Chen & Matzarakis, 2018). This project was approved by the
182 Institutional Review Board of Arizona State University (STUDY00008752).

183 2.3. Data analysis

184 Georeferenced subject responses were spatially joined with the MaRTy data and mapped using
185 geographic information systems (GIS) software; each participant location point was assigned the
186 closest value from MaRTy. The PET index was calculated from MaRTy observations using the
187 Rayman model (Martzarakis & Rutz, 2010) for each participant. Sky view factor (SVF) for the
188 route was calculated from synthetic fisheye photos (Middel et al., 2017). Stops and segments
189 were manually separated in GIS. Because PET assumes a standard male, 0.9clo, and “standing”
190 metabolic rate, we also calculated the mPET per person. Metabolic rate was based on the median
191 of the non-zero speed data points (representing walking) and time spent standing (~2.5 METs)
192 and converted to a metabolic rate (1MET=58.15Wm⁻²). Metabolic rates were weighted according
193 to time spent doing each activity (walking versus standing) and applied within the mPET model.
194 Body surface area was accounted for based on average male and female dimensions, and age was
195 categorized based on mean of the following ranges: 18–24, 25–44, 45–64, 65+. Clothing type
196 was based on survey responses about what participants were wearing on that day (SM, Item1).
197 For both PET and mPET, non-parametric statistical significance tests (Wilcoxon-Pratt Signed-

198 Rank) were performed since the data exhibited non-normal trends. Demographic and Likert scale
199 questions from the surveys were analyzed using descriptive statistics and Spearman’s Rho
200 correlations to determine significant relationships between subjective thermal judgments and
201 microclimate conditions. TSV and OTC votes were correlated with microclimate data per stop,
202 while pleasure and estimated percent shade was correlated with average microclimate data per
203 segment. To compare changes between the stops across variables with different scales and units,
204 Z-scores were calculated and plotted for subjective sensations, PET, and microclimate variables.
205 All analyses were performed using RStudio (Version 1.3.1056).
206



Figure 1. a) Geographic location of the City of Phoenix in Arizona, USA; **b)** Geographic location of Edison Eastlake Neighborhood in the City of Phoenix; **c)** Heat Mappers walk route map.

207 **3. Results**

208 3.1. Micro-meteorological measurements and PET in relation to urban morphology

209 Average T_{air} for the walk was $37.5^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 0.9^{\circ}\text{C}$ (SM Item 2). T_{mrt} varied the most out of the
210 meteorological variables with a range of over 30°C and a mean of 54.4°C for the walk. Vapor
211 pressure (VP) and wind speed were low with a mean 11.9hPa and 1.4ms^{-1} , respectively. The
212 average calculated PET and mPET were 47°C (range 15°C) and 44°C (range 20°C), respectively.
213 Note that due to weak relationships of mPET with subjective responses, we focus the remaining
214 results on the PET, and provide discussion for reasoning as to why the mPET did not agree with
215 subjective responses in the given study.

216 Fluctuations of meteorological variables were evident across the walk (Figure 2). The
217 maximum T_{air} ($>38.0^{\circ}\text{C}$) was observed in the mid-section of the walk along the east-west arterial
218 road and vacant land segments with little vegetation and shade. T_{air} was the lowest (36.6°C) at
219 17:08h at segment F impacted by lower afternoon sun and more shade. T_{mrt} was highly variable
220 during the experiment. The highest T_{mrt} ($>66^{\circ}\text{C}$) was observed at the beginning of the walk in the
221 unshaded area of the park (segment A) and next to vacant land (segment D). The lowest T_{mrt}
222 (34.9°C) was at 17:05h at segment E with afternoon shade from trees and a nearby high-rise
223 hospital building. VP was relatively stable with peaks occurring at the points where T_{air} dipped.
224 Wind conditions also varied, however, remained low overall throughout the walk. PET per
225 participant is mapped in Figure 3. Mean PET was significantly different across the seven street
226 segments (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 1488.8, $df = 6$, $p\text{-value} < 0.01$). The street segment with
227 the highest mean PET (49.9°C) was next to vacant land (segment D) on the west side of the
228 neighborhood (Figure 4a). The lowest mean PET (43.4°C) was observed at the segment with

229 adjacent two-story residential development (segment F). The maximum PET (54.8°C) was also
230 found at segment D, while the minimum PET (36.5°C) was observed at segment E. Average PET
231 at seven survey stops was also significantly different (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 746.04, df =
232 6, $p < 0.01$). The highest mean PET was at stop 3 (51.44°C) and the lowest at stop 2 (44.1°C).
233 The maximum PET (54.5°C) was at stop 3, and the minimum (35.3°C) was at stop 7.

234 Overall, values per stop were clustered closer together as values per stops were taken at
235 one location, while data per segment represents the average for the whole street segment (Figure
236 4a and 4b). Furthermore, PET of east-west oriented streets was significantly different from PET
237 of the north-south facing streets (Asymptotic Wilcoxon-Pratt Signed-Rank Test, $Z = 34.244$, p -
238 value < 0.01) with east-west streets (mean PET 47.05°C) being significantly hotter than north-
239 south (mean PET 45.2°C).




Figure 2. a) Time series of meteorological variables collected during the thermal walk between 16:18 and 17:18 LT, September 29, 2018. Red line is a moving average with $k=10$; **b)** representative images of respective segments.

240 Mean SVF per segment varied significantly (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 160.36, df =
 241 6, $p < 0.01$). Mean SVF was generally high with a range of 0.90 to 0.99 (Figure 4a), but
 242 minimum segment averages were as low as 0.47. The mean SVF per stop was also significantly
 243 different (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 15.87, df = 6, $p = 0.01$), though, none of the pairwise
 244 comparisons were. The mean SVF range between stops was 0.95 to 0.99 (Figure 4b). The SVF
 245 per segment was significantly correlated with segment number ($R^2 = 0.33$, $p < 0.01$) to all micro-
 246 meteorological variables and PET except for wind (T_{air} : $R^2 = 0.48$, $p < 0.01$; T_{mrt} : $R^2 = 0.41$, $p <$
 247 0.01 ; VP: $R^2 = -0.47$, $p < 0.01$; PET: $R^2 = 0.4$, $p < 0.01$). The mean SVF per stop was
 248 significantly correlated with all meteorological variables but not with stop numbers (Pearson's
 249 cor: T_{air} : $R^2 = 0.59$, $p < 0.01$; T_{mrt} : $R^2 = 0.39$, $p < 0.01$; VP: $R^2 = -0.27$, $p < 0.01$; PET: $R^2 = 0.43$, p
 250 < 0.01 , $R^2 = v -0.57$, $p < 0.01$).




Figure 3. Spatially mapped PET per subject. Each subject's location was matched with the nearest MaRTy data point collected during the thermal walk between 16:18-17:18 LT, September 29, 2018.




Figure 4. Boxplots of mean differences in PET per **a)** segment, **b)** stop; boxplots of mean differences in SVF per **c)** segment, **d)** stop, as collected during thermal walk between 16:18 and 17:18 LT, September 29, 2018. For each box, the middle line is the median, notches are 95% confidence interval of the median, hinges are interquartile range, whiskers are the 75th percentile of the maximum value, and points are outliers. Horns on SVF figures are the confidence intervals that extend beyond the first or third quartile.

251 3.2. Survey responses

252 Eight females and six males participated in the thermal walk. The majority were between
 253 25 and 44 years old (SM Item 3). Participants took individual precautions for long-term sun and
 254 heat exposure. Twelve wore a hat, eleven wore sunglasses, nine used sunscreen, and eleven
 255 brought a water bottle.

256 3.3. Relationships between microclimate and thermal judgments

257 Subjective thermal judgments were significantly but weakly correlated with the street
258 segment type, SVF, environmental variables, PET, and mPET (SM Item 4). Among the tested
259 subjective thermal judgments, pleasure had the strongest relationships with VP ($R^2 = 0.39, p <$
260 0.01), T_{mrt} ($R^2 = -0.35, p < 0.01$), T_{air} ($R^2 = -0.30, p < 0.01$), PET ($R^2 = -0.33, p < 0.01$) and
261 mPET ($R^2 = -0.25, p < 0.01$).

262 To understand the sensitivity of thermal judgments to changes in PET, we binned PET
263 into 1°C intervals and calculated mean TSV, OTC, and pleasure vote for each bin. Linear
264 regression did not reveal significant relationships between TSV or OTC and PET. Pleasure was
265 moderately related to average PET per previously walked segment ($R^2 = 0.63, p = 0.01$) (Figure
266 5).




Figure 5. Relationship between mean pleasure votes and binned PET; responses collected during the thermal walk between 16:18 and 17:18 LT, September 29, 2018.

267 Estimated percent shade was significantly correlated with SVF ($R^2 = -0.39$, $p < 0.01$),
268 PET ($R^2 = -0.58$, $p < 0.01$) and pleasure ($R^2 = 0.39$, $p < 0.01$), as well as individual microclimate
269 variables per segment (T_{air} : $R^2 = -0.51$, $p < 0.01$; T_{mrt} : $R^2 = -0.56$, $p < 0.01$; VP: $R^2 = 0.5$, $p < 0.01$).
270 SVF was also correlated with pleasure ($R^2 = -0.36$, $p < 0.01$).

271 3.4. Changes in thermal judgments

272 TSV ranged from neutral to very hot at the beginning of the walk with the majority of the
273 participants feeling warm and slightly warm (Figure 6a). Hot and very hot sensation increased in
274 the middle of the walk after passing an unshaded arterial road and vacant land. Improvements in
275 TSV occurred after participants walked along a shaded residential street with large trees and long
276 afternoon shade from buildings, with the majority of the “slightly cool” votes occurring at the
277 end of the walk. The prevailing OTC sensation (Figure 6b) at the beginning of the walk was
278 “slightly uncomfortable”. Unlike TSV, OTC gradually decreased with the progression of the
279 walk, with very uncomfortable votes appearing in the second half. Pleasure ranged from
280 “pleasant” to “slightly unpleasant” at the beginning, with most votes in “slightly unpleasant” and
281 “neither pleasant nor unpleasant” (Figure 6c). “Unpleasant” votes occurred at the second stop
282 and were the highest at the 3rd and 4th stops that followed the hottest street segments. Segment E
283 was rated as most pleasant and was followed by a gradual decrease towards the end. TSV was
284 strongly correlated with OTC ($R^2 = -0.82$, $p < 0.01$) and weakly with pleasure ($R^2 = -0.32$, $p <$
285 0.01). OTC was weakly correlated with pleasure ($R^2 = -0.33$, $p < 0.01$).




Figure 6. Stacked bar charts showing **a) TSV**, **b) OTC**, and **c) Pleasure** per participant (N=14); responses collected during the thermal walk between 16:18 and 17:18 LT, September 29, 2018.

286 Furthermore, we calculated z-scores in thermal judgment and micro-meteorological
287 variables for every stop (Figure 7). Z-scores for meteorological variables and PET were
288 calculated from the average value per stop and previous segment. The highest increase in TSV z-
289 scores (1.84) occurred at the 3rd stop that followed the arterial road segment C. TSV improved
290 towards the end of the walk with the decrease in PET and T_{air} . The z-score for OTC was the
291 highest at the beginning of the walk (1.78) and was lowest in the middle (-0.96 at stops 3 and 5).
292 Similar to TSV, the z-score for pleasure was the lowest at stop 3 (-1.05), and the highest at stop 5
293 (1.84), these changes in pleasure occurred simultaneously with changes in PET, T_{mrt} and T_{air} .




Figure 7. Z-scores for TSV, OTC, pleasure, PET, T_{air} , T_{mrt} , and wind speed. PET, T_{air} , T_{mrt} , and wind speed are averaged per stop and previous segment. Responses and microclimate data collected during the thermal walk between 16:18 and 17:18 LT, September 29, 2018.

294 **4. Discussion**

295 4.1. Effect of street morphology on microclimate

296 Street morphology and street orientation alter microclimate and thermal comfort mainly
297 through changing shade patterns and wind channeling. SVF, aspect ratio, and street orientation
298 are common metrics to assess street morphology. Studies conducted in hot and dry climates
299 found that streets with high SVF are hotter during the day, with higher differences during the
300 peak hours, and cooler at night (Bourbia & Boucheriba, 2010; Crewe et al., 2016). Furthermore,
301 north-south streets have lower and shorter periods of high PET compared to east-west facing
302 ones (Ali-Toudert & Mayer, 2006). Our results demonstrated the effect of street morphology on
303 microclimate. Segments with the highest mean SVF had the highest mean PET, except for
304 Segment G with the mean SVF of 0.99 which had lower mean PET likely due to the lower sun
305 altitude towards the evening. Segment A had the lowest mean SVF, however, mean PET was
306 high (47.6°C). This is likely due to the east-west street orientation minimizing shade. Segments
307 E and F with lower SVFs of 0.93 and 0.92, respectively, had the lowest PET (45.19 °C and
308 43.43°C). Moreover, we showed that street orientation had a significant effect on PET, with east-
309 west oriented streets having a significantly higher PET compared to north-south.

310 4.2. Subjective thermal judgments and sensitivity to microclimate

311 TSV and OTC were not significantly correlated with PET in this study. This is in alignment with
312 other studies showing low sensitivity to small ranges in PET (Banerjee et al., 2020), especially
313 when temperatures are above the acceptable range (Dzyuban et al., 2021). A study in the same
314 climate determined that participants reported a year-round neutral temperature of 28.6°C with an
315 acceptable thermal range 19.1-38.1°C (Middel et al., 2016). The mean PET (46.0°C) in the

316 current study is much above the acceptable range. Moreover, another study showed weak
317 relationships between MTSV and UTCI and PET for walking individuals, which is attributed to
318 non-steady state conditions of pedestrians in motion (Yuchun Zhang et al., 2020). The only
319 subjective perception significantly related to microclimate and urban morphology was perception
320 of pleasure, which we argue can be explained by the framework of thermal alliesthesia.

321 4.3. Thermal alliesthesia

322 A feeling of (dis)pleasure resulting from an environmental stimulus is defined as thermal
323 alliesthesia. Positive thermal alliesthesia occurs when the applied stimulus is in direction towards
324 restoring thermal equilibrium of the body (Cabanac, 1979). This phenomenon is useful in
325 describing dynamic thermal sensations in non-steady environments (Shooshtarian, 2019).
326 Alliesthesia is strongest at the point of change and disappears when the body reaches thermal
327 equilibrium. Thus, feeling of pleasure can only occur after conditions that cause thermal
328 discomfort. Thermal alliesthesia occurs due to the firing of dynamic thermoreceptors in the body
329 and is evident as an “overshoot” in thermal sensations. Thermal overshoots are stronger for
330 larger environmental differences and are usually more apparent when conditions change from
331 warmer towards cooler (De Dear, 2010). In an outdoor experiment where subjects were exposed
332 to alternate sun and shade conditions and local cooling at different metabolic rates, there was a
333 strong linear relationship in increased pleasure from a cooling effect when subjects previously
334 felt hot and vice versa. A moderate quadratic relationship was present when subjects were within
335 a thermoneutral zone (cooler or warmer than preferred) with only mild alliesthesia (Liu et al.,
336 2020). The present study has clearly demonstrated the effect of thermal alliesthesia. We found a
337 moderate linear relationship between mean pleasure votes and PET. A thermal overshoot is

338 evident with the increase in the z-score for pleasure by 2.59 at stop 5 with a simultaneous, but
339 smaller decrease in the PET z-score by 2.11. These changes in PET were mainly caused by
340 reduction of the T_{mrt} z-score by 2.9 and increase in the wind speed z-score by 0.78. This is in line
341 with another study showing that after T_{mrt} , wind speed becomes an important factor in affecting
342 thermal sensations. (Yuchun Zhang et al., 2020). Notably, the largest changes in subjective
343 thermal judgments occurred at the point of change in PET and not at the lowest value of PET.
344 For instance, the largest spike in TSV and drop in OTC and pleasure occurred at stop 3 after PET
345 started to increase but did not peak; and the largest increase in pleasure occurred at stop 5
346 following the decrease in PET but not at its minimal value (Figure 8). Moreover, SVF was
347 significantly correlated with estimated percent shade and pleasure, showing that subjects were
348 sensitive to changes in SVF, and they associated shadier areas with pleasure.




Figure 8. Series of mean **a)** TSV, **b)** OTC, **c)** Pleasure, and **d)** PET per stop collected during the thermal walk between 16:18 and 17:18 LT, September 29, 2018.

349 4.4. Perceptual vs hedonic scale

350 Many studies on thermal comfort define thermal neutrality as an optimal state and thermal
351 comfort as a middle range of values on the thermal sensation scale. However, concepts such as
352 thermal sensation, thermal comfort, and thermal pleasure are distinct and have complex
353 relationships. While TSV indicates the strength of thermal stimulus it does not provide
354 information on the state of comfort of the individual (De Dear, 2011). In steady-state conditions,
355 these concepts are closely related; however, in non-steady state environments these relationships
356 are not straightforward, because sensations such as thermal preference and thermal pleasure
357 become more prominent (Yufeng Zhang & Zhao, 2008). The current study shows the complexity
358 between TSV, OTC and pleasure. TSV and OTC were strongly correlated, but both were weakly
359 correlated with pleasure. TSV and OTC judgments were instantaneous regarding to the current
360 state of the individuals. Since conditions at stops had little variance, we did not identify
361 significant relationships with microclimate. At the same time, questions about pleasure were in
362 regards to the previously walked segment, demonstrating the effect of alliesthesia and thermal
363 history on subjects' responses. We recommend researchers continue to use dynamic methods
364 such as thermal walks to better capture the complexity of the human thermal experience through
365 space and time and to better inform design and planning interventions.

366 4.5. Implications for research and practice

367 Warming trends in cities and summer temperature extremes require a change of how we view
368 thermal comfort and design cities. Achieving neutral sensations in such conditions is unlikely,
369 and the feasibility towards that should be questioned. Methodologies such as thermal walks can
370 better capture people's lived experience of heat and show the evidence of the effect of design on

371 achieving pleasant sensations. This should be utilized in design by exploring the alliesthesial
372 potential of different urban design attributes. In addition, thermal comfort is not a universal state
373 and varies based on the socio-cultural differences, type of activity, and time of exposure, thus, it
374 is important to explore outdoor thermal comfort for different population groups and among
375 diverse routes and walk durations. To understand better how thermal overshoots and alliesthesia
376 can be utilized in design, research should shift towards exploring dynamic conditions and
377 thermal judgments in the context of those versus single-point measurements in particular
378 locations. Thermal walks meet these aims, and we look forward to the expansion and adoption of
379 this methodology moving forward.

380 **5. Limitations**

381 There is currently a lack of standardization in the methods to assess personal heat
382 exposure, and even more so, subjective aspects affecting perceptions of heat and thermal
383 sensation, especially in dynamic situations. This study has addressed both; however, it has
384 several limitations that should be considered and overcome in future work. Diurnal changes in
385 temperature influenced participants' perceptions as they approached the end of the walk. This
386 effect could be minimized if the walk was conducted earlier in the day during the noon hours
387 when the temperatures remain stable for several hours; or by alternating the direction of the walk
388 between participants. In our case, it was important to prioritize participants' safety as noon
389 temperatures could expose them to potentially dangerous conditions. Another limitation is that
390 PET model assumes a constant clothing insulation of 0.9 clo which is too high for hot conditions,
391 as well as it uses a constant metabolic rate of 80W added to basal metabolism, which is a low
392 activity level. Furthermore, PET is calculated for an 'average' male and female with

393 standardized age, height, and weight. Studies showed that performance of the models between
394 TSV and PET improved when actual metabolism level was added to the equation; however,
395 when we added metabolism, general age, clothing, and sex into the PET to calculate mPET, it
396 did not improve the relationship with TSV as hypothesized. This finding may be due to using
397 non-dynamic metabolic rate across the walk, rough body/age/clothing approximations, and
398 extremely low humidity. Collecting heart rate data to accurately calculate metabolism could
399 potentially improve our results; thus, future work should include such measurements in a
400 dynamic way to match with the microclimate data, as well as collect body height, weight,
401 clothing, and exact age. Finally, differences in the units and scales across variables allows for
402 different interpretations of “small” and “large” changes between them. We chose to use z-scores
403 to make more standardized comparisons between variables, but the z-scores are dependent on the
404 range of values collected during the experiment rather than any absolute scale. We encourage the
405 development of more consistent methods to compare meteorological variables and subjective
406 thermal comfort indicators.

407 **6. Conclusions**

408 Warming trends in cities and summer temperature extremes require a change of how we
409 view thermal comfort and design cities. We have demonstrated the effect of street morphology
410 on PET: overall, open street segments with minimal landscaping and high SVF had the highest
411 PET, as well as east-west oriented streets were hotter than north-south facing with over 6°C in
412 mean PET difference between segments and over 18°C difference in PET between the coolest
413 and the hottest areas. Furthermore, this study demonstrated the evidence of thermal alliesthesia
414 through spikes in pleasure votes triggered by smaller reductions in PET. Notably, the largest

415 differences in subjective thermal judgments tended to occur at the point of change in
416 microclimate and not at the lowest/highest values per se. Low sensitivity of TSV and OTC votes
417 to small PET variations and differences in TSV, OTC, and pleasure responses showed the
418 importance to collect both perceptual and affective thermal judgments for a holistic
419 understanding of pedestrian thermal comfort. This study demonstrates the value of collecting
420 people-centric metrics such as dynamic thermal comfort and provides a framework for exploring
421 alliesthesial potential of various urban design attributes in non-steady state conditions that can be
422 utilized to inform design practices and ensure livability in hot climates.

423 *Acknowledgements:*

424 This work was supported by the Urban Resilience to Extremes Sustainability Research Network,
425 NSF cooperative agreement number 1444755, The Nature Conservancy in Arizona in partnership
426 with Museum of Walking, Phoenix Revitalization Corporation, and Arizona State University's
427 Urban Climate Research Center and Knowledge Exchange for Resilience. The authors would
428 like to thank Dave Morrison, Anne-Marie Shaver, Paul Chakalian, Elizabeth Van Horn, Peter
429 Crank, Austin Mulshine, Heather Fischer, Yeowon Kim, Liza Kurtz, and Sean Mcelroy for
430 assisting with collecting data. The authors also appreciate valuable input and guidance from
431 Melissa Guardaro, Vanessa Lueck, Nich Weller, the City of Phoenix, and the City of Tempe.

432

433 Data to this article can be found online at

434 <https://portal.edirepository.org/nis/mapbrowse?scope=edi&identifier=1042>

References

- 435 Ali-Toudert, F., & Mayer, H. (2006). Numerical study on the effects of aspect ratio and
436 orientation of an urban street canyon on outdoor thermal comfort in hot and dry climate.
437 *Building and Environment*, *41*, 94–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.01.013>
- 438 Banerjee, S., Middel, A., & Chattopadhyay, S. (2020). Outdoor thermal comfort in various
439 microentrepreneurial settings in hot humid tropical Kolkata : Human biometeorological
440 assessment of objective and subjective parameters. *Science of the Total Environment*, *721*,
441 137741. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137741>
- 442 Beatley, T. (2000). Green urbanism : learning from European cities. In *Island Press*. Island Press.
- 443 Berke, P. R. (2002). Does sustainable development offer a new direction for planning?
444 Challenges for the twenty-first century. *Journal of Planning Literature*, *17*(1), 21–36.
445 <https://doi.org/10.1177/088122017001002>
- 446 Bolin, B., Grineski, S., & Collins, T. (2005). The Geography of Despair: Environmental racism
447 and the making of South Phoenix, Arizona, USA. *Human Ecology Review*, *12*(2), 156–168.
- 448 Bourbia, F., & Boucheriba, F. (2010). Impact of street design on urban microclimate for semi
449 arid climate (Constantine). *Renewable Energy*, *35*, 343–347.
450 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.07.017>
- 451 Cabanac, M. (1979). Sensory pleasure. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, *54*(1), 1–29.
452 <https://doi.org/10.1086/410981>
- 453 Caverzam Barbosa, E., & Klok, L. (2020). *Thermal Walk in Practice* . [https://www.hva.nl/kc-](https://www.hva.nl/kc-technik/gedeelde-content/contentgroep/klimaatbestendige-stad/resultaten/thermal-walk.html)
454 [technik/gedeelde-content/contentgroep/klimaatbestendige-stad/resultaten/thermal-](https://www.hva.nl/kc-technik/gedeelde-content/contentgroep/klimaatbestendige-stad/resultaten/thermal-walk.html)
455 [walk.html](https://www.hva.nl/kc-technik/gedeelde-content/contentgroep/klimaatbestendige-stad/resultaten/thermal-walk.html)
- 456 Chen, Y. C., & Matzarakis, A. (2018). Modified physiologically equivalent temperature—basics
457 and applications for western European climate. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*,
458 *132*(3–4), 1275–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-017-2158-x>
- 459 Chokhachian, A., Ka-Lun Lau, K., Perini, K., & Auer, T. (2018). Sensing transient outdoor
460 comfort: A georeferenced method to monitor and map microclimate. *Journal of Building*
461 *Engineering*, *20*, 94–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOBE.2018.07.003>
- 462 Crewe, K., Brazel, A., & Middel, A. (2016). Desert New Urbanism: testing for comfort in

463 downtown Tempe, Arizona. *Journal of Urban Design*, 21(6), 746–763.
 464 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13574809.2016.1187558>

465 Dare, R. (2019). A Review of Local-Level Land Use Planning and Design Policy for Urban Heat
 466 Island Mitigation. *Journal of Extreme Events*, 06(03n04), 2050002.
 467 <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2345737620500025>

468 De Dear, R. J. (2010). Thermal comfort in natural ventilation - A neurophysiological hypothesis.
 469 *Proceedings of Conference: Adapting to Change: New Thinking on Comfort, WINDSOR*
 470 *2010, April*, 9–11.

471 De Dear, R. J. (2011). Revisiting an old hypothesis of human thermal perception: Alliesthesia.
 472 *Building Research and Information*, 39(2), 108–117.
 473 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613218.2011.552269>

474 Dzyuban, Y. (2019). *Exploring the relationship between design and outdoor thermal comfort in*
 475 *hot and dry climate*.

476 Dzyuban, Y., Hondula, D. M., Coseo, P. J., & Redman, C. L. (2021). Public Transit
 477 Infrastructure and Heat Perceptions in Hot and Dry Climates. *International Journal of*
 478 *Biometeorology*.

479 *Edison-Eastlake One Vision Plan*. (2018).

480 Fanger, P. (1973). *Thermal comfort: analysis and applications in environmental engineering*.
 481 Danish Technical Press.

482 Forsyth, A. (2015). What is a walkable place? The walkability debate in urban design. *Urban*
 483 *Design International*, 20(4), 274–292. <https://doi.org/10.1057/udi.2015.22>

484 Guardaro, M., Messerschmidt, M., Hondula, D. M., Grimm, N. B., & Redman, C. L. (2020).
 485 Building community heat action plans story by story: A three neighborhood case study.
 486 *Cities*, 107(August), 102886. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.102886>

487 Hamstead, Z., & Coseo, P. (2019). Critical Heat Studies: Making Meaning of Heat for
 488 Management in the 21st Century — Special Issue of the Journal of Extreme Events
 489 Dedicated to Heat-as-Hazard. *Journal of Extreme Events*, 06(03n04), 2003001.
 490 <https://doi.org/10.1142/s2345737620030013>

491 Hamstead, Z., Coseo, P., Alkhaled, S., Boamah, E. F., & David, M. (2020). *Thermally resilient*

- 492 *communities : creating a socio-technical collaborative response to extreme temperatures.*
493 *June.* <https://doi.org/10.5334/bc.15>
- 494 Harlan, S. L., Brazel, A. J., Prashad, L., Stefanov, W. L., & Larsen, L. (2006). Neighborhood
495 microclimates and vulnerability to heat stress. *Social Science and Medicine*, 63, 2847–2863.
496 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.07.030>
- 497 Hoppe, P. (1999). The physiological equivalent temperature - a universal index for the
498 biometeorological assessment of the thermal environment. *International Journal of*
499 *Biometeorology*, 43(2), 71–75.
- 500 IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014 – Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability: Part A: Global*
501 *and Sectoral Aspects: Working Group II Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report.*
502 <https://doi.org/doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415379>
- 503 Jacobs, J. (1961). *The Death and Life of Great American Cities*. Random House.
- 504 Jenerette, G. D., Harlan, S. L., Brazel, A., Jones, N., Larsen, L., & Stefanov, W. L. (2007).
505 Regional relationships between surface temperature, vegetation, and human settlement in a
506 rapidly urbanizing ecosystem. *Landscape Ecology*, 22(3), 353–365.
507 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-006-9032-z>
- 508 Jenerette, G. D., Harlan, S. L., Buyantuev, A., Stefanov, W. L., Deplet-Barreto, J., Ruddell, B.
509 L., Myint, S. W., Kaplan, S., & Li, X. (2015). Micro-scale urban surface temperatures are
510 related to land-cover features and residential heat related health impacts in Phoenix, AZ
511 USA. *Landscape Ecology*, 31(4), 745–760. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-015-0284-3>
- 512 Keith, L., Meerow, S., & Wagner, T. (2019). Planning for Extreme Heat: A Review. *Journal of*
513 *Extreme Events*, 06(03n04), 2050003. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s2345737620500037>
- 514 Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B., & Rubel, F. (2006). *World Map of the Köppen-*
515 *Geiger climate classification updated.* 15(3), 259–263. [https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-](https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0130)
516 [2948/2006/0130](https://doi.org/10.1127/0941-2948/2006/0130)
- 517 Langemeyer, J., & Connolly, J. J. T. (2020). Weaving notions of justice into urban ecosystem
518 services research and practice. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 109(March), 1–14.
519 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2020.03.021>
- 520 Lau, K. K.-L., Shi, Y., & Ng, E. Y. Y. (2019). Dynamic response of pedestrian thermal comfort

521 under outdoor transient conditions. *International Journal of Biometeorology*.
522 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-019-01712-2>

523 Liu, S., Nazarian, N., Hart, M. A., Niu, J., Xie, Y., & De Dear, R. J. (2020). Dynamic thermal
524 pleasure in outdoor environments - temporal alliesthesia. *Science of the Total Environment*,
525 144910. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144910>

526 *Local Climatological Data Station Details: PHOENIX AIRPORT, AZ US, WBAN:23183* |
527 *Climate Data Online (CDO) | National Climatic Data Center (NCDC)*. (n.d.). Retrieved
528 July 26, 2021, from [https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-](https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/datasets/LCD/stations/WBAN:23183/detail)
529 [web/datasets/LCD/stations/WBAN:23183/detail](https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/datasets/LCD/stations/WBAN:23183/detail)

530 Matzarakis, A., & Rutz, F. (2010). Application of the RayMan model in urban environments.
531 *Ninth Symposium on the Urban Environment*.

532 Meerow, S., & Newell, J. P. (2019). Urban resilience for whom, what, when, where, and why?
533 *Urban Geography*, 40(3), 309–329. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2016.1206395>

534 Middel, A., Alkhaled, S., Schneider, F. A., Hagen, B., & Coseo, P. (2021). 50 Grades of Shade.
535 *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 1(35). [https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0193.1)
536 [20-0193.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-20-0193.1).

537 Middel, A., & Krayenhoff, E. S. (2019). Micrometeorological determinants of pedestrian thermal
538 exposure during record-breaking heat in Tempe, Arizona: Introducing the MaRTy
539 observational platform. *Science of the Total Environment*, 687, 137–151.
540 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.06.085>

541 Middel, A., Lukasczyk, J., & Maciejewski, R. (2017). Sky View Factors from Synthetic Fisheye
542 Photos for Thermal Comfort Routing—A Case Study in Phoenix, Arizona. *Urban Planning*,
543 2(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.17645/up.v2i1.855>

544 Middel, A., Selover, N., Hagen, B., & Chhetri, N. (2016). Impact of shade on outdoor thermal
545 comfort—a seasonal field study in Tempe, Arizona. *International Journal of*
546 *Biometeorology*, 60, 1849–1861. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-016-1172-5>

547 Nakayoshi, M., Kanda, M., Shi, R., & de Dear, R. (2014). Outdoor thermal physiology along
548 human pathways: a study using a wearable measurement system. *International Journal of*
549 *Biometeorology*, 59(5), 503–515. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-014-0864-y>

550 *National Weather Service - NWS Phoenix*. (n.d.). Retrieved November 28, 2018, from
551 <https://www.wrh.noaa.gov/psr/general/history/index.php?page=100deg>

552 Ohashi, Y., Katsuta, T., Tani, H., Okabayashi, T., Miyahara, S., & Miyashita, R. (2018). Human
553 cold stress of strong local-wind “Hijikawa-arashi” in Japan, based on the UTCI index and
554 thermo-physiological responses. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 62(7), 1241–
555 1250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00484-018-1529-Z>

556 Oke, T. R. (1987). *Boundary layer climates*. Cambridge: University Press.

557 Shields, R., Gomes da Silva, E. J., Lima e Lima, T., & Osorio, N. (2021). Walkability: a review
558 of trends. *Journal of Urbanism: International Research on Placemaking and Urban*
559 *Sustainability*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17549175.2021.1936601>

560 Shooshtarian, S. (2019). Theoretical dimension of outdoor thermal comfort research.
561 *SUSTAINABLE CITIES AND SOCIETY*, 47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2019.101495>

562 Stone, B., Hess, J. J., & Frumkin, H. (2010). Urban form and extreme heat events: Are sprawling
563 cities more vulnerable to climate change than compact cities? *Environmental Health*
564 *Perspectives*, 118(10), 1425–1428. <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901879>

565 Stone, B. J. (2012). *The city and the coming climate: Climate change in the places we live*.
566 Cambridge University Press.

567 Stone, B. J., Mednick, A. C., Holloway, T., & Spak, S. N. (2007). Is compact growth good for air
568 quality? *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 73(4), 404–418.
569 <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360708978521>

570 Stone, B. J., & Rodgers, M. O. (2001). Urban form and thermal efficiency: How the design of
571 cities influences the urban heat island effect. *Journal of the American Planning Association*,
572 67(2), 186–198. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944360108976228>

573 *Sunrise and sunset times Phoenix, September 2018*. (n.d.). Retrieved October 29, 2021, from
574 https://www.sunrise-and-sunset.com/en/sun/united-states/phoenix__az/2018/september

575 Vanos, J. K., Warland, J. S., Gillespie, T. J., & Kenny, N. A. (2010). Review of the physiology
576 of human thermal comfort while exercising in urban landscapes and implications for
577 bioclimatic design. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 54, 319–334.
578 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-010-0301-9>

- 579 Vasilikou, C., & Nikolopoulou, M. (2020). Outdoor thermal comfort for pedestrians in
580 movement: thermal walks in complex urban morphology. *International Journal of*
581 *Biometeorology*, 64(2), 277–291. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-019-01782-2>
- 582 Wang, C., Middel, A., Myint, S. W., Kaplan, S., Brazel, A. J., & Lukasczyk, J. (2018). Assessing
583 local climate zones in arid cities: The case of Phoenix, Arizona and Las Vegas, Nevada.
584 *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 141, 59–71.
585 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2018.04.009>
- 586 Wheeler, S. M. (2000). Planning for metropolitan sustainability. *Journal of Planning Education*
587 *and Research*, 20(2), 133–145. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X0002000201>
- 588 Wilhelmi, O. V., & Hayden, M. H. (2010). Connecting people and place: A new framework for
589 reducing urban vulnerability to extreme heat. *Environmental Research Letters*, 5(1).
590 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/5/1/014021>
- 591 Zhang, Yuchun, Liu, J., Zheng, Z., Fang, Z., Zhang, X., Gao, Y., & Xie, Y. (2020). Analysis of
592 thermal comfort during movement in a semi-open transition space. *Energy and Buildings*,
593 225, 110312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110312>
- 594 Zhang, Yufeng, & Zhao, R. (2008). Overall thermal sensation, acceptability and comfort.
595 *Building and Environment*, 43, 44–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2006.11.036>