

Singapore Management University

## Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University

---

Research Collection School Of Economics

School of Economics

---

9-2014

### Modified QML Estimation of Spatial Autoregressive Models with Unknown Heteroskedasticity and Nonnormality

Shew Fan LIU

*Singapore Management University, sfliu@smu.edu.sg*

Zhenlin YANG

*Singapore Management University, zlyang@smu.edu.sg*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/soe\\_research](https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/soe_research)



Part of the [Econometrics Commons](#)

---

#### Citation

LIU, Shew Fan and YANG, Zhenlin. Modified QML Estimation of Spatial Autoregressive Models with Unknown Heteroskedasticity and Nonnormality. (2014). 1-35.

Available at: [https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/soe\\_research/1598](https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/soe_research/1598)

This Working Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Economics at Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Research Collection School Of Economics by an authorized administrator of Institutional Knowledge at Singapore Management University. For more information, please email [cherylds@smu.edu.sg](mailto:cherylds@smu.edu.sg).

# **Modified QML Estimation of Spatial Autoregressive Models with Unknown Heteroskedasticity and Nonnormality**

**Shew Fan Liu and Zhenlin Yang**

September 2014

Paper No. 14-2014

# Modified QML Estimation of Spatial Autoregressive Models with Unknown Heteroskedasticity and Nonnormality\*

Shew Fan Liu and Zhenlin Yang<sup>†</sup>

*School of Economics, Singapore Management University, 90 Stamford Road, Singapore 178903.*

emails: shewfan.liu.2011@smu.edu.sg; zlyang@smu.edu.sg

July 23, 2014

## Abstract

In the presence of heteroskedasticity, Lin and Lee (2010) show that the quasi maximum likelihood (QML) estimators of spatial autoregressive models (SAR) can be inconsistent as a ‘necessary’ condition for consistency can be violated, and thus propose robust GMM estimators for the model. In this paper, we first show that this condition may hold in many practical situations and when it does the regular QML estimators can be consistent. In cases where this condition is violated, we propose a modified QML estimation method robust against heteroskedasticity of unknown form. In both cases, asymptotic distributions of the estimators are derived, and methods for estimating robust variances are given, leading to robust inferences for the model. Extensive Monte Carlo results show that the modified QML estimator outperforms the GMM estimators, and the regular QML estimator even when it is consistent. The proposed robust inference methods can also be easily applied.

**Key Words:** Spatial dependence; Unknown heteroskedasticity; Nonnormality; Modified QML estimator; Robust standard error.

**JEL Classification:** C10, C13, C15, C21

## 1. Introduction

Spatial dependence is increasingly becoming an integral part in empirical works in economics as a means of modelling the effects of ‘neighbours’ (see, e.g., Cliff and Ord (1972, 1973, 1981), Ord (1975), Anselin (1988, 2003), Anselin and Bera (1998), LeSage and Pace (2009) for some early and comprehensive works). Spatial interaction in general can occur in many forms. For instance peer interaction can cause stratified behaviour in the sample such as herd behaviour in stock markets, innovation spillover effects, localized purchase decisions, etc., while spatial relationships can also occur more naturally due to structural differences in space/cross-section such as geographic proximity, trade agreements, demographic characteristics, etc. See Case (1991), Pinkse and Slade (1998), Pinkse et al. (2002), Hanushek et al. (2003), Baltagi et al. (2007) to name a few. Among the various spatial econometrics models that have been extensively treated, the most popular one may be the spatial autoregressive (SAR) model.

---

\*The authors are grateful to School of Economics, Singapore Management University, for research support, and to the participants of the VIII world conference of the Spatial Econometrics Association, Zürich 2014, for helpful comments.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: 90 Stamford Road, Singapore 178903. Phone +65-6828-0852; Fax: +65-6828-0833.

While heteroskedasticity is common in regular cross-section studies, it may be more so for a spatial econometrics model due to aggregation, clustering, etc. Anselin (1988) identifies that heteroskedasticity can broadly occur due to “idiosyncrasies in model specification and affect the statistical validity of the estimated model”. This may be due to the misspecification of the model that feeds to the disturbance term or may occur more naturally in the presence of peer interactions. Data related heteroskedasticity may also occur for example if the model deals with a mix of aggregate and non aggregate data, the aggregation may cause errors to be heteroskedastic. See, e.g., Glaeser et al. (1996), LeSage and Pace (2009), Lin and Lee (2010) for more discussions. As such, the assumption of homoskedastic disturbances is likely to be invalid in a spatial context in general. However, much of the present spatial econometrics literature has focused on estimators developed under the assumption that the errors follow a homoskedastic structure. This is in a clear contrast to the standard cross-section econometrics literature where the use of heteroskedasticity robust estimators is the standard practice.

Although Anselin raised the issue of heteroskedasticity in spatial models as early as in 1988, and made an attempt to provide tests of spatial effects robust to unknown heteroskedasticity, comprehensive treatments of estimation related issues were not considered until recent years by, e.g., Kelejian and Prucha (2007, 2010), LeSage (1997), Lin and Lee (2010), Jin and Lee (2012), and Arraiz et al. (2010). Lin and Lee (2010) formally illustrate that the traditional quasi maximum likelihood (QML) and generalized method of moments (GMM) estimators are inconsistent in general when the spatial model suffers from heteroskedasticity, and provide heteroskedasticity robust GMM estimators by modifying the usual quadratic moment conditions.

Inspired by Lin and Lee (2010), we introduce a modified QML estimator by modifying the concentrated score function for the spatial parameter. It is well known that QML estimation, as opposed to GMM estimation, is an effective tool to exploit the characteristics of the distribution of the true innovation process even if the exact distribution is unknown. Hence, the efficiency of QML estimates almost always supersedes other forms of estimators which does not consider the shape of the distribution. This gives an incentive to explore the possibility of a consistent likelihood estimate in the presence of heteroskedasticity.<sup>1</sup> The theory given herein is developed using the SAR model although the method can be extended to other spatial models such as linear regression with spatial error dependence (SED) or linear regression with both SAR and SED structures. As expected, this modified QML estimator generally outperforms its GMM counter parts in terms of efficiency and sensitivity to the magnitude of model parameters in particular the regression coefficients, as evidenced by the extensive Monte Carlo results. Standard error estimate of the modified QML estimator, robust against unknown heteroskedasticity, is provided. We also study the cases under which the regular QML estimators are robust against unknown heteroskedasticity and provide a set of robust inference methods. It is interesting to note that the modified QML estimator is computationally as simple as the regular QML estimator, and it also outperforms the regular QML estimator when the latter is heteroskedasticity

---

<sup>1</sup>The computational complexity may be the key factor that hinders the application of the QML-type estimation method, as it requires the calculation of the determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix. However, with the modern computing technologies, this should no longer be considered as an issue of major concern, unless  $n$  is super large.

robust. This is because the modified QML estimator captures the extra variability inherent in the estimation of the spatial parameter accrued by the estimation of the regression coefficients and the average variance of the errors. In summary, the proposed set of QML-based robust inference methods for the SAR model are simple and can be easily used by applied researchers.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 examines the cases where the regular QML estimator of the SAR model is consistent under unknown heteroskedasticity, and provides the method for robust inference. Section 3 introduces the modified QML estimator that is generally robust against unknown heteroskedasticity, and presents methods for robust inferences. Section 4 presents the Monte Carlo results. Section 5 concludes the paper. All technical details are given in the Appendices.

## 2. QML Estimation of Spatial Autoregressive Models

In this section, we first outline the QML estimation of the SAR model under the assumptions that the errors are independent and identically distributed (iid). Then, we examine the properties of the QML estimator (QMLE) of the SAR model when the errors are independent but not identically distributed (inid). We provide conditions under which the regular QMLE is robust against heteroskedasticity of unknown form, and derive asymptotic distribution of this robust QMLE. All proofs are relegated to Appendix B.

### 2.1 The model and the QML estimation

Consider the spatial autoregressive or SAR model of the form:

$$Y_n = \lambda W_n Y_n + X_n \beta + \epsilon_n, \quad (1)$$

where  $X_n$  is an  $n \times k$  matrix of exogenous variables,  $W_n$  is a known  $n \times n$  spatial weight matrix,  $\epsilon_n$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of iid elements with mean zero and variance  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\beta$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector of regression coefficients and  $\lambda$  is the spatial parameter. The Gaussian loglikelihood is,

$$\ell_n(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\sigma^2) + \ln |A_n(\lambda)| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \epsilon_n'(\beta, \lambda) \epsilon_n(\beta, \lambda), \quad (2)$$

where  $\theta = (\beta', \sigma^2, \lambda)'$ ,  $A_n(\lambda) = I_n - \lambda W_n$ ,  $I_n$  is an  $n \times n$  identity matrix, and  $\epsilon_n(\beta, \lambda) = A_n(\lambda) Y_n - X_n \beta$ . Given  $\lambda$ ,  $\ell_n(\theta)$  is maximized at  $\hat{\beta}_n(\lambda) = (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' A_n(\lambda) Y_n$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n$ , where  $M_n = I_n - X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n'$ . By substituting  $\hat{\beta}_n(\lambda)$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)$  into  $\ell_n(\theta)$ , we arrive at the concentrated log likelihood function for  $\lambda$  as,

$$\ell_n^c(\lambda) = -\frac{n}{2} [\ln(2\pi) + 1] - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)) + \ln |A_n(\lambda)|, \quad (3)$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the determinant of a square matrix. Maximizing  $\ell_n^c(\lambda)$  gives the unconstrained QMLE  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  of  $\lambda$ , and thus the QMLEs of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  as  $\hat{\beta}_n \equiv \hat{\beta}(\hat{\lambda}_n)$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \equiv \hat{\sigma}_n^2(\hat{\lambda}_n)$ . Denote  $\hat{\theta}_n = (\hat{\beta}_n', \hat{\sigma}_n^2, \hat{\lambda}_n)'$ , the QMLE of  $\theta$ .

Under regularity conditions, Lee (2004) establishes the consistency and asymptotic normality of the QMLE  $\hat{\theta}_n$ . In particular, he shows that  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  and  $\hat{\beta}_n$  may have a slower than  $\sqrt{n}$ -rate of convergence if the degree of spatial dependence (or the number of neighbours each spatial unit has) grows with the sample size  $n$ . The QMLE and its asymptotic distribution developed by Lee is robust against nonnormality of the error distribution. However, some important issues need to be further considered: (i) conditions under which the regular QMLE  $\hat{\theta}_n$  remains consistent when errors are heteroskedastic, (ii) methods to modify the regular QMLE  $\hat{\theta}_n$  so that it becomes generally consistent under unknown heteroskedasticity, and (iii) methods for estimating the variance of the (modified) QMLE robust against unknown heteroskedasticity.

## 2.2 Robustness of QMLE against unknown heteroskedasticity

It is well accepted that the regular QMLE of the usual linear regression model without spatial dependence, developed under homoskedastic errors, is still consistent when the errors are in fact heteroskedastic, however, for correct inferences the standard error of the estimator has to be adjusted to account for this unknown heteroskedasticity (White, 1980). Suppose now we have a linear regression model with spatial dependence as given in (1) with disturbances that are independent but not identically distributed (inid), i.e.,  $\epsilon_{n,i} \sim \text{inid}(0, \sigma^2 h_{n,i})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{n,i} = 1$  and  $h_{n,i} > 0$ .<sup>2</sup> Consider the score function derived from (2),

$$\psi_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial \ell_n(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} X_n' \epsilon_n(\beta, \lambda), \\ \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} [\epsilon_n'(\beta, \lambda) \epsilon_n(\beta, \lambda) - n\sigma^2], \\ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} Y_n' W_n' \epsilon_n(\beta, \lambda) - \text{tr}[G_n(\lambda)], \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where  $G_n(\lambda) = W_n A^{-1}(\lambda)$  and ‘tr’ denotes the trace of a square matrix. It is well known that for an extremum estimator, such as the QMLE  $\hat{\theta}_n$  we consider, to be consistent, a necessary condition is that  $\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \psi_n(\theta_0) = 0$  at the true parameter  $\theta_0$  (Amemiya, 1985). This is always the case for the  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  components  $\psi_n(\theta_0)$  whether or not the errors are homoskedastic. However, it may not be the case for the  $\lambda$  component of  $\psi_n(\theta_0)$ . Let  $h_n = (h_{n,1}, \dots, h_{n,n})'$  and let ‘diag(·)’ denote a diagonal matrix formed by the elements of a vector or the diagonal elements of a square matrix, and ‘diagv(·)’ denote a column vector formed by the diagonal elements of a square matrix. We have, similarly to Lin and Lee (2010),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ell_n(\theta_0) &= \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(H_n G_n - G_n) + o_p(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_{n,i} - 1)(g_{n,i} - \bar{g}_n) + o_p(1) \\ &= \text{Cov}(g_n, h_n) + o_p(1), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $g_n = (g_{n,1}, \dots, g_{n,n})' = \text{diagv}(G_n)$ ,  $H_n = \text{diag}(h_n)$ ,  $\bar{g}_n$  denotes the sample mean of  $\{h_{n,i}\}$ , and  $\text{Cov}(g_n, h_n)$  denotes the sample covariance between  $\{g_{n,i}\}$  and  $\{h_{n,i}\}$ .

<sup>2</sup>Note that  $\sigma^2$  is the average of  $\text{Var}(\epsilon_{n,i})$ . Under homoskedasticity,  $h_{n,i} = 1, \forall i$ . For generality, we allow  $h_{n,i}$  to depend on  $n$ , for each  $i$ . This parameterization, a nonparametric version of Breusch and Pagan (1979), is useful as it allows the estimation of the average scale parameter. See Section 3 for more details.

Therefore, when  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cov}(g_n, h_n) \neq 0$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_n$  cannot be consistent. As Lin and Lee (2010) noted, this condition is satisfied if almost all the diagonal elements of the matrix  $G_n$  are equal.<sup>3</sup> In fact, much more can be said about this condition. First, by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, this condition is satisfied if  $\text{Var}(g_n) \rightarrow 0$ , which boils down to  $\text{Var}(k_n) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $k_n$  is the vector of number of neighbours for each unit. This is because (i)  $G_n = W_n + \lambda W_n^2 + \lambda^2 W_n^3 + \dots$ , if  $|\lambda| < 1$  and  $w_{n,ij} < 1$ , and (ii) the diagonal elements of  $W_n^r$ ,  $r \geq 2$  inversely relate to  $k_n$ , see Anselin (2003). In fact, when  $W_n$  is row-normalized and symmetric,  $\text{diag}(W_n^2) = \{k_{n,i}^{-1}\}$ .  $\text{Var}(k_n) = o(1)$  can be seen to be true for many popular spatial layouts such as Rook, Queen, group interactions, etc, see Yang (2010). Second, if heteroskedasticity occur due to reasons unrelated to the number of neighbours, for example, due to the nature of the exogenous regressors  $X_n$ , then the required condition will still be satisfied. In this case QML estimate of  $\lambda_n$  will be consistent even under heteroskedasticity, if in addition  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cov}(q_n, h_n) = 0$ , where  $q_n = \text{diagv}(G_n' G_n)$  (see Theorem 1 and its proof). These discussions show that it should be useful to provide inference methods for the SAR model when the QMLEs are robust. Formal results in this context can be constructed under the following regularity conditions. A quantity at the true parameter is denoted by suppressing the variable notation, e.g.,  $A_n \equiv A_n(\lambda_0)$  and  $G_n \equiv G_n(\lambda_0)$ .

**Assumption 1:** *The true parameter  $\lambda_0$  is in the interior of a compact parameter set  $\Lambda$ .*<sup>4</sup>

**Assumption 2:**  $\epsilon_n \sim (0, \sigma_0^2 H_n)$ , where  $H_n = \text{diag}(h_{n,1}, \dots, h_{n,n})$ , such that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{n,i} = 1$  and  $h_{n,i} > 0, \forall i$  and  $E|\epsilon_{n,i}|^{4+\delta} < c$  for some  $\delta > 0$  and constant  $c$  for all  $n$  and  $i$ .

**Assumption 3:** *The elements of the  $n \times k$  regressor matrix  $X_n$  are uniformly bounded for all  $n$ ,  $X_n$  has the full rank  $k$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} X_n' X_n$  exists and is nonsingular.*

**Assumption 4:** *The spatial weights matrix  $W_n$  is uniformly bounded in absolute value in both row and column sums and its diagonal elements are zero.*

**Assumption 5:** *The matrix  $A_n$  is non-singular and  $A_n^{-1}$  is uniformly bounded in absolute value in both row and column sums. Further,  $A_n^{-1}(\lambda)$  is uniformly bounded in either row or column sums, uniformly in  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*

**Assumption 6:** *The  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (X_n, G_n X_n \beta_0)' M_n (X_n, G_n X_n \beta_0) = k$  with  $0 \leq k < \infty$ . If  $k = 0$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln |\sigma_0^2 A_n^{-1} A_n'^{-1}| - \frac{1}{n} \ln |\sigma_n^2(\lambda) A_n^{-1}(\lambda) A_n'^{-1}(\lambda)| \neq 0$ , whenever  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$ , where  $\sigma_n^2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n A_n'^{-1} A_n'^{-1}(\lambda) A_n^{-1}(\lambda) A_n^{-1})$ .*

Assumptions 2 and 3 are similar to those of Lin and Lee (2010). Assumption 2 implies that  $\{h_{n,i}\}$  as well as the third and fourth moments of  $\epsilon_{n,i}$  are uniformly bounded for all  $n$  and  $i$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>For example,  $G_n$  will have constant diagonals for the case of circular neighbours, where each neighbour is given equal weight or group interaction scheme with equal group sizes and hence identical weights. The condition will also be satisfied asymptotically for a very sparse weight matrix.

<sup>4</sup>For QML-type estimation, the parameter space  $\Lambda$  must be such that  $A_n(\lambda)$  is non-singular  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ . If the eigenvalues of  $W_n$  are all real, then  $\Lambda = (w_{\min}^{-1}, w_{\max}^{-1})$  where  $w_{\min}$  and  $w_{\max}$  are, respectively, the smallest and the largest eigenvalues of  $W_n$ ; if,  $W_n$  is row normalized, then  $w_{\max} = 1$  and  $w_{\min}^{-1} < -1$ , and  $\Lambda = (w_{\min}^{-1}, 1)$  (Anselin, 1988). In general, the eigenvalues of  $W_n$  may not be all real as  $W_n$  can be asymmetric. LeSage and Pace (2009, p. 88-89) argue that only the purely real eigenvalues can affect the singularity of  $A_n(\lambda)$ . Consequently, for  $W_n$  with complex eigenvalues, the interval of  $\lambda$  that guarantees non-singular  $A_n(\lambda)$  is  $(w_s^{-1}, 1)$  where  $w_s$  is the most negative real eigenvalue of  $W_n$ . Kelejian and Prucha (2010) suggest  $\Lambda$  be  $(-\tau_n^{-1}, \tau_n^{-1})$  where  $\tau_n$  is the spectral radius of  $W_n$ , or  $(-1, 1)$  after normalization.

Assumptions 2 and 3 imply that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} X_n' H_n X_n$  exists and is nonsingular. Assumptions 4 and 5 are standard for the SAR model, which limit the spatial dependence to a manageable level (Kelejian and Prucha, 1999). Assumption 6 is the heteroskedastic version of the identification condition introduced by Lee (2004) for the homoskedastic SAR model.

For the loglikelihood and score functions given in (2) and (4), let  $\mathbb{I}_n = -\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \ell_n(\theta_0) \right]$  and  $\Sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_n(\theta_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} \ell_n(\theta_0) \right]$ , with their exact expressions deferred to the next subsection in connection with the issue on the robust variance covariance (VC) matrix estimation. We have the following results (recall  $g_n = \text{diagv}(G_n)$  and  $q_n = \text{diagv}(G_n' G_n)$ ).

**Theorem 1:** *Under Assumptions 1-6 and further assuming that  $\text{Cov}(g_n, h_n) = o(1)$  and  $\text{Cov}(q_n, h_n) = o(1)$ , we have as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta_0$ , and*

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \mathbb{I}^{-1} \Sigma \mathbb{I}^{-1}), \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbb{I} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{I}_n$  and  $\Sigma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_n$  both assumed to exist and  $\mathbb{I}$  is nonsingular.

### 2.3 Robust VC standard errors of the QML estimators

Asymptotically valid inference for  $\theta$  based on the QMLEs  $\hat{\theta}_n$  requires a consistent estimator of the asymptotic variance given in Theorem 1. This is fairly simple under homoskedasticity as the sample analogue of  $\mathbb{I}_n$  and  $\Sigma_n$  can directly be used to give consistent estimators of  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $\Sigma$ . Under the unknown heteroskedasticity designated by  $H_n$ , we have after some algebra:

$$\mathbb{I}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 n} X_n' X_n & 0 & \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 n} X_n' \eta_n \\ \sim & \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^4} & \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 n} \text{tr}(H_n G_n) \\ \sim & \sim & \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 n} \eta_n' \eta_n + \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(H_n G_n' G_n + G_n^2) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\eta_n = G_n X_n \beta_0$ . This shows that a consistent estimator of  $\mathbb{I}_n$  can still be obtained by ‘plugging’  $\hat{\theta}_n$  for  $\theta_0$ ,  $G_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$  for  $G_n$  and  $\hat{H}_n = \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_n^2} \text{diag}(\hat{\epsilon}_{n,1}^2, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_{n,n}^2)$  for  $H_n$ , in line with the idea of White (1980), where  $\{\hat{\epsilon}_{n,i}\}$  are the QML residuals. However, this approach fails in estimating the variance of the score,  $\Sigma_n$ , as its  $\sigma_0^2$ -element:

$$\Sigma_{n,\sigma^2\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{4n\sigma_0^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (2h_{n,i}^2 + \kappa_{n,i}),$$

cannot be consistently estimated unless the kurtosis measures  $\{\kappa_{n,i}\}$  are all zero or  $\{\epsilon_{n,i}\}$  are normally distributed. This means that the robust inference method for  $\sigma_0^2$  is not available. Obviously,  $\sigma^2$  is typically not the main parameter that inferences concern, although the consistency of its QMLE (shown in Theorem 1) is crucial. Thus, to get around of this problem, we focus on  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$  as those are the main parameters that inferences concern. First, based on the concentrated score function for  $\lambda$ , obtained from (4) by concentrating out  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  (see (7) below), we obtain the robust variance of  $\hat{\lambda}_n$ , and then based on the relationship between  $\hat{\beta}_n$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  we obtain the robust variance of  $\hat{\beta}_n$ . As these developments fall into the main results presented in next section, we give details at the end of Section 3.



### 3. Modified QML Estimation under Heteroskedasticity

As argued in Lin and Lee (2010) and further discussed in Section 2 of this paper, the necessary condition for the consistency of the regular QMLE,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cov}(g_n, h_n) = 0$ , can be violated when  $h_n$  is proportional to the number of neighbours  $k_n$  for each spatial unit and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(k_n) \neq 0$ .<sup>5</sup> To solve this problem, Lin and Lee (2010) propose robust GMM estimators and optimal robust GMM estimators. While the proposed robust GMM estimators are consistent under unknown heteroskedasticity, their finite sample performance may be sensitive to the relevance of the exogenous regressors since the GMM estimator makes explicit use of instruments based on  $X_n$ . In contrast, ML-type estimation is known to be efficient, and thus if a modification on the regular QML estimator can be found so that it becomes robust against unknown heteroskedasticity, it should be expected to outperform the robust GMM estimators. Inspired by the work of Lin and Lee, we propose a modified QML estimator of the SAR model, and introduce a method for estimating its robust standard error.

#### 3.1 The modified QML Estimator

Given the problems associated with the  $\lambda$ -element of  $\psi_n(\theta_0)$  in (4), in asymptotically attaining the limit desired to ensure consistency of the related extremum estimator under heteroskedasticity, one can look at a modification to the score function that allows it to reach a probability limit of zero by brute force. This method is in line with Lin and Lee (2010)'s treatment to the quadratic moments of the form  $E(\epsilon_n' P_n \epsilon_n) = 0$ , where  $\text{tr}(P_n) = 0$  is modified such that  $\text{diag}(P_n) = 0$  to attain a consistent GMM estimator under unknown heteroskedasticity. Following this idea, if we modify the last component of  $\psi_n(\theta_0)$  as,

$$\sigma_0^{-2} [Y_n' W_n' \epsilon_n - \epsilon_n' \text{diag}(G_n) \epsilon_n],$$

we immediately see that  $\text{plim} \frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2} [Y_n' W_n' \epsilon_n - \epsilon_n' \text{diag}(G_n) \epsilon_n] = 0$ , in light of (5). This modification is asymptotically valid in the sense that it will make the estimators consistent under the unknown heteroskedasticity. However, the finite sample performance of the estimators is not guaranteed as the variations from the estimation of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are completely ignored.

Now consider the average concentrated score function derived by concentrating out  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$ , i.e., replacing  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  by  $\hat{\beta}_n(\lambda)$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)$  in the last component of (4), or taking the derivative of (3), and then dividing the resulting concentrated score function by  $n$ ,

$$\tilde{\psi}_n(\lambda) = \frac{Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n [G_n(\lambda) - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n(\lambda)) I_n] A_n(\lambda) Y_n}{Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n}. \quad (7)$$

The average concentrated score  $\tilde{\psi}_n(\lambda)$  captures the variability coming from estimating  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Under the regular QML estimation framework (see, e.g., Amemiya, 1985), the QMLE of  $\lambda$  is equivalently defined as  $\hat{\lambda}_n = \arg\{\tilde{\psi}_n(\lambda) = 0\}$ . Solving  $\tilde{\psi}_n(\lambda) = 0$  is equivalent to solving

<sup>5</sup>For example, when  $W_n$  corresponds to group interactions (circular world spatial layout can be a special case), and the group sizes are generated from a fixed discrete distribution, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(k_n) \neq 0$ .

$Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n [G_n(\lambda) - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n(\lambda)) I_n] A_n(\lambda) Y_n = 0$ , and for the solution  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  to remain consistent under unknown heteroskedasticity, it is necessary that  $\frac{1}{n} \text{E}[Y_n' A_n' M_n (G_n - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n) I_n) A_n Y_n]$  equals or tends to zero, see van der Vaart (1998, ch. 5). This is not true if there exists unknown heteroskedasticity and the conditions stated in Theorem 1 are violated.

Our idea is to modify the numerator of (7) so that its expectation at the true parameter  $\lambda_0$  is zero even under unknown heteroskedasticity.<sup>6</sup> Since  $\text{E}(Y_n' A_n' M_n G_n A_n Y_n) = \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n M_n G_n) = \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n \text{diag}(M_n G_n))$ , this suggests that one should replace  $\frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n) I_n$  in the numerator of (7) by  $\text{diag}(M_n G_n)$ . However,  $\text{E}(Y_n' A_n' M_n \text{diag}(M_n G_n) A_n Y_n) = \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n M_n \text{diag}(M_n G_n)) \neq \text{E}(Y_n' A_n' M_n G_n A_n Y_n)$ . Thus, in order to cancel the effect of the additional  $M_n$ , one should instead replace  $\frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n) I_n$  in the numerator of (7) by  $\text{diag}(M_n)^{-1} \text{diag}(M_n G_n)$ . Hence,  $\tilde{\psi}_n(\lambda)$  is modified by replacing  $G_n(\lambda) - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n(\lambda)) I_n$  by,

$$G_n^\circ(\lambda) = G_n(\lambda) - \text{diag}(M_n)^{-1} \text{diag}(M_n G_n(\lambda)). \quad (8)$$

This gives a modified concentrated score function,

$$\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda) = \frac{Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n G_n^\circ(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) Y_n}{Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n}, \quad (9)$$

and hence a modified QML estimator of  $\lambda_0$  as,

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n = \arg\{\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda) = 0\}. \quad (10)$$

Once a heteroskedasticity-robust estimator of  $\lambda$  is obtained, the heteroskedasticity-robust estimators of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$  are,  $\tilde{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = \hat{\sigma}_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$ , respectively, as the estimating functions (first two components of  $\psi_n(\theta)$ ) leading to  $\hat{\beta}_n(\lambda)$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)$ , defined below (2), are robust to unknown heteroskedasticity. More discussions on this will follow.

Recently, Jin and Lee (2012) proposed a heteroskedasticity-robust *root estimator* of  $\lambda$  by solving the quadratic (in  $\lambda$ ) equation:  $Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n P_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n = 0$ , where  $P_n$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix such that  $M_n P_n$  has a zero diagonal. As there are two roots and only one is consistent, they gave criteria to choose the consistent root. In case where the  $P_n$  matrix is parameter dependent, they suggested using some initial consistent estimates to come up with an estimate, say  $\hat{P}_n$ , of  $P_n$ , and then solve  $Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n \hat{P}_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n = 0$ . Clearly,  $G_n^\circ(\lambda)$  defined above is a choice for  $P_n$  although an initial estimate of  $\lambda$ , say  $\hat{\lambda}_n^0$ , is needed to obtain  $\hat{P}_n = G_n^\circ(\hat{\lambda}_n^0)$ . Jin and Lee also suggest this. This approach is attractive as the root estimator has a closed-form expression and thus can handle a super large data. However, it can be ambiguous in practice in choosing a consistent root as the selection criterion is parameter dependent. Furthermore, our Monte Carlo simulation shows that  $Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) M_n \hat{P}_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n = 0$  tends to give non-real roots when  $|\lambda|$  is not small, say  $\geq 0.5$ , in particular when  $\lambda$  is negative, and when  $n$  is not very large. In

---

<sup>6</sup>Making the expectation of an estimating function to be zero leads potentially to a finite sample bias corrected estimation. This is in line with Baltagi and Yang (2013a,b) in constructing standardized or heteroskedasticity-robust LM tests with finite sample improvements. See also Kelejian and Prucha (2001, 2010) and Lin and Lee (2010) for some useful methods in handling the linear-quadratic forms of heteroskedastic random vectors.

contrast, this problem does not occur to the modified QML estimator  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  given above. Thus, the modified QML estimator  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  proposed in this paper complements Jin and Lee's (2012) root estimator. More discussions along this line are given in the following sections. Some remarks follow before moving into the asymptotic properties of the modified QML estimators.

**Remark 1:** It turns out that the modified QMLEs of the SAR model are computationally as simple as the original QMLEs, but the former are generally consistent under unknown heteroskedasticity while preserving the nature of being robust against non-normality.

**Remark 2:** The method of modifying the concentrated score to achieve heteroskedasticity robustness applied in this paper can be easily extended to more advanced models (spatial or non-spatial). For example, in the so-called SARAR(1,1) model where the errors in the SAR model follows another SAR process, the concentrated score consists of two components and each of them can be modified in a similar manner so that their numerators have zero expectation under unknown heteroskedasticity. In contrast, the root estimator of Jin and Lee (2012) may run into difficulty as there will be two quadratic functions of two unknowns which makes it more difficult to choose a pair of estimators that are consistent.

**Remark 3:** The correction  $G_n^\circ(\lambda) = G_n(\lambda) - \text{diag}(M_n)^{-1} \text{diag}(M_n G_n(\lambda))$  as opposed to the more intuitively appealing correction  $G_n(\lambda) - \text{diag}(G_n(\lambda))$  has better finite sample performance since the modification is made directly on the concentrated score function which contains the variability accruing from the estimation of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

### 3.2 Asymptotic distribution of the modified QML estimators

To ensure that the modified estimation function given in (9) uniquely identifies  $\lambda_0$ , the Assumption 6 needs to be modified as follows. Let  $\Omega_n(\lambda) = A_n'(\lambda)[G_n(\lambda) - \text{diag}(G_n(\lambda))]A_n(\lambda)$ .

**Assumption 6\*:**  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} [\beta_0' X_n' A_n'^{-1} \Omega_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} X_n \beta_0 + \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n A_n'^{-1} \Omega_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1})] \neq 0, \forall \lambda \neq \lambda_0$ .

The central limit theorem for linear quadratic forms of Kelejian and Prucha (2001) allows for heteroskedasticity and can be used to prove the asymptotic normality of the modified QML estimator. First, it is easy to show that the normalized and modified concentrated score function has the following representation at  $\lambda_0$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} \tilde{\psi}_n^* \equiv \sqrt{n} \tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n} \sigma_0^2} (\epsilon_n' B_n \epsilon_n + c_n' \epsilon_n) + o_p(1), \quad (11)$$

where  $B_n = G_n^\circ M_n$  and  $c_n = M_n G_n^\circ X_n \beta_0$ , because  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda_0) = \frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' M_n \epsilon_n = \frac{1}{n} \text{E}(\epsilon_n' M_n \epsilon_n) + o_p(1) = \frac{\sigma_0^2}{n} \text{tr}(H_n M_n) + o_p(1) = \sigma_0^2 + o_p(1)$ , and it follows that  $\hat{\sigma}_n^{-2}(\lambda_0) = \sigma_0^{-2} + o_p(1)$ .

Let  $\tau_n(\cdot)$  denote the first-order standard deviation and  $\tau_n^2(\cdot)$  the first-order variance of a normalized quantity, e.g.,  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\psi}_n^*)$  is the first-order term of  $\text{Var}(\sqrt{n} \tilde{\psi}_n^*)$ , and  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$  is the first-order term of  $\text{Var}(\sqrt{n} \tilde{\lambda}_n)$ . By the representation (11) and Lemma A.3, we have,

$$\tau_n^2(\tilde{\psi}_n^*) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_{n,ii}^2 h_{n,i}^2 \kappa_i + \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}[H_n B_n (H_n B_n + H_n B_n')] + \frac{1}{n \sigma_0^2} \eta_n' H_n \eta_n, \quad (12)$$

where  $b_{n,ii}$  are the diagonal elements of  $B_n$ ,  $\kappa_i$  is the  $i$ th element of  $\kappa_n$  which together with

$H_n$  are defined in Section 2.3. Now by the central limit theorem for linear-quadratic forms of Kelejian and Prucha (2001), we have,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\tilde{\psi}_n^*}{\tau_n(\tilde{\psi}_n^*)} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1). \quad (13)$$

This result quickly leads to the following theorem regarding the asymptotic properties of the modified QML estimator  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  of the spatial parameter  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2:** *Under Assumptions 1-5 and  $\theta^*$ , the modified QML estimator  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  is consistent and asymptotically normal, i.e., as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}_n \xrightarrow{p} \lambda_0$ , and*

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\lambda}_n - \lambda_0) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)),$$

where  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n) = \Phi_n^{-2} \tau_n^2(\tilde{\psi}_n^*)$ ,  $\Phi_n = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}[H_n(G_n^\circ G_n + G_n^{\circ'} G_n - \dot{G}_n^\circ)] + \frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2} c_n' \eta_n$ , and  $\dot{G}_n^\circ = \frac{d}{d\lambda_0} G_n^\circ = G_n^2(\lambda) - \text{diag}(M_n)^{-1} \text{diag}(M_n G_n^2(\lambda))$ .

Now consider the modified QML estimators  $\tilde{\beta}_n$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2$  of  $\beta_0$  and  $\sigma_0^2$  defined in (10). Using the relation  $A_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n) = A_n + (\lambda_0 - \tilde{\lambda}_n)W_n$ , we can write,

$$\tilde{\beta}_n = \hat{\beta}_n(\lambda_0) + (\lambda_0 - \tilde{\lambda}_n)(X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' G_n A_n Y_n, \quad \text{and} \quad (14)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = \hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda_0) + 2(\lambda_0 - \tilde{\lambda}_n) \frac{1}{n} Y_n' W_n' M_n A_n Y_n + (\lambda_0 - \tilde{\lambda}_n)^2 \frac{1}{n} Y_n' W_n' M_n W_n Y_n. \quad (15)$$

The asymptotic properties of  $\tilde{\beta}_n$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2$  are summarized in the following corollary.

**Theorem 3:** *Under Assumptions 1-5 and  $\theta^*$ , the modified QMLEs  $\tilde{\beta}_n$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2$  are consistent, i.e., as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_n \xrightarrow{p} \beta_0$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma_0^2$ , and further  $\tilde{\beta}_n$  is asymptotically normal, i.e.,*

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_n - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{D} N[0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' \mathbb{A}_n X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1}],$$

where  $\mathbb{A}_n = \tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n) \eta_n \eta_n' + n\sigma_0^2 H_n + 2\Phi_n^{-1}(\sigma_0 S_n \text{diag}(B_n) + H_n c_n \eta_n')$ ,  $S_n = \text{diag}(s_n)$  and  $s_n$  is the  $n$ -vector of skewness measures of  $\{\epsilon_{n,i}\}$ .<sup>7</sup>

### 3.3 Robust standard errors of the modified QML estimators

Following the discussions in Section 2.3 and Footnote 7, we focus on  $\lambda$  and  $\beta$  for robust inferences. In order to carry out inference for model parameters using the modified QML procedure, we need a consistent estimate of  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$ . Given this, consistent estimates of  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\beta}_n) = (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' \mathbb{A}_n X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1}$  immediately follow. The first-order variance of the modified score as given in (12) contains second and fourth moments of  $\epsilon_i$  which vary across  $i$ , and hence a simple White-type estimator (White, 1980) may not be suitable, which in turn makes  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$  infeasible. To overcome this difficulty, we follow the idea of Baltagi and Yang (2013b) to decompose the

<sup>7</sup>Similarly,  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma_0^2) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n^2(\tilde{\sigma}_n^2))$ , where the first-order variance of  $\sqrt{n}\tilde{\sigma}_n^2$ ,  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\sigma}_n^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\epsilon_{n,i}^2) + \frac{4}{n^2} \sigma_0^4 \tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n) \text{tr}^2(H_n G_n) + \frac{4}{n^2} \sigma_0^3 \text{tr}(H_n G_n) \Phi_n^{-1} c_n' s_n = O(1)$ , suggesting that  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2$  is root- $n$  consistent. However, similar to the regular QMLE, this result cannot be used for inference for  $\sigma_0^2$  as the key element in the variance formula  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\epsilon_{n,i}^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\kappa_{n,i} + 2h_{n,i}^2)$  cannot be consistently estimated.

numerator of the modified score into a sum of uncorrelated terms, and then use the outer product of gradients (OPG) method to estimate the variance of this score function which in turn leads to an estimate of  $\tau^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$ . Denote the numerator of (11) by,

$$Q_n(\epsilon_n) = \epsilon_n' B_n \epsilon_n + c_n' \epsilon_n. \quad (16)$$

Clearly,  $Q_n$  is not a sum of uncorrelated components, but can be made to be so by the technique of Baltagi and Yang (2013b). Decompose the non-stochastic matrix  $B_n$  as,

$$B_n = B_n^u + B_n^l + B_n^d, \quad (17)$$

where  $B_n^u$ ,  $B_n^l$  and  $B_n^d$  are, respectively, the upper triangular, the lower triangular and the diagonal matrices of  $B_n$ . Let  $\zeta_n = (B_n^u + B_n^l)\epsilon_n$ . Then,  $Q_n(\epsilon_n)$  can be written as,

$$Q_n(\epsilon_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_{n,i}(\zeta_{n,i} + b_{n,ii}\epsilon_{n,i} + c_{n,i}), \quad (18)$$

where  $\epsilon_{n,i}$ ,  $\zeta_{n,i}$  and  $c_{n,i}$  are, respectively, the elements of  $\epsilon_n$ ,  $\zeta_n$  and  $c_n$ . Equation (18) expresses  $Q_n(\epsilon_n)$  as a sum of  $n$  uncorrelated terms  $\{\epsilon_{n,i}(\zeta_{n,i} + b_{n,ii}\epsilon_{n,i} + c_{n,i})\}$ , and hence its OPG gives a consistent estimate of the variance of  $Q_n(\epsilon_n)$ , which in turn leads to a consistent estimate of  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\psi}_n^*)$ , the first-order variance of  $\sqrt{n}\psi_n^*$  as:

$$\tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\psi}_n^*) = \frac{1}{n\tilde{\sigma}_n^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,i}(\tilde{\zeta}_{n,i} + \tilde{b}_{n,ii}\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,i} + \tilde{c}_{n,i}))^2, \quad (19)$$

where  $\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,i}$  are the residuals computed from the modified QML estimators.

Let  $\tilde{\theta}_n = (\tilde{\beta}_n', \tilde{\sigma}_n^2, \tilde{\lambda}_n)'$  and  $\tilde{H}_n = \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_n^2} \text{diag}(\tilde{\epsilon}_{1n}^2, \dots, \tilde{\epsilon}_{nn}^2)$ . Let  $\tilde{\Phi}_n$  be  $\Phi_n$  evaluated at  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  and  $\tilde{H}_n$ ,  $\tilde{\eta}_n = \tilde{G}_n X_n \tilde{\beta}_n$ , and  $\tilde{G}_n = G_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$ . Define the estimators of  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$  and  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\beta}_n)$  as,

$$\tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n) = \tilde{\Phi}_n^{-2} \tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\psi}_n^*), \text{ and} \quad (20)$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\beta}_n) = (X_n' X_n)^{-1} X_n' \tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n X_n (X_n' X_n)^{-1}, \quad (21)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_n = \tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n) \tilde{\eta}_n \tilde{\eta}_n' + n \tilde{H}_n + 2 \tilde{\Phi}_n^{-1} (\tilde{\sigma}_n \tilde{S}_n \tilde{B}_n^d + \tilde{H}_n \tilde{c}_n \eta_n')$  and  $\tilde{S}_n = \text{diag}\{\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,i}^2, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Note that  $\Phi_n$  can be estimated by  $-\frac{d}{d\lambda_0} \tilde{\psi}_n^* |_{\lambda_0 = \tilde{\lambda}_n}$  as  $\Phi_n$  is the 1st-order term of  $-E(\frac{d}{d\lambda_0} \tilde{\psi}_n^*)$ .

**Corollary 1:** *If Assumptions 1-5 and  $\theta^*$  hold, then we have as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n) - \tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n) \xrightarrow{p} 0; \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\beta}_n) - \tau_n^2(\tilde{\beta}_n) \xrightarrow{p} 0.$$

Finally, when the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied so the regular QMLEs are also consistent, the robust variances of  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  and  $\hat{\beta}_n$  can easily be obtained from the results of Theorems 2 and 3, and Corollary 1. Some details are as follows. Starting with the concentrated score  $\tilde{\psi}_n$  given in (7), replacing  $G_n^\circ$  by  $G_n - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n) I_n$  in (12) and in  $\Phi_n$  defined in Theorem 2, one obtains  $\tau^2(\hat{\lambda}_n)$ . Similarly, by replacing  $G_n^\circ$  by  $G_n - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n) I_n$  in  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\beta}_n)$  given in Theorem 3 leads to  $\tau_n^2(\hat{\beta}_n)$ . The estimates of  $\tau^2(\hat{\lambda}_n)$  and  $\tau_n^2(\hat{\beta}_n)$  are obtained in the same way as those of  $\tau^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$  and  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\beta}_n)$ , and their consistency can be proved similarly to the results of Corollary 1.

## 4. Monte Carlo Study

Extensive Monte Carlo experiments were conducted to (i) investigate the behaviour of the original QMLE  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  and the modified QMLE (MQMLE)  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  proposed in this paper, and their impacts on the estimators of  $\beta$  and  $\sigma^2$ , with respect to the changes in the sample size, spatial layouts, error distributions and the model parameters when the models are heteroskedastic; and (ii) compare the QMLE and the MQMLE with the non-robust generalized method of moments estimator (GMME) of Lee (2001), the robust GMME (RGMME) and the optimal RGMME (ORGMMME) of Lin and Lee (2010), two stage least squares estimator (2SLSE) of Kelejian and Prucha (1998), and the root estimator (RE) of Jin and Lee (2012). We consider cases where the original QMLE are robust against heteroskedasticity and the cases it is not.

The simulations are carried out based on the following data generation process (DGP):

$$Y_n = \rho W_n Y_n + \iota_n \beta_0 + X_{1n} \beta_1 + X_{2n} \beta_2 + \epsilon_n,$$

where  $\iota_n$  is an  $n \times 1$  vector of ones corresponding to the intercept term,  $X_{1n}$  and  $X_{2n}$  are the  $n \times 1$  vectors containing the values of two fixed regressors, and  $\epsilon_n = \sigma H_n e_n$ . The regression coefficients  $\beta$  is set to either  $(3, 1, 1)'$  or  $(.3, .1, .1)'$ ,  $\sigma$  is set to 1,  $\lambda$  takes values form  $\{-0.5, -0.25, 0, 0.25, 0.5\}$  and  $n$  take values from  $\{100, 250, 500, 1000\}$ . The ways of generating the values for  $(X_{1n}, X_{2n})$ , the spatial weight matrix  $W_n$ , the heteroskedasticity measure  $H_n$ , and the idiosyncratic errors  $e_n$  are described below. Each set of Monte Carlo results is based on 1,000 Monte Carlo samples.

**Spatial Weight Matrix:** We use three different spatial layouts: (i) **Circular Neighbours**, (ii) **Group Interaction** and (iii) **Queen Contiguity**. In (i), neighbours occur in the positions immediately ahead and behind a particular spatial unit. For example, for the  $i$ th spatial unit with 6 neighbours, the  $i$ th row of  $W_n$  matrix has non-zero elements in the positions:  $i - 3, i - 2, i - 1, i + 1, i + 2$ , and  $i + 3$ . The weight matrix we consider has 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 neighbours with equal proportion. In (ii), neighbours occur in groups where each group member is spatially related to one another resulting in a symmetric  $W_n$  matrix. In (iii), neighbours could occur in the eight cardinal and ordinal positions of each unit. To ensure the heteroskedasticity effect does not fade as  $n$  increases (so that the regular QMLE is inconsistent), the degree of spatial dependence is fixed with respect to  $n$ . This is attained by fixing the possible group sizes in the Group Interaction scheme, and fixing the number of neighbours behind and ahead in the Circular Neighbours scheme. The degree of spatial dependence is naturally bounded in the Queen Contiguity weight matrix. To analyse the performance of the original QMLE when it is robust against heteroskedasticity, we use Queen Contiguity scheme and the **Balanced Circular Neighbours** scheme where all spatial units have 6 peers each.

**Heteroskedasticity:** For the unbalanced Circular Neighbour scheme,  $h_{n,i}$  is generated as the ratio of the total number of neighbours to the average number of neighbours for each  $i$  while for the Group Interaction scheme  $h_{n,i}$  is generated as the ratio of the group size to mean group size. For the balanced Circular Neighbour and the Queen Contiguity schemes, we use  $h_{n,i} = n[\sum_{i=1}^n (|X_{1n,i}| + |X_{2n,i}|)]^{-1}(|X_{1n,i}| + |X_{2n,i}|)$ .

**Regressors:** The regressors are generated according to **REG1**:  $\{x_{1i}, x_{2i}\} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)/\sqrt{2}$ . For the Group Interaction scheme, the regressors can also be generated according to **REG2**:  $\{x_{1,ir}, x_{2,ir}\} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} (2z_r + z_{ir})/\sqrt{10}$ , where  $(z_r, z_{ir}) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ , for the  $i$ th observation in the  $r$ th group, to give a case of non-iid regressors taking into account the impact of group sizes on the regressors. Both schemes give a signal-to-noise ratio of 1 when  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \sigma = 1$ .

**Error Distribution:** To generate the  $e_n$  component of the disturbance term, three DGPs are considered: **DGP1**:  $\{e_{n,i}\}$  are iid standard normal, **DGP2**:  $\{e_{n,i}\}$  are iid standardized normal mixture with 10% of values from  $N(0, 4)$  and the remaining from  $N(0, 1)$  and **DGP3**:  $\{e_{n,i}\}$  iid standardized log-normal with parameters 0 and 1. Thus, the error distribution from **DGP2** is leptokurtic, and that of **DGP3** is both skewed and leptokurtic.

The GMM-type estimators are implemented by closely following Lin & Lee (2010). A GMM estimator is in general defined as a solution to the minimisation problem:  $\min_{\theta \in \Theta} g'_n(\theta) a'_n a_n g_n(\theta)$  where  $g_n(\theta) = (Q_n, P_{1n}\epsilon_n(\theta), \dots, P_{mn}\epsilon_n(\theta))' \epsilon_n(\theta)$  represents the linear and quadratic moment conditions,  $Q_n = (X_n, W_n X_n)$  is the matrix of instrumental variables (IVs), and  $a'_n a_n$  is the weighting matrix related to the distance function of the minimisation problem. The GMME (Kelejian & Prucha, 1999; Lee, 2001) under homoskedastic disturbances can be defined using the usual moment condition,  $P_n = (G_n - \frac{\text{tr}(G_n)}{n} I_n)$  and the IVs,  $(G_n X_n \beta, X_n)$ . For the RGMME, the  $P_n$  matrix in the moment conditions changes to  $G_n - \text{diag}(G_n)$ . A first step GMME using  $P_n = W_n$  is used to evaluate  $G_n$ . The weighting matrices of the distance functions are computed using the variance formula of the iid case using residual estimates given by the first step GMM estimate. The ORGMME is a variant of the RGMME in which the weighting matrix is robust to unknown heteroskedasticity. The ORGMME results given in the tables are computed using the RGMME as the initial estimate to compute the standard error estimates and the instruments. Finally, the 2SLSE uses the same IV matrix  $Q_n$ . Lin and Lee (2010) gives a detailed comparison of the finite sample performance of MLE, GMME, RGMME, ORGMME and 2SLSE for models with both homoskedastic and heteroskedastic errors. Our Monte Carlo experiments expand theirs by giving a detailed investigation on the effects of nonnormality, spatial layouts as well as negative values for the spatial parameter. The RE of Jin and Lee (2012) is also included.

To conserve space, only the partial results of QMLE, MQMLE, RGMME and ORGMME are reported. The full set of results are available from the authors upon request. The GMME and 2SLSE can perform very poorly. The root estimator performs equally well as the MQMLE when  $|\lambda|$  is not large and  $n$  is not small but tends to give non-real roots otherwise. Tables 1-3 summarise the estimation results for  $\lambda$  and Tables 4-6 for  $\beta$ , where in each table, the Monte Carlo means, root mean square errors (rmse) and the standard errors (se) of the estimators are reported. To analyse the finite sample performance of the proposed OPG based robust standard error estimators, we also report the averaged se of the regular QMLE when it is heteroskedasticity-robust and the averaged se of the MQMLE based on Corollary 1. The experiments with  $\beta = (0.3, 0.1, 0.1)$  represent cases where the stochastic component is relatively more dominant than the deterministic component of the model. This allows a comparison between the QML-type estimators and the GMM-type estimators when the model suffers from relatively

more severe heteroskedasticity and the IVs are weaker. The main observations made from the Monte Carlo results are summarized as follows:

- (i) MQMLE of  $\lambda$  performs well in all cases considered, and it generally outperforms all other estimators in terms of bias and rmse. Further, in cases where QMLE is consistent, MQMLE can be significantly less biased than QMLE, and is as efficient as QMLE.
- (ii) RGMME and ORGMME of  $\lambda$  perform reasonably well when  $\beta = (3, 1, 1)'$ , but deteriorates significantly when  $\beta = (.3, .1, .1)'$  and in this case GMME and 2SLSE can be very erratic. In contrast, MQMLE is unaffected by the magnitude of  $\beta$ . This can be explained as follows. When the regression model is characterized by a smaller signal and a larger noise, the effect of heteroskedasticity can be much more severe and the IVs become weaker, leading to a poorer performance of IV-dependent estimators. In contrast, MQMLE continues to maintain convergence and efficiency properties as it is IV-free and explores the error structure fully in the estimation process.
- (iii) RE of  $\lambda$  performs equally well when  $|\lambda|$  is not big and  $n$  is not small, but otherwise tends to give imaginary roots. Thus, when one encounters a super large dataset and the QMLE or MQMLE run into computational difficulty, one may turn to RE and use its closed-form expression.
- (iv) The GMM-type estimators can perform quite differently when the errors are normal as opposed to non-normal errors, especially when  $\beta = (.3, .1, .1)'$ . It is interesting to note that RGMME often outperforms the ORGMME.
- (v) The OPG-based estimate of the robust standard errors of MQMLE of  $\lambda$  performs well in general with their values very close to their Monte Carlo counter parts.
- (vi) Finally, the relative performance of various estimators of  $\beta$  is much less contrasting than that of  $\lambda$ , although it can be seen that MQMLE is slightly more efficient than RGMME and ORGMME.

## 5. Conclusion

This paper looks at heteroskedasticity-robust QML-type estimation for spatial autoregressive models. We provide clear conditions for the regular QMLE to be consistent even when the disturbances suffer from heteroskedasticity of unknown form. When these conditions are violated, the regular QMLE becomes inconsistent and in this case we suggest a modified QMLE by making a simple adjustment to the score function so that it becomes robust to unknown heteroskedasticity. This method is proven to work well in the simulation studies and was shown to be robust to many situations including, deteriorated signal strength as well as non-normal errors (besides the unknown heteroskedasticity). To provide inference methods robust to heteroskedasticity and non-normality, OPG-based estimators of the variances of QMLE and MQMLE are introduced, and Monte Carlo results show that they work very well in finite samples.



## Appendix A: Some Useful Lemmas

The following lemmas are extended versions of selected lemmas from Lee (2004), Kelejian and Prucha (2001) and Lin and Lee (2010), which are required in the proofs of the main results.

**Lemma A.1:** *Suppose the matrix of independent variables  $X_n$  has uniformly bounded elements, then the projection matrices  $P_n = X_n(X_n'X_n)^{-1}X_n'$  and  $M_n = I_n - P_n$  are uniformly bounded in both row and column sums.*

**Lemma A.2:** *Let  $A_n$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix, uniformly bounded in both row and column sums. Then for  $M_n$  defined in Lemma A.1,*

- (i)  $\text{tr}(A_n^m) = O(n)$  for  $m \geq 1$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{tr}(A_n'A_n) = O(n)$ ,
- (iii)  $\text{tr}((M_n A_n)^m) = \text{tr}(A_n^m) + O(1)$  for  $m \geq 1$  and
- (iv)  $\text{tr}((A_n' M_n A_n)^m) = \text{tr}((A_n' A_n)^m) + O(1)$  for  $m \geq 1$ .

Let  $B_n$  be another  $n \times n$  matrix, uniformly bounded in both row and column sums. Then,

- (iv)  $A_n B_n$  is uniformly bounded in both row and column sums,
- (v)  $\text{tr}(A_n B_n) = \text{tr}(B_n A_n) = O(n)$  uniformly.

**Lemma A.3 (Moments and Limiting Distribution of Quadratic Forms):** *For a given process of innovations  $\{\epsilon_{n,i}\}$ , let  $\epsilon_{n,i} \sim \text{inid}(0, \sigma_0^2 h_{n,i})$ , where  $h_{n,i} > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{n,i} = 1$ . Further, let  $H_n = \text{diag}(h_{n,1}, \dots, h_{n,n})$  and  $A_n$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with elements denoted by  $a_{n,ij}$ . For  $Q_n = \epsilon_n' A_n \epsilon_n$ ,*

- (i)  $E(Q_n) = \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n A_n)$  and
- (ii)  $\text{Var}(Q_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{n,ii}^2 [E(\epsilon_{n,i}^4) - 3\sigma_0^4 h_i^2] + \sigma_0^4 \text{tr}[H_n A_n (H_n A_n + A_n' H_n)]$ .

Now, if  $A_n$  is uniformly bounded in either row or column sums then,

- (iii)  $E(Q_n) = O(n)$ ,
- (iv)  $\text{Var}(Q_n) = O(n)$ ,
- (v)  $Q_n = O_p(n)$ ,
- (vi)  $\frac{1}{n} Q_n - \frac{1}{n} E(Q_n) = O_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  and
- (vii)  $\text{Var}(\frac{1}{n} Q_n) = O(n^{-1})$ .

Further, if  $A_n$  is uniformly bounded in both row and column sums and Assumption 4 holds then,

- (viii)  $\frac{Q_n - E(Q_n)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Q_n)}} \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$ .

## Appendix B: Proofs of Theorems and Corollaries

**Proof of Theorem 1:** We only prove the consistency of  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  as the consistency of  $\hat{\beta}_n$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$  immediately follows from identities similar to (14) and (15). Define  $\bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda) = \max_{\beta, \sigma^2} E[\ell_n(\theta)]$ . By Theorem 5.7 of van der Vaart (1998), it amounts to show, (a) identification uniqueness condition:  $\sup_{\lambda: d(\lambda, \lambda_0) \geq \epsilon} \frac{1}{n} [\bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda) - \bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda_0)] < 0$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and a distance measure  $d(\lambda, \lambda_0)$  and (b) uniform convergence:  $\frac{1}{n} [\ell_n^c(\lambda) - \bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda)] \xrightarrow{p} 0$  uniformly in  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

It is easy to see that  $\bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda) = -\frac{n}{2}(\ln(2\pi) + 1) - \frac{n}{2} \ln(\bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)) + \ln|A_n(\lambda)|$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} [(\lambda_0 - \lambda_n)^2 \eta_n' M_n \eta_n + \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}[H_n A_n'^{-1} A_n'(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1}]]$ . Recall  $\ell_n^c(\lambda)$  defined in (3).

**Condition (a):** Observe that  $\bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda_0) = \sigma_0^2$ , then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} [\bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda) - \bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda_0)] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{1}{2n} (\log |A_n'(\lambda) A_n(\lambda)| - \log |A_n' A_n|) + \frac{1}{2n} (\log |\sigma_n^{-2}(\lambda) I_n| - \log |\sigma_0^{-2} I_n|) \right] \\ &\neq 0 \text{ for } \lambda \neq \lambda_0, \text{ by Assumption 6.} \end{aligned}$$

Next, note that  $p_n(\theta_0) = \exp[\ell_n(\theta_0)]$  is the *quasi* joint pdf of  $\epsilon_n$ , which is  $N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ . Let  $p_n^0(\theta_0)$  be the *true* joint pdf of  $\epsilon_n \sim (0, \sigma^2 H_n)$ . Let  $E^q$  denote the expectation with respect to  $p_n(\theta_0)$ , to differentiate from the usual notation  $E$  that corresponds to  $p_n^0(\theta_0)$ .

Now consider  $\epsilon_n(\beta, \lambda) = A_n(\lambda) Y_n - X_n \beta = B_n(\lambda) \epsilon_n + b_n(\beta, \lambda)$ , where  $B_n(\lambda) = A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1}$  and  $b_n(\beta, \lambda) = A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} X_n \beta_0 - X_n \beta$ . Then, with  $\ell_n(\theta)$  given in (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^q[\ell_n(\theta_0)] &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) + \ln |A_n| - \frac{n}{2}, \\ E[\ell_n(\theta_0)] &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) + \ln |A_n| - \frac{n}{2}, \text{ as } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{n,i} = 1 \\ E^q[\ell_n(\theta)] &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) + \ln |A_n(\lambda)| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [\sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(B_n'(\lambda) B_n(\lambda)) + b_n'(\beta, \lambda) b_n(\beta, \lambda)], \\ E[\ell_n(\theta)] &= -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi\sigma^2) + \ln |A_n(\lambda)| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [\sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n B_n'(\lambda) B_n(\lambda)) + b_n'(\beta, \lambda) b_n(\beta, \lambda)], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identities,  $B_n(\lambda_0) = I_n$  and  $b_n(\beta_0, \lambda_0) = 0$ . Now using the identities  $A_n(\lambda) = A_n + (\lambda_0 - \lambda) W_n$  and  $B_n(\lambda) = I_n + (\lambda_0 - \lambda) G_n$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\ell_n(\theta)] - E^q[\ell_n(\theta)] \\ &= 2(\lambda_0 - \lambda) [\text{tr}(H_n G_n) - \text{tr}(G_n)] + (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^2 [\text{tr}(H_n G_n' G_n) - \text{tr}(G_n' G_n)] = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds by assumptions  $\text{Cov}(g_n, h_n) = o(1)$  and  $\text{Cov}(q_n, h_n) = o(1)$ .

Now by Jensen's inequality,  $0 = \log E^q \left( \frac{p_n(\theta)}{p_n(\theta_0)} \right) \geq E^q \left[ \log \left( \frac{p_n(\theta)}{p_n(\theta_0)} \right) \right]$ , and the above results, we conclude that  $E \left[ \log \left( \frac{p_n(\theta)}{p_n(\theta_0)} \right) \right] \leq 0$  or  $E[\log p_n(\theta)] \leq E[\log p_n(\theta_0)]$ . Thus,

$$\bar{\ell}_n(\lambda) = \max_{\beta, \sigma^2} E[\log p_n(\theta)] \leq \max_{\beta, \sigma^2} E[\log p_n(\theta_0)] = E[\log p_n(\theta_0)] = \bar{\ell}_n(\lambda_0), \text{ for } \lambda \neq \lambda_0.$$

The identification uniqueness condition thus follows.

**Condition (b):** Note that  $\frac{1}{n} [\ell_n^c(\lambda) - \bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda)] = -\frac{1}{2} [\log(\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)) - \log(\bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda))]$ . By the mean value theorem,  $\log(\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)) - \log(\bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)) = \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)} [\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda) - \bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)]$ , where  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)$  lies between  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)$  and  $\bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)$ . Using  $M_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n = (\lambda_0 - \lambda) M_n \eta_n + M_n A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} \epsilon_n$  we can write,

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^2 \frac{1}{n} \eta_n' M_n \eta_n + 2(\lambda_0 - \lambda) T_{1n}(\lambda) + T_{2n}(\lambda), \quad (\text{B-1})$$

where  $T_{1n}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \eta_n' M_n A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} \epsilon$  and  $T_{2n}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' A_n^{-1} A_n'(\lambda) M_n A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} \epsilon_n$ .

Using  $A_n(\lambda) = A_n + (\lambda_0 - \lambda) W_n$ , we have,  $T_{1n}(\lambda) = o_p(1)$  uniformly. Further,  $T_{2n}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' A_n^{-1} A_n'(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} \epsilon_n + o_p(1)$ , since,  $\frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' A_n^{-1} A_n'(\lambda) P_n A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} \epsilon_n = \frac{1}{n} [\epsilon_n' P_n \epsilon + 2\epsilon_n' G_n' P_n \epsilon_n + \epsilon_n' G_n' P_n G_n \epsilon_n] = o_p(1)$  uniformly, using the condition  $\text{Cov}(h_n, g_n) = o(1)$ . Now, Lemmas A.1 - A.3 imply,  $\frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}(\epsilon_n' A_n^{-1} A_n'(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} \epsilon_n) = o(1)$ . Then, together with the Chebyshev's inequality,  $T_{2n}(\lambda) - \sigma_0^2 \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}[H_n A_n^{-1} A_n'(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1}] = o_p(1)$ , uniformly for  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

It left to show  $\sigma_n^2(\lambda)$  (defined in Assumption 6 and the main part of  $\bar{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)$ ) is uniformly bounded away from zero. Suppose  $\sigma_n^2(\lambda)$  is not uniformly bounded away from zero. Then  $\exists\{\lambda_n\} \subset \Lambda$  such that  $\sigma_n^2(\lambda_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Consider the model with  $\beta_0 = 0$ . The Gaussian log-likelihood is  $\ell_{t,n}(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) + \log |A_n(\lambda)| - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} Y_n' A_n'(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) Y_n$  and  $\bar{\ell}_{t,n}(\lambda) = \max_{\sigma^2} \mathbb{E}[\ell_{t,n}(\theta)]$ . By Jensen's inequality, we have  $\bar{\ell}_{t,n}(\lambda) \leq \max_{\sigma^2} \mathbb{E}[\ell_{t,n}(\theta_0)] = \bar{\ell}_{t,n}(\lambda_0)$ . Then together with Lemma A.2, we have  $\frac{1}{n}[\bar{\ell}_{t,n}(\lambda) - \bar{\ell}_{t,n}(\lambda_0)] \leq 0$ , and  $-\frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma_n^2(\lambda)) \leq -\frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma_0^2) + \frac{1}{n}(\log |A_n(\lambda_0)| - \log |A_n(\lambda)|) = O(1)$ . That is,  $-\frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma_n^2(\lambda))$  is bounded from above which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\sigma_n^2(\lambda)$  is bounded away from zero uniformly, and  $\frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma_n^2(\lambda))$  is well defined  $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ .

Collecting all these results we have,  $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{n} |\ell_n^c(\lambda) - \bar{\ell}_n^c(\lambda)| = o_p(1)$ , completing the proof of consistency part.

To prove the asymptotic normality, first note that  $\text{tr}(H_n) = n$ . By the mean value theorem,  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) = -[\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \ell_n(\tilde{\theta})]^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_n(\theta_0)$ , where  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  lies elementwise between  $\hat{\theta}_n$  and  $\theta_0$ . By Assumptions 1-6 and the central limit theorem for vector linear-quadratic forms of Kelejian and Prucha (2010, p. 63), we have  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_n(\theta_0) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_n$  and  $\Sigma_n = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ell_n(\theta_0) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta'} \ell_n(\theta_0)]$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \ell_n(\theta)$ . It left to show (i)  $\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{H}_n(\tilde{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{H}_n = o_p(1)$  and (ii)  $\mathcal{H}_n - \mathbb{I}_n = o_p(1)$ .

**Condition (i):** By Assumptions 3-5 and the assumption that  $\text{Cov}(h_n, g_n) = o(1)$  stated in the theorem, Lemma A.2-A.3,  $\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0 = o_p(1)$ ,  $\epsilon_n(\tilde{\beta}_n, \tilde{\lambda}_n) = X_n(\beta_0 - \tilde{\beta}_n) + (\lambda_0 - \tilde{\lambda}_n) W_n Y_n + \epsilon_n$  and  $\frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' (\tilde{\beta}_n, \tilde{\lambda}_n) \epsilon_n (\tilde{\beta}_n, \tilde{\lambda}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' \epsilon_n + o_p(1)$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{n,\beta\beta}(\tilde{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{H}_{n,\beta\beta} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2}\right) \frac{1}{n} X_n' X_n = o_p(1), \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\sigma^2\beta}(\tilde{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{H}_{n,\sigma^2\beta} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_0^4 n} \epsilon_n' X_n - \frac{1}{\sigma_0^4 n} (X_n(\beta_0 - \tilde{\beta}_n) + (\lambda_0 - \tilde{\lambda}_n) W_n Y_n + \epsilon_n)' X_n = o_p(1), \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\sigma^2\sigma^2}(\tilde{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{H}_{n,\sigma^2\sigma^2} &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^6} \epsilon_n' \epsilon_n - \frac{1}{\sigma_n^6} \epsilon_n'(\tilde{\delta}_n) \epsilon_n(\tilde{\delta}_n)\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma_n^4}\right) = o_p(1), \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\beta}(\tilde{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\beta} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2}\right) \frac{1}{n} Y_n' W_n' X_n = o_p(1), \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\sigma^2}(\tilde{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\sigma^2} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_0^4 n} Y_n' W_n' \epsilon_n - \frac{1}{\sigma_0^4 n} Y_n' W_n' (X_n(\beta_0 - \tilde{\beta}_n) + (\lambda_0 - \tilde{\lambda}_n) W_n Y_n + \epsilon_n) = o_p(1), \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\lambda}(\tilde{\theta}_n) - \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\lambda} &= \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2}\right) \frac{1}{n} Y_n' W_n' W_n Y_n + \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(G_n^2) - \text{tr}(G_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)) = o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds since  $\text{tr}(G_n^2) - \text{tr}(G_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)) = 2\text{tr}(G_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n))(\lambda_0 - \tilde{\lambda}_n)$  by the mean value theorem for some  $\bar{\lambda}_n$  between  $\lambda_0$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$ .

**Condition (ii):** Given  $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_n' \epsilon_n) = \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_n' G_n \epsilon_n) = \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n G_n)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_n' G_n' G_n \epsilon_n) = \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n G_n' G_n)$  and Lemma A.1-A.3, we have,  $\text{Var}(\frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' \epsilon_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} (\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{n,i}^4) - \sigma_0^4 \text{tr}(H_n^2)) = o(1)$ ,  $\text{Var}(\frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' G_n \epsilon_n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n g_{n,ii}^2 [\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_{n,i}^4) - 3\sigma_0^4 h_i^2] + \frac{1}{n^2} \sigma_0^4 \text{tr}[H_n G_n (G_n' H_n + H_n G_n)] = o(1)$  and similarly  $\text{Var}(\frac{1}{n} \epsilon_n' G_n' G_n \epsilon_n) = o_p(1)$ . Collecting these results and the Chebyshev inequality, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{n,\beta\beta} - \mathbb{I}_{n,\beta\beta} &= 0, \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\sigma^2\beta} - \mathbb{I}_{n,\sigma^2\beta} &= O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = o_p(1), \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\sigma^2\sigma^2} - \mathbb{I}_{n,\sigma^2\sigma^2} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_0^6} \left(\frac{\epsilon_n' \epsilon_n}{n} - \sigma_0^2\right) = o_p(1), \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\beta} - \mathbb{I}_{n,\lambda\beta} &= \frac{1}{n} X_n' G_n \epsilon_n = O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = o_p(1), \\ \mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\sigma^2} - \mathbb{I}_{n,\lambda\sigma^2} &= \frac{1}{\sigma_0^4 n} \epsilon_n' G_n \epsilon_n - \frac{1}{\sigma_0^4 n} \text{tr}(H_n G_n) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = o_p(1) \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{n,\lambda\lambda} - \mathbb{I}_{n,\lambda\lambda} = \frac{1}{n}\epsilon'_n G'_n G_n \epsilon_n - \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}(H_n G'_n G_n) + O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = o_p(1).$$

**Proof of Theorem 2:** Let  $E(\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda)) = \bar{\psi}^*(\lambda)$ . By Theorem 5.9 of van der Vaart (1998), the proof of consistency of  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  requires (a) Convergence:  $\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda) - \bar{\psi}^*(\lambda)| = o_p(1)$  and (b) Identification uniqueness: for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\inf_{\lambda: d(\lambda, \lambda_0) \geq \epsilon} |\bar{\psi}^*(\lambda)| > 0 = |\bar{\psi}^*(\lambda_0)|$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 implies that  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)$  is bounded away from 0 with probability one for large enough  $n$ . Thus, the modified QML estimator  $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \arg\{\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda) = 0\}$  is equivalently defined as  $\tilde{\lambda}_n = \arg\{Y'_n A'_n(\lambda) M_n G_n^\circ(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) Y_n = 0\}$ , suggesting that we can work purely with the numerator  $T_n(\lambda) = Y'_n A'_n(\lambda) M_n G_n^\circ(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) Y_n$  of  $\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda)$  to establish consistency. Note  $T_n(\lambda) = Y'_n A'_n(\lambda) M_n G_n(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) Y_n - Y'_n A'_n(\lambda) M_n \text{diag}(M_n)^{-1} \text{diag}(M_n G_n(\lambda)) A_n(\lambda) Y_n \equiv T_{1n}(\lambda) - T_{2n}(\lambda)$ .

**Condition (a):** By  $M_n X_n = 0$ ,  $A_n(\lambda) = A_n + (\lambda_0 - \lambda)W_n$  and  $G_n A_n = W_n = G_n(\lambda) A_n(\lambda)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1n}(\lambda) &= Y'_n A'_n(\lambda) M_n G_n(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) Y_n \\ &= Y'_n A'_n M_n G_n A_n Y_n + (\lambda_0 - \lambda) Y'_n A'_n G'_n M_n G_n A_n Y_n \\ &= \epsilon'_n M_n G_n (X_n \beta_0 + \epsilon_n) + (\lambda_0 - \lambda) (X_n \beta_0 + \epsilon_n)' G'_n M_n G_n (X_n \beta_0 + \epsilon_n). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B-2})$$

Then,  $E(T_{1n}(\lambda)) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)\beta'_0 X_n G'_n M_n G_n X_n \beta_0 + \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n M_n G_n) + \sigma_0^2 (\lambda_0 - \lambda) \text{tr}(H_n G'_n M_n G_n)$ . By Lemma A.3 and Assumptions 5 and 6, we have  $\frac{1}{n}[T_{1n}(\lambda) - E(T_{1n}(\lambda))] = o_p(1)$ . Now, as  $M_n$  appeared in  $T_{2n}$  is a projection matrix, by Lemma A.2, similar arguments as for  $T_{1n}(\lambda)$  lead to  $\frac{1}{n}[T_{2n}(\lambda) - E(T_{2n}(\lambda))] = o_p(1)$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{n}\{T_n(\lambda) - E[T_n(\lambda)]\} = o_p(1)$ .

**Condition (b):** First, we have  $E[T_n(\lambda_0)] = 0$ , as  $\text{tr}[H_n M_n \text{diag}(M)^{-1} \text{diag}(M_n G_n)] = \text{tr}[\text{diag}(H_n M_n \text{diag}(M)^{-1}) \text{diag}(M_n G_n)] = \text{tr}(H_n M_n G_n)$ . Now,

$$E[T_n(\lambda)] = \beta'_0 X'_n A_n{}^{-1} A'_n(\lambda) M_n G_n^\circ(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1} X_n \beta_0 + \sigma_0^2 \text{tr}(H_n A_n{}^{-1} A'_n(\lambda) M_n G_n^\circ(\lambda) A_n(\lambda) A_n^{-1}).$$

By Assumption 6\* and Lemma A.2,  $E[T_n(\lambda)] \neq 0$ , for any  $\lambda \neq \lambda_0$ . It follows that the conditions of Theorem 5.9 of van der Vaart (1998) hold, and thus the consistency of  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  follows.

To prove asymptotic normality, we have, by the mean value theorem,

$$0 = \sqrt{n}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\tilde{\lambda}_n) = \sqrt{n}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0) + \frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\bar{\lambda}_n)\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\lambda}_n - \lambda_0), \quad (\text{B-3})$$

where  $\bar{\lambda}_n$  lies between  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  and  $\lambda_0$ . It suffices to show that (i)  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\bar{\lambda}_n) - \frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0) = o_p(1)$ , (ii)  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0) - E\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0)\right) = o_p(1)$ , and (iii)  $E\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0)\right) \neq 0$  for large enough  $n$ . Note,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{n\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)} Y'_n A'_n(\lambda) \dot{G}_n^\circ(\lambda) M_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n - \frac{1}{n\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)} Y'_n W'_n G_n^{\circ'}(\lambda) M_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{n\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda)} Y'_n A'_n(\lambda) G_n^{\circ'}(\lambda) M_n W_n Y_n + \frac{2}{n^2\hat{\sigma}_n^4(\lambda)} Y'_n A'_n(\lambda) G_n^{\circ'}(\lambda) M_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n \cdot Y'_n W'_n M_n A_n(\lambda) Y_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{G}_n^\circ(\lambda) = \frac{d}{d\lambda}G_n^\circ(\lambda) = G_n^2(\lambda) - \text{diag}(M_n)^{-1} \text{diag}(M_n G_n^2(\lambda))$ .

**Condition (i):**  $\frac{1}{n}Y'_n W'_n M_n A_n(\bar{\lambda}_n) Y_n = \frac{1}{n}Y'_n W'_n M_n A_n Y_n + \frac{1}{n}(\lambda_0 - \bar{\lambda}_n)Y'_n W'_n M_n W_n Y_n = \frac{1}{n}Y'_n W'_n M_n A_n Y_n + o_p(1)$ . Next, by Assumptions 4 and 5 and continuous mapping theorem,  $G_n^\circ(\bar{\lambda}_n) = G_n^\circ + o_p(1)$  and  $\dot{G}_n^\circ(\bar{\lambda}_n) = \dot{G}_n^\circ + o_p(1)$ . These lead to  $\frac{1}{n}Y'_n A'_n(\bar{\lambda}_n) G_n^{\circ'}(\bar{\lambda}_n) M_n A_n(\bar{\lambda}_n) Y_n =$

$\frac{1}{n}Y_n'A_nG_n^{\circ'}M_nA_nY_n + o_p(1)$ , and  $\frac{1}{n}Y_n'A_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)\dot{G}_n^{\circ'}(\tilde{\lambda}_n)M_nA_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)Y_n = \frac{1}{n}Y_n'A_n\dot{G}_n^{\circ'}M_nA_nY_n + o_p(1)$ , after some algebra. Similarly,  $\frac{1}{n}Y_n'W_nG_n^{\circ'}(\tilde{\lambda}_n)M_nA_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)Y_n = \frac{1}{n}Y_n'W_nG_n^{\circ'}M_nA_nY_n + o_p(1)$ , and  $\frac{1}{n}Y_n'A_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)G_n^{\circ'}(\tilde{\lambda}_n)M_nW_nY_n = \frac{1}{n}Y_n'A_nG_n^{\circ'}M_nW_nY_n + o_p(1)$ . Collecting these results and observing  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n) = \hat{\sigma}_n^2(\lambda_0) + o_p(1)$ , we have  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\tilde{\lambda}_n) - \frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0) = o_p(1)$ .

**Condition (ii):** Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0) &= \frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2}Y_n'A_n\dot{G}_n^{\circ'}M_nA_nY_n - \frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2}Y_nW_nG_n^{\circ'}M_nA_nY_n - \frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2}Y_nA_nG_n^{\circ'}M_nW_nY_n \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{n^2\sigma_0^4}(Y_n'A_nG_n^{\circ'}M_nA_nY_n) \cdot (Y_n'W_nM_nA_nY_n) + o_p(1) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^4 T_{in} + o_p(1). \end{aligned}$$

Using  $M_nA_nY_n = M_n\epsilon_n$  and the result  $\frac{1}{n}a_n'\epsilon_n = o_p(1)$  for a vector  $a_n$  of uniformly bounded elements, we can readily verify that  $T_{1n} = \frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2}\epsilon_n'\dot{G}_n^{\circ'}\epsilon_n + o_p(1)$ ,  $T_{2n} = -\frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2}\epsilon_n'G_n^{\circ}G_n\epsilon_n + o_p(1)$ ,  $T_{3n} = -\frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2}(c_n'\eta_n + \epsilon_n'G_n^{\circ'}G_n\epsilon_n) + o_p(1)$ , and  $T_{4n} = o_p(1)$ , by Lemma A.2. It follows that

$$-E\left[\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0)\right] = \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}[H_n(G_n^{\circ}G_n + G_n^{\circ'}G_n - \dot{G}_n^{\circ})] + \frac{1}{n\sigma_0^2}c_n'\eta_n + o(1) = \Phi_n + o(1),$$

and that  $\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0) - E\left[\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0)\right] = o_p(1)$ .

**Condition (iii):** By Assumptions 3-6 and Lemmas A.2 and A.3, it is easy to see that  $\Phi_n \neq 0$  for large enough  $n$ , and thus  $E\left(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\tilde{\psi}_n^*(\lambda_0)\right) \neq 0$  for large enough  $n$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3:** Recall  $\tilde{\beta}_n = (X_n'X_n)^{-1}X_n'A_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)Y_n$ . We have,

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_n - \beta_0) = \left(\frac{1}{n}X_n'X_n\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}X_n'\epsilon_n - \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\lambda}_n - \lambda_0)\left(\frac{1}{n}X_n'X_n\right)^{-1}\frac{1}{n}X_n'\eta_n + O_p\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \quad (\text{B-4})$$

Cramèr-Wold device leads to the asymptotic normality of  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_n - \beta_0)$ . Clearly, the asymptotic mean of  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_n - \beta_0)$  is zero and the asymptotic variance of it can be easily found using the results in Theorem 2 and in its proof. In particular, the covariance between the two terms in (B-4) is  $-2\Phi_n^{-1}(X_n'X_n)^{-1}X_n'(\sigma_0S_nB_n^d + H_n c_n \eta_n')X_n(X_n'X_n)^{-1}$ , where  $B_n^d = \text{diag}(B_n)$ .

The limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma_0^2)$  can be found in a similar manner from

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 - \sigma_0^2) &= \sqrt{n}\left[\frac{1}{n}Y_n'A_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)M_nA_n(\tilde{\lambda}_n)Y_n - \sigma_0^2\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(\epsilon_n'\epsilon_n - n\sigma_0^2) + 2\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\lambda}_n - \lambda_0)\frac{1}{n}\sigma_0^2\text{tr}(H_nG_n) + o_p(1), \end{aligned}$$

which has a limiting mean of zero and first-order variance:

$$\tau_n^2(\tilde{\sigma}_n^2) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(\epsilon_{n,i}^2) + \frac{4}{n^2}\sigma_0^4\tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)\text{tr}^2(H_nG_n) + \frac{4}{n^2}\sigma_0^3\text{tr}(H_nG_n)\Phi_n^{-1}c_n's_n.$$

**Proof of Corollary 1:** To prove the consistency of  $\tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$  as an estimator of  $\tau_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$ , we need to prove (a)  $\tilde{\Phi}_n - \Phi_n = o_p(1)$ , and (b)  $\tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\psi}_n^*) - \tau_n^2(\tilde{\psi}_n^*) = o_p(1)$ . First, (a) follows from the proof of Theorem 2 (the asymptotic normality part). To prove (b), as  $\tilde{\sigma}_n^2 = \sigma_0^2 + o_p(1)$  by

Theorem 3, it suffices to show that, by the consistency of  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  and referring to (18) and (19),

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\epsilon_{n,i}^2 \xi_{n,i}^2 - \text{Var}(\epsilon_{n,i} \xi_{n,i})) = o_p(1),$$

where  $\xi_{n,i} = \zeta_{n,i} + b_{n,ii} \epsilon_{n,i} + c_{n,i}$ . This follows immediately by the Theorem A1 and the poof of Theorem 1 of Baltagi and Yang (2013b).

The consistency of  $\tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\beta}_n)$  follows that of  $\tilde{\tau}_n^2(\tilde{\lambda}_n)$  and the consistency of  $\tilde{\theta}_n$ .

Finally, the same procedure proves the same set of the results for the regular QMLEs  $\hat{\beta}_n$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ .

## References

- Amemiya, T. (1985). *Advanced Econometrics*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Anselin, L., 1988. *Spatial Econometrics: Methods and Models*. The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Anselin, L., 2003. Spatial externalities, spatial multipliers, and spatial econometrics. *International Regional Science Review* 26, 153-166.
- Anselin, L., Bera, A. K., 1998. Spatial dependence in linear regression models with an introduction to spatial econometrics. In: *Handbook of Applied Economic Statistics, Edited by Aman Ullah and David E. A. Giles*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Arraiz, I., Drukker, D. M., Kelejian H. H., Prucha, I. R., 2010. A spatial Cliff-Ord type model with heteroskedastic innovations: small and large sample results. *Journal of Regional Science*, 50, 592-614.
- Baltagi, B., Egger, P., Pfaffermayr, M., 2007. Estimating models of complex FDI: are there third country effects? *Journal of Econometrics* 140, 260-281.
- Baltagi, B., Yang, Z. L., 2013a. Standardized LM tests for spatial error dependence in linear or panel regressions. *The Econometrics Journal* 16 103-134.
- Baltagi, B., Yang, Z. L., 2013b. Heteroskedasticity and non-normality robust LM tests of spatial dependence. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 43, 725-739.
- Breusch, T., Pagan, A., 1979. A simple test for heteroskedasticity and random coefficient variation. *Econometrica* 47, 1287-1294.
- Case, T., 1991. Spatial patterns in household demand. *Econometrica*. 59, 953-965.
- Cliff, A., Ord, J. K., 1972. Testing for spatial autocorrelation among regression residuals. *Geographical Analysis* 4, 267-284.
- Cliff, A. D., Ord, J. K., 1973. *Spatial Autocorrelation*. London: Pion.
- Cliff, A. D., Ord, J. K., 1981. *Spatial Process, Models and Applications*. London: Pion.
- Glaeser, E. L., Sacerdote, B., Scheinkman, J. A., 1996. Crime and social interactions. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 111, 507-548.
- Hanushek, E. A., Kain, J. F., Markman, J. M., Rivkin, S. G., 2003. Does peer ability affect student achievement? *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 18, 527-544.
- Jin, F., Lee, L. F., 2012. Approximated likelihood and root estimators for spatial interaction in spatial autoregressive models. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*. 42 446-458.
- Kelejian H. H., Prucha, I. R., 1998. A generalized spatial two-stage least squares procedure for estimating a spatial autoregressive model with autoregressive disturbance. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 17, 99-121.
- Kelejian H. H., Prucha, I. R., 1999. A generalized moments estimator for the autoregressive parameter in a spatial model. *International Economic Review* 40, 509-533.
- Kelejian H. H., Prucha, I. R., 2001. On the asymptotic distribution of the Moran  $I$  test statistic with applications. *Journal of Econometrics* 104, 219-257.
- Kelejian, H.H., Prucha, I.R., 2007. HAC estimation in a spatial framework. *Journal of Econometrics* 140, 131-154.
- Kelejian H. H., Prucha, I. R., 2010. Specification and estimation of spatial autoregressive models with autoregressive and heteroskedastic disturbances. *Journal of Econometrics* 157 53-67.

- Lee, L. F., 2001. Generalised method of moments estimation of spatial autoregressive processes - Unpublished manuscript.
- Lee, L. F., 2004. Asymptotic distributions of quasi-maximum likelihood estimators for spatial autoregressive models. *Econometrica* 72, 1899-1925.
- Lin, X., Lee, L. F., 2010. GMM estimation of spatial autoregressive models with unknown heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics* 157, 34-52.
- LeSage, J., 1997. Bayesian estimation of spatial autoregressive models. *International Regional Science Review* 20, 113-129.
- LeSage, J., Pace, R. K., 2009. *Introduction to spatial econometrics*. New York: CRC Press.
- Ord, J., 1975. Estimation methods for models of spatial interaction. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 70, 120-126.
- Pinkse, J., Slade, M. E., 1998. Contracting in space: an application of spatial statistics to discrete choice models. *Journal of Econometrics* 85, 125-154.
- Pinkse, J., Slade, M. E., Brett, C., 2002. Spatial price competition: a semiparametric approach. *Econometrica* 70, 1111-1153.
- van der Vaart, A. W., 1998. *Asymptotic Statistics*. Cambridge University Press.
- White, H., 1980. A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica* 48 817-838.
- Yang, Z. L., 2010. A robust LM test for spatial error components. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 40, 299-310.



**Table 1:** Empirical Mean(rmse)[sd]{ $\hat{sd}$ } of Estimators of  $\lambda$  for SAR Model  
Cases when Regular QMLE is Consistent

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 1: Constant Circular Neighbours (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.464 (.105)[.098]{.092}	.473(.117)[.114]{.099}	.469(.121)[.117]	.479(.132)[.130]
	250	.488(.061)[.060]{.064}	.492(.063)[.063]{.059}	.489(.064)[.063]	.494(.071)[.071]
	500	.494(.043)[.043]{.046}	.497(.043)[.043]{.042}	.495(.043)[.043]	.498(.048)[.048]
	1000	.497(.030)[.030]{.032}	.498(.030)[.030]{.029}	.498(.030)[.030]	.498(.033)[.033]
.25	100	.212(.133)[.127]{.115}	.230(.128)[.127]{.123}	.221(.132)[.129]	.232(.146)[.145]
	250	.233(.080)[.078]{.078}	.246(.081)[.081]{.079}	.242(.082)[.081]	.247(.090)[.090]
	500	.245(.052)[.052]{.054}	.245(.054)[.054]{.054}	.243(.054)[.054]	.244(.060)[.059]
	1000	.246(.041)[.041]{.040}	.247(.039)[.039]{.038}	.246(.039)[.039]	.247(.043)[.043]
.00	100	-.033(.153)[.149]{.142}	-.014(.150)[.149]{.142}	-.024(.156)[.154]	-.009(.172)[.172]
	250	-.017 (.090)[.089]{.089}	-.007(.091)[.091]{.089}	-.011(.092)[.092]	-.005(.102)[.102]
	500	-.006 (.063)[.063]{.062}	-.002(.061)[.061]{.064}	-.004(.061)[.061]	-.002(.069)[.069]
	1000	-.006(.046)[.046]{.046}	-.003(.043)[.043]{.045}	-.005(.043)[.043]	-.003(.047)[.047]
-.25	100	-.285(.155)[.151]{.149}	-.272(.171)[.169]{.167}	-.286(.176)[.173]	-.275(.200)[.198]
	250	-.266(.101)[.100]{.100}	-.258(.100)[.100]{.099}	-.264(.101)[.100]	-.260(.112)[.112]
	500	-.259(.070)[.070]{.072}	-.255(.070)[.070]{.070}	-.258(.070)[.070]	-.256(.077)[.076]
	1000	-.253(.050)[.050]{.050}	-.250(.050)[.050]{.049}	-.252(.050)[.050]	-.250(.055)[.055]
-.50	100	-.524(.172)[.170]{.179}	-.506(.172)[.172]{.162}	-.521(.175)[.174]	-.513(.195)[.194]
	250	-.515(.108)[.107]{.112}	-.505(.104)[.104]{.101}	-.511(.104)[.104]	-.507(.117)[.116]
	500	-.501(.075)[.075]{.080}	-.497(.075)[.075]{.073}	-.501(.075)[.075]	-.497(.084)[.084]
	1000	-.500(.054)[.054]{.058}	-.499(.051)[.051]{.051}	-.500(.051)[.051]	-.500(.057)[.057]
DGP 2: Constant Circular Neighbours (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.465(.098)[.091]{.093}	.481(.107)[.105]{.099}	.475(.118)[.115]	.488(.142)[.141]
	250	.487(.062)[.061]{.063}	.494(.061)[.060]{.059}	.491(.061)[.061]	.495(.084)[.084]
	500	.494(.041)[.041]{.042}	.499(.042)[.042]{.040}	.497(.042)[.042]	.500(.059)[.059]
	1000	.498(.028)[.028]{.028}	.500(.028)[.028]{.029}	.499(.029)[.029]	.499(.041)[.041]
.25	100	.219(.129)[.126]{.124}	.238(.125)[.125]{.124}	.230(.128)[.127]	.251(.168)[.168]
	250	.236(.081)[.080]{.080}	.243(.080)[.079]{.079}	.239(.081)[.080]	.245(.108)[.108]
	500	.246(.056)[.056]{.059}	.250(.056)[.056]{.053}	.248(.056)[.056]	.251(.080)[.080]
	1000	.249(.039)[.039]{.041}	.251(.039)[.039]{.037}	.250(.039)[.039]	.250(.052)[.052]
.00	100	-.029(.146)[.143]{.139}	-.010(.143)[.143]{.139}	-.020(.150)[.148]	-.005(.209)[.209]
	250	-.011(.088)[.088]{.087}	-.003(.088)[.088]{.085}	-.008(.089)[.088]	.003(.122)[.122]
	500	-.005(.063)[.063]{.061}	-.008(.064)[.064]{.062}	-.010(.064)[.064]	-.004(.092)[.092]
	1000	-.003(.045)[.045]{.045}	-.001(.043)[.043]{.044}	-.003(.043)[.043]	.000(.060)[.060]
-.25	100	-.276(.158)[.155]{.145}	-.257(.156)[.156]{.153}	-.271(.160)[.159]	-.249(.223)[.223]
	250	-.268(.100)[.099]{.106}	-.261(.099)[.099]{.093}	-.266(.100)[.099]	-.260(.136)[.136]
	500	-.256(.073)[.073]{.077}	-.252(.073)[.073]{.069}	-.255(.074)[.073]	-.254(.102)[.102]
	1000	-.254(.050)[.050]{.050}	-.252(.049)[.049]{.048}	-.253(.050)[.049]	-.252(.068)[.068]
-.50	100	-.527(.155)[.153]{.163}	-.505(.154)[.154]{.154}	-.519(.158)[.157]	-.511(.221)[.221]
	250	-.505(.101)[.101]{.103}	-.500(.099)[.099]{.097}	-.506(.100)[.100]	-.502(.138)[.138]
	500	-.507(.075)[.075]{.077}	-.502(.072)[.072]{.072}	-.505(.072)[.072]	-.501(.103)[.103]
	1000	-.505(.050)[.049]{.049}	-.503(.050)[.049]{.050}	-.504(.050)[.050]	-.505(.071)[.071]

Table 1: Cont'd

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 3: Constant Circular Neighbours (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.474(.086)[.082]{.094}	.484(.096)[.095]{.089}	.476(.100)[.098]	.480(.149)[.148]
	250	.491(.057)[.056]{.054}	.497(.056)[.056]{.052}	.495(.076)[.076]	.499(.088)[.088]
	500	.493(.040)[.039]{.038}	.496(.040)[.039]{.038}	.494(.040)[.039]	.494(.067)[.067]
	1000	.496(.030)[.030]{.029}	.497(.029)[.028]{.027}	.497(.029)[.029]	.498(.045)[.045]
.25	100	.213(.124)[.119]{.110}	.231(.119)[.117]{.115}	.221(.125)[.122]	.233(.185)[.184]
	250	.240(.072)[.071]{.079}	.247(.071)[.070]{.067}	.242(.072)[.072]	.244(.116)[.116]
	500	.245(.050)[.050]{.052}	.247(.054)[.054]{.050}	.245(.055)[.054]	.245(.087)[.087]
	1000	.248(.037)[.037]{.038}	.250(.037)[.037]{.035}	.249(.037)[.037]	.250(.057)[.057]
.00	100	-.024(.124)[.122]{.116}	-.015(.140)[.140]{.143}	-.027(.148)[.145]	-.018(.221)[.220]
	250	-.010(.085)[.085]{.082}	-.002(.084)[.084]{.088}	-.007(.086)[.086]	-.002(.133)[.133]
	500	-.006(.059)[.058]{.060}	-.002(.058)[.058]{.058}	-.005(.059)[.059]	-.007(.101)[.101]
	1000	-.004(.045)[.044]{.044}	-.002(.042)[.042]{.041}	-.003(.043)[.043]	.000(.069)[.069]
-.25	100	-.276(.148)[.146]{.156}	-.258(.146)[.146]{.142}	-.272(.152)[.150]	-.261(.236)[.236]
	250	-.260(.093)[.092]{.101}	-.252(.093)[.093]{.096}	-.259(.094)[.093]	-.253(.153)[.153]
	500	-.256(.063)[.063]{.065}	-.254(.065)[.065]{.064}	-.256(.066)[.066]	-.251(.111)[.111]
	1000	-.254(.049)[.049]{.047}	-.250(.049)[.049]{.046}	-.252(.050)[.050]	-.251(.076)[.076]
-.50	100	-.514(.141)[.140]{.153}	-.508(.161)[.161]{.167}	-.526(.165)[.163]	-.513(.246)[.245]
	250	-.511(.092)[.091]{.098}	-.506(.097)[.097]{.091}	-.512(.099)[.098]	-.514(.155)[.154]
	500	-.503(.069)[.069]{.069}	-.499(.069)[.069]{.067}	-.503(.069)[.069]	-.498(.111)[.111]
	1000	-.503(.051)[.051]{.051}	-.501(.051)[.051]{.049}	-.503(.051)[.051]	-.505(.081)[.081]
DGP 1: Queen Contiguity (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.447(.156)[.146]{.136}	.471(.147)[.144]{.148}	.463(.158)[.154]	.501(.207)[.207]
	250	.482(.081)[.079]{.088}	.495(.079)[.079]{.079}	.488(.081)[.080]	.499(.085)[.085]
	500	.489(.061)[.059]{.063}	.494(.056)[.056]{.056}	.491(.070)[.069]	.497(.071)[.071]
	1000	.496(.041)[.041]{.045}	.497(.042)[.042]{.040}	.495(.042)[.042]	.498(.043)[.043]
.25	100	.207(.170)[.165]{.155}	.231(.167)[.166]{.155}	.219(.172)[.169]	.240(.186)[.186]
	250	.232(.103)[.101]{.101}	.241(.102)[.102]{.099}	.234(.104)[.102]	.242(.106)[.106]
	500	.242(.072)[.072]{.072}	.249(.072)[.072]{.070}	.245(.072)[.072]	.250(.074)[.074]
	1000	.244(.050)[.050]{.052}	.247(.050)[.050]{.050}	.245(.050)[.050]	.247(.051)[.051]
.00	100	-.046(.192)[.186]{.173}	-.021(.188)[.187]{.174}	-.036(.195)[.192]	-.021(.205)[.204]
	250	-.019(.117)[.115]{.112}	-.008(.115)[.115]{.112}	-.017(.117)[.116]	-.010(.120)[.120]
	500	-.008(.080)[.080]{.079}	-.001(.080)[.080]{.080}	-.005(.080)[.080]	-.001(.082)[.082]
	1000	-.005(.058)[.058]{.057}	-.002(.058)[.058]{.057}	-.004(.058)[.058]	-.002(.059)[.059]
-.25	100	-.286(.199)[.195]{.192}	-.258(.198)[.198]{.193}	-.277(.205)[.204]	-.264(.218)[.217]
	250	-.272(.122)[.120]{.125}	-.258(.121)[.120]{.120}	-.268(.122)[.121]	-.265(.126)[.125]
	500	-.260(.089)[.088]{.089}	-.253(.089)[.089]{.086}	-.258(.089)[.089]	-.256(.090)[.090]
	1000	-.256(.063)[.063]{.064}	-.252(.063)[.063]{.061}	-.255(.063)[.063]	-.254(.064)[.064]
-.50	100	-.526(.194)[.192]{.201}	-.502(.194)[.194]{.187}	-.521(.197)[.196]	-.521(.214)[.213]
	250	-.513(.122)[.121]{.128}	-.501(.122)[.122]{.122}	-.513(.124)[.123]	-.514(.128)[.127]
	500	-.504(.087)[.087]{.088}	-.498(.088)[.088]{.087}	-.503(.088)[.088]	-.503(.089)[.089]
	1000	-.503(.063)[.063]{.061}	-.500(.063)[.063]{.063}	-.502(.063)[.063]	-.502(.064)[.064]

Table 1: Cont'd

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 2: Queen Contiguity (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.455(.136)[.129]{.137}	.481(.129)[.128]{.123}	.470(.135)[.132]	.581(.354)[.345]
	250	.480(.087)[.083]{.100}	.493(.078)[.078]{.076}	.487(.080)[.079]	.533(.160)[.157]
	500	.490(.057)[.056]{.057}	.497(.056)[.056]{.054}	.495(.068)[.068]	.518(.088)[.086]
	1000	.496(.042)[.042]{.047}	.499(.042)[.042]{.039}	.498(.042)[.042]	.510(.053)[.052]
.25	100	.206(.171)[.166]{.155}	.233(.166)[.165]{.161}	.224(.180)[.178]	.308(.366)[.361]
	250	.222(.108)[.104]{.105}	.240(.097)[.096]{.094}	.232(.099)[.098]	.272(.139)[.137]
	500	.239(.072)[.071]{.076}	.246(.071)[.071]{.068}	.242(.072)[.071]	.259(.089)[.089]
	1000	.246(.050)[.050]{.050}	.245(.052)[.052]{.050}	.244(.053)[.052]	.257(.070)[.070]
.00	100	-.035(.177)[.174]{.165}	-.023(.184)[.182]{.188}	-.039(.191)[.187]	.002(.243)[.243]
	250	-.019(.116)[.115]{.109}	-.005(.115)[.114]{.106}	-.014(.117)[.116]	.016(.153)[.152]
	500	-.009(.081)[.080]{.078}	-.004(.081)[.081]{.077}	-.008(.082)[.081]	.012(.105)[.105]
	1000	-.004(.057)[.057]{.057}	-.002(.057)[.057]{.056}	-.005(.057)[.057]	.007(.069)[.069]
-.25	100	-.283(.185)[.182]{.190}	-.268(.186)[.185]{.186}	-.285(.192)[.189]	-.254(.251)[.251]
	250	-.270(.122)[.120]{.125}	-.256(.121)[.120]{.114}	-.267(.123)[.122]	-.253(.161)[.161]
	500	-.256(.085)[.084]{.085}	-.250(.085)[.085]{.082}	-.254(.085)[.085]	-.242(.106)[.106]
	1000	-.252(.063)[.063]{.060}	-.249(.063)[.063]{.060}	-.251(.063)[.063]	-.245(.078)[.078]
-.50	100	-.518(.195)[.194]{.204}	-.506(.188)[.187]{.180}	-.529(.193)[.190]	-.523(.255)[.254]
	250	-.513(.127)[.126]{.128}	-.501(.127)[.127]{.125}	-.512(.128)[.128]	-.513(.168)[.167]
	500	-.505(.088)[.088]{.084}	-.500(.089)[.089]{.085}	-.505(.089)[.088]	-.500(.110)[.110]
	1000	-.503(.063)[.063]{.060}	-.500(.063)[.063]{.061}	-.503(.063)[.063]	-.501(.077)[.077]
DGP 3: Queen Contiguity (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.453(.128)[.119]{.126}	.479(.120)[.118]{.109}	.470(.144)[.141]	.631(.463)[.444]
	250	.479(.079)[.076]{.072}	.492(.076)[.075]{.069}	.487(.079)[.077]	.583(.287)[.275]
	500	.486(.056)[.054]{.057}	.492(.054)[.054]{.049}	.489(.055)[.054]	.554(.206)[.198]
	1000	.494(.039)[.038]{.031}	.497(.039)[.038]{.037}	.496(.039)[.039]	.530(.107)[.103]
.25	100	.205(.151)[.144]{.146}	.232(.145)[.144]{.148}	.220(.154)[.151]	.354(.469)[.458]
	250	.231(.100)[.098]{.100}	.245(.098)[.098]{.095}	.237(.100)[.099]	.307(.277)[.271]
	500	.237(.071)[.070]{.072}	.244(.070)[.070]{.069}	.240(.071)[.070]	.306(.250)[.244]
	1000	.246(.049)[.049]{.055}	.248(.050)[.050]{.049}	.246(.051)[.050]	.271(.126)[.124]
.00	100	-.048(.164)[.157]{.159}	-.015(.169)[.168]{.164}	-.029(.175)[.172]	.057(.327)[.321]
	250	-.018(.106)[.104]{.104}	-.004(.104)[.104]{.099}	-.013(.107)[.106]	.038(.214)[.210]
	500	-.011(.077)[.076]{.075}	-.003(.077)[.076]{.071}	-.008(.077)[.077]	.032(.169)[.166]
	1000	-.004(.055)[.055]{.055}	-.001(.055)[.055]{.053}	-.003(.055)[.055]	.028(.132)[.129]
-.25	100	-.284(.170)[.167]{.179}	-.263(.169)[.168]{.163}	-.284(.175)[.172]	-.245(.283)[.283]
	250	-.268(.119)[.117]{.110}	-.254(.118)[.117]{.115}	-.265(.120)[.119]	-.220(.214)[.211]
	500	-.258(.081)[.081]{.083}	-.252(.081)[.081]{.079}	-.257(.081)[.081]	-.221(.176)[.174]
	1000	-.252(.059)[.059]{.054}	-.254(.059)[.059]{.056}	-.256(.059)[.059]	-.224(.151)[.148]
-.50	100	-.523(.176)[.175]{.189}	-.516(.182)[.182]{.187}	-.539(.192)[.188]	-.528(.312)[.311]
	250	-.514(.120)[.119]{.113}	-.501(.119)[.119]{.118}	-.513(.120)[.119]	-.501(.215)[.215]
	500	-.503(.085)[.085]{.084}	-.500(.085)[.085]{.088}	-.505(.085)[.085]	-.491(.172)[.172]
	1000	-.503(.063)[.063]{.061}	-.500(.063)[.063]{.059}	-.502(.063)[.063]	-.496(.150)[.150]

**Table 2:** Empirical Mean(rmse)[sd]{ $\hat{sd}$ } of Estimators of  $\lambda$  for SAR Model  
Case I of Inconsistent QMLE: Circular Neighbours (REG-1)

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 1: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.434(.119)[.100]	.481(.103)[.101]{.093}	.477(.107)[.104]	.483(.113)[.112]
	250	.458(.071)[.057]	.491(.059)[.059]{.057}	.489(.058)[.057]	.492(.061)[.060]
	500	.463(.056)[.043]	.496(.044)[.044]{.043}	.495(.043)[.043]	.496(.046)[.046]
	1000	.472(.040)[.028]	.500(.029)[.029]{.028}	.499(.028)[.028]	.500(.030)[.030]
.25	100	.197(.120)[.107]	.233(.116)[.115]{.115}	.226(.117)[.115]	.232(.127)[.125]
	250	.218(.077)[.070]	.242(.075)[.074]{.070}	.239(.073)[.072]	.242(.075)[.075]
	500	.222(.060)[.053]	.246(.057)[.057]{.054}	.245(.057)[.057]	.247(.061)[.060]
	1000	.225(.042)[.034]	.246(.037)[.036]{.035}	.245(.036)[.036]	.246(.038)[.038]
.00	100	-.023(.114)[.111]	-.009(.127)[.126]{.127}	-.015(.127)[.127]	-.006(.136)[.136]
	250	-.012(.073)[.072]	-.007(.081)[.080]{.078}	-.009(.080)[.079]	-.005(.084)[.084]
	500	-.005(.054)[.053]	-.002(.060)[.060]{.060}	-.003(.060)[.060]	-.001(.064)[.064]
	1000	-.002(.036)[.036]	-.001(.040)[.040]{.039}	-.002(.039)[.039]	-.001(.042)[.042]
-.25	100	-.249(.110)[.110]	-.271(.137)[.135]{.139}	-.271(.132)[.131]	-.270(.155)[.154]
	250	-.226(.072)[.068]	-.250(.082)[.081]{.080}	-.251(.076)[.076]	-.250(.081)[.081]
	500	-.224(.058)[.052]	-.252(.063)[.063]{.062}	-.252(.060)[.060]	-.251(.064)[.064]
	1000	-.225(.043)[.034]	-.252(.040)[.040]{.040}	-.252(.039)[.039]	-.252(.042)[.042]
-.50	100	-.449(.105)[.092]	-.494(.114)[.114]{.119}	-.492(.105)[.104]	-.498(.112)[.112]
	250	-.448(.079)[.059]	-.503(.076)[.076]{.076}	-.498(.065)[.065]	-.500(.070)[.070]
	500	-.444(.073)[.046]	-.506(.061)[.061]{.059}	-.505(.054)[.054]	-.506(.057)[.056]
	1000	-.444(.064)[.030]	-.501(.037)[.037]{.037}	-.500(.034)[.034]	-.501(.035)[.035]
DGP 2: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.438(.114)[.096]	.483(.098)[.097]{.089}	.477(.105)[.102]	.485(.130)[.129]
	250	.462(.066)[.054]	.495(.055)[.055]{.055}	.492(.053)[.053]	.496(.067)[.067]
	500	.467(.054)[.043]	.500(.044)[.044]{.042}	.498(.043)[.043]	.499(.057)[.057]
	1000	.473(.039)[.027]	.501(.028)[.028]{.028}	.500(.027)[.027]	.501(.034)[.034]
.25	100	.201(.123)[.113]	.236(.120)[.119]{.109}	.228(.122)[.120]	.235(.147)[.146]
	250	.219(.072)[.066]	.244(.070)[.070]{.069}	.242(.070)[.069]	.245(.087)[.087]
	500	.220(.059)[.051]	.244(.055)[.054]{.053}	.243(.054)[.054]	.247(.071)[.071]
	1000	.228(.040)[.033]	.248(.035)[.035]{.035}	.248(.035)[.034]	.249(.043)[.043]
.00	100	-.022(.116)[.114]	-.010(.131)[.131]{.129}	-.016(.129)[.128]	-.005(.159)[.158]
	250	-.010(.073)[.072]	-.005(.081)[.081]{.079}	-.008(.080)[.079]	-.004(.097)[.096]
	500	-.004(.051)[.051]	-.001(.058)[.058]{.058}	-.002(.057)[.057]	.001(.075)[.075]
	1000	-.003(.036)[.036]	-.002(.040)[.040]{.039}	-.002(.039)[.039]	-.001(.048)[.048]
-.25	100	-.239(.109)[.108]	-.257(.131)[.131]{.129}	-.256(.122)[.122]	-.248(.150)[.150]
	250	-.232(.071)[.069]	-.257(.083)[.082]{.079}	-.257(.077)[.077]	-.253(.093)[.093]
	500	-.223(.059)[.052]	-.251(.062)[.062]{.060}	-.251(.060)[.060]	-.247(.078)[.078]
	1000	-.222(.045)[.036]	-.249(.041)[.041]{.040}	-.249(.040)[.040]	-.249(.048)[.048]
-.50	100	-.452(.105)[.093]	-.499(.114)[.114]{.116}	-.495(.110)[.110]	-.496(.123)[.123]
	250	-.448(.080)[.061]	-.501(.073)[.073]{.073}	-.499(.066)[.066]	-.499(.079)[.079]
	500	-.438(.077)[.046]	-.500(.059)[.059]{.058}	-.498(.052)[.052]	-.497(.065)[.065]
	1000	-.444(.064)[.031]	-.501(.037)[.037]{.037}	-.502(.034)[.034]	-.502(.041)[.041]

**Table 2:** Cont'd

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 3: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.445(.107)[.092]	.486(.087)[.086]{.079}	.482(.092)[.090]	.493(.144)[.144]
	250	.464(.066)[.055]	.495(.054)[.054]{.049}	.493(.054)[.053]	.497(.073)[.073]
	500	.467(.055)[.044]	.497(.041)[.041]{.039}	.496(.042)[.041]	.497(.060)[.060]
	1000	.473(.040)[.030]	.499(.027)[.027]{.026}	.499(.027)[.027]	.500(.037)[.037]
.25	100	.199(.116)[.105]	.230(.110)[.108]{.099}	.241(.068)[.067]	.245(.090)[.089]
	250	.219(.071)[.064]	.243(.069)[.068]{.063}	.241(.068)[.067]	.245(.090)[.089]
	500	.222(.058)[.050]	.244(.054)[.053]{.049}	.243(.053)[.053]	.242(.078)[.078]
	1000	.228(.040)[.033]	.248(.035)[.034]{.033}	.248(.034)[.034]	.250(.045)[.045]
.00	100	-.019(.107)[.105]	-.008(.120)[.120]{.119}	-.013(.119)[.119]	-.005(.164)[.164]
	250	-.008(.065)[.065]	-.003(.072)[.072]{.069}	-.006(.072)[.072]	-.003(.101)[.101]
	500	-.006(.051)[.050]	-.004(.057)[.057]{.054}	-.006(.058)[.058]	-.007(.089)[.089]
	1000	-.003(.035)[.034]	-.002(.038)[.038]{.037}	-.003(.038)[.038]	-.003(.053)[.053]
-.25	100	-.243(.102)[.102]	-.260(.123)[.123]{.120}	-.262(.118)[.117]	-.257(.157)[.156]
	250	-.230(.072)[.069]	-.250(.077)[.077]{.072}	-.251(.074)[.074]	-.248(.098)[.098]
	500	-.228(.055)[.050]	-.255(.058)[.058]{.056}	-.256(.058)[.057]	-.255(.083)[.083]
	1000	-.223(.044)[.035]	-.250(.039)[.039]{.038}	-.250(.039)[.039]	-.249(.052)[.052]
-.50	100	-.450(.107)[.095]	-.486(.110)[.109]{.112}	-.485(.105)[.104]	-.484(.125)[.123]
	250	-.450(.081)[.063]	-.502(.074)[.074]{.070}	-.498(.064)[.064]	-.496(.085)[.085]
	500	-.439(.081)[.053]	-.499(.061)[.061]{.059}	-.497(.051)[.051]	-.499(.069)[.069]
	1000	-.445(.066)[.037]	-.500(.038)[.038]{.036}	-.500(.034)[.034]	-.501(.044)[.044]
DGP 1: $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.407(.154)[.123]	.474(.129)[.127]{.119}	.467(.148)[.144]	.499(.189)[.189]
	250	.437(.100)[.078]	.489(.080)[.079]{.075}	.485(.082)[.080]	.494(.083)[.083]
	500	.445(.076)[.053]	.494(.054)[.054]{.053}	.493(.069)[.069]	.497(.066)[.066]
	1000	.453(.060)[.037]	.499(.037)[.037]{.038}	.498(.037)[.037]	.500(.038)[.038]
.25	100	.174(.156)[.136]	.226(.155)[.153]{.149}	.213(.165)[.161]	.235(.195)[.194]
	250	.199(.101)[.087]	.238(.097)[.097]{.096}	.233(.100)[.098]	.241(.102)[.102]
	500	.208(.076)[.063]	.243(.069)[.069]{.068}	.240(.070)[.069]	.243(.070)[.070]
	1000	.213(.058)[.045]	.246(.049)[.049]{.048}	.245(.050)[.049]	.246(.050)[.050]
.00	100	-.041(.146)[.140]	-.023(.170)[.168]{.165}	-.040(.179)[.174]	-.026(.184)[.182]
	250	-.016(.096)[.095]	-.009(.114)[.113]{.117}	-.015(.115)[.114]	-.009(.116)[.116]
	500	-.008(.066)[.066]	-.004(.078)[.078]{.077}	-.008(.079)[.079]	-.005(.080)[.080]
	1000	-.004(.044)[.044]	-.002(.052)[.052]{.054}	-.003(.052)[.052]	-.002(.053)[.053]
-.25	100	-.240(.136)[.136]	-.270(.176)[.175]{.172}	-.292(.185)[.180]	-.291(.201)[.197]
	250	-.213(.095)[.087]	-.251(.110)[.110]{.111}	-.259(.111)[.111]	-.256(.114)[.114]
	500	-.210(.074)[.062]	-.252(.079)[.079]{.079}	-.256(.079)[.079]	-.255(.080)[.080]
	1000	-.209(.060)[.044]	-.252(.055)[.055]{.056}	-.254(.055)[.055]	-.254(.056)[.056]
-.50	100	-.417(.149)[.124]	-.496(.164)[.164]{.159}	-.531(.202)[.199]	-.535(.213)[.210]
	250	-.413(.117)[.078]	-.504(.103)[.103]{.102}	-.512(.103)[.102]	-.516(.107)[.106]
	500	-.409(.107)[.056]	-.501(.073)[.073]{.073}	-.506(.073)[.073]	-.507(.074)[.074]
	1000	-.405(.103)[.039]	-.498(.051)[.051]{.052}	-.501(.051)[.051]	-.501(.051)[.051]

**Table 2:** Cont'd

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 2: $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.416(.147)[.121]	.482(.123)[.121]{.119}	.475(.138)[.136]	.592(.342)[.329]
	250	.438(.101)[.080]	.490(.081)[.080]{.079}	.487(.090)[.089]	.528(.157)[.154]
	500	.448(.074)[.053]	.496(.053)[.053]{.052}	.494(.054)[.053]	.511(.068)[.067]
	1000	.452(.061)[.038]	.499(.038)[.038]{.037}	.498(.038)[.038]	.508(.047)[.047]
.25	100	.184(.152)[.137]	.236(.154)[.154]{.157}	.224(.165)[.163]	.304(.305)[.301]
	250	.203(.100)[.088]	.242(.097)[.097]{.091}	.236(.099)[.098]	.271(.149)[.147]
	500	.211(.073)[.062]	.246(.067)[.067]{.066}	.243(.068)[.068]	.264(.109)[.109]
	1000	.217(.055)[.044]	.250(.048)[.048]{.047}	.249(.048)[.048]	.258(.058)[.058]
.00	100	-.040(.144)[.139]	-.021(.171)[.169]{.164}	-.039(.180)[.176]	.014(.262)[.262]
	250	-.016(.091)[.089]	-.010(.107)[.107]{.104}	-.016(.109)[.108]	.008(.134)[.134]
	500	-.007(.063)[.063]	-.003(.075)[.075]{.074}	-.006(.075)[.075]	.008(.090)[.090]
	1000	-.003(.046)[.046]	-.001(.054)[.054]{.053}	-.003(.054)[.054]	.006(.066)[.066]
-.25	100	-.232(.133)[.131]	-.259(.169)[.169]{.159}	-.281(.180)[.177]	-.254(.266)[.266]
	250	-.216(.090)[.083]	-.254(.106)[.106]{.107}	-.262(.108)[.107]	-.249(.138)[.138]
	500	-.210(.073)[.061]	-.251(.077)[.077]{.077}	-.255(.077)[.077]	-.246(.088)[.088]
	1000	-.207(.063)[.046]	-.249(.057)[.057]{.055}	-.251(.057)[.057]	-.247(.067)[.067]
-.50	100	-.424(.148)[.127]	-.503(.163)[.163]{.160}	-.535(.191)[.187]	-.549(.246)[.241]
	250	-.410(.123)[.084]	-.499(.105)[.105]{.099}	-.507(.106)[.105]	-.513(.151)[.151]
	500	-.409(.108)[.058]	-.500(.071)[.071]{.072}	-.504(.071)[.071]	-.507(.086)[.086]
	1000	-.409(.100)[.041]	-.503(.050)[.050]{.051}	-.506(.051)[.050]	-.509(.063)[.062]
DGP 3: $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.416(.147)[.120]	.480(.118)[.116]{.099}	.473(.130)[.128]	.652(.453)[.426]
	250	.439(.096)[.074]	.490(.071)[.070]{.065}	.486(.073)[.071]	.572(.247)[.236]
	500	.449(.074)[.054]	.497(.050)[.050]{.048}	.495(.051)[.051]	.547(.189)[.184]
	1000	.453(.060)[.037]	.498(.034)[.034]{.035}	.497(.035)[.034]	.523(.104)[.101]
.25	100	.174(.153)[.133]	.224(.147)[.144]{.137}	.212(.156)[.152]	.335(.387)[.378]
	250	.210(.089)[.080]	.249(.087)[.087]{.083}	.243(.087)[.087]	.310(.245)[.237]
	500	.211(.072)[.061]	.244(.065)[.065]{.061}	.242(.066)[.065]	.283(.198)[.195]
	1000	.214(.057)[.044]	.247(.046)[.046]{.044}	.246(.047)[.046]	.266(.116)[.115]
.00	100	-.027(.135)[.133]	-.008(.161)[.160]{.153}	-.026(.172)[.170]	.077(.422)[.414]
	250	-.014(.087)[.086]	-.006(.103)[.103]{.099}	-.013(.105)[.104]	.052(.263)[.258]
	500	-.008(.059)[.058]	-.004(.070)[.070]{.069}	-.008(.071)[.070]	.026(.151)[.149]
	1000	-.003(.042)[.042]	-.001(.050)[.050]{.050}	-.003(.050)[.050]	.025(.116)[.114]
-.25	100	-.234(.131)[.130]	-.262(.172)[.172]{.179}	-.288(.184)[.180]	-.238(.295)[.295]
	250	-.218(.090)[.084]	-.254(.105)[.105]{.099}	-.262(.107)[.106]	-.223(.239)[.238]
	500	-.213(.073)[.063]	-.252(.076)[.076]{.071}	-.256(.077)[.076]	-.233(.161)[.160]
	1000	-.208(.062)[.046]	-.250(.055)[.055]{.053}	-.252(.055)[.055]	-.238(.128)[.127]
-.50	100	-.418(.151)[.127]	-.495(.158)[.158]{.151}	-.526(.178)[.176]	-.544(.304)[.301]
	250	-.411(.126)[.089]	-.503(.105)[.105]{.099}	-.511(.105)[.104]	-.508(.199)[.198]
	500	-.408(.113)[.066]	-.500(.073)[.073]{.069}	-.504(.072)[.072]	-.501(.156)[.156]
	1000	-.403(.109)[.049]	-.496(.051)[.051]{.049}	-.498(.051)[.051]	-.502(.129)[.129]

**Table 3:** Empirical Mean(rmse)[sd]{ $\hat{sd}$ } of Estimators of  $\lambda$  for SAR Model  
Case II of Inconsistent QMLE: Group Interaction (REG-2)

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 1: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.422(.124)[.096]	.478(.102)[.099]{.093}	.469(.109)[.105]	.470(.112)[.108]
	250	.461(.069)[.057]	.493(.059)[.059]{.056}	.488(.061)[.060]	.491(.065)[.064]
	500	.472(.047)[.037]	.497(.039)[.038]{.038}	.494(.039)[.039]	.496(.041)[.041]
	1000	.476(.037)[.028]	.499(.029)[.029]{.028}	.497(.029)[.029]	.498(.031)[.030]
.25	100	.159(.161)[.132]	.224(.142)[.140]{.139}	.210(.156)[.150]	.215(.162)[.158]
	250	.210(.087)[.078]	.244(.082)[.081]{.080}	.237(.085)[.084]	.242(.090)[.090]
	500	.223(.060)[.053]	.247(.056)[.056]{.055}	.243(.057)[.057]	.246(.061)[.061]
	1000	.232(.042)[.037]	.251(.039)[.039]{.040}	.249(.040)[.040]	.251(.043)[.043]
.00	100	-.079(.179)[.160]	-.023(.183)[.181]{.183}	-.035(.194)[.191]	-.026(.203)[.201]
	250	-.034(.100)[.094]	-.011(.103)[.103]{.102}	-.020(.107)[.105]	-.014(.112)[.111]
	500	-.018(.067)[.065]	-.006(.071)[.070]{.070}	-.013(.072)[.071]	-.009(.075)[.075]
	1000	-.011(.049)[.048]	-.005(.052)[.052]{.051}	-.009(.054)[.053]	-.007(.057)[.057]
-.25	100	-.317(.184)[.171]	-.285(.210)[.207]{.213}	-.300(.222)[.216]	-.291(.234)[.231]
	250	-.264(.109)[.108]	-.266(.126)[.124]{.123}	-.276(.128)[.125]	-.271(.134)[.132]
	500	-.247(.074)[.074]	-.258(.085)[.085]{.084}	-.265(.086)[.085]	-.262(.091)[.090]
	1000	-.235(.056)[.054]	-.254(.061)[.060]{.060}	-.257(.062)[.061]	-.255(.065)[.065]
-.50	100	-.532(.181)[.178]	-.534(.226)[.224]{.219}	-.546(.231)[.226]	-.543(.245)[.241]
	250	-.468(.120)[.116]	-.505(.146)[.146]{.144}	-.515(.143)[.142]	-.511(.151)[.150]
	500	-.460(.090)[.080]	-.507(.101)[.100]{.097}	-.511(.096)[.095]	-.509(.101)[.101]
	1000	-.448(.078)[.057]	-.501(.070)[.070]{.069}	-.505(.069)[.069]	-.503(.073)[.073]
DGP 2: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.437(.117)[.098]	.492(.099)[.098]{.089}	.487(.110)[.110]	.497(.126)[.126]
	250	.465(.066)[.056]	.499(.057)[.057]{.054}	.494(.060)[.059]	.504(.074)[.074]
	500	.471(.047)[.037]	.497(.038)[.038]{.038}	.494(.039)[.038]	.499(.050)[.050]
	1000	.477(.035)[.027]	.500(.028)[.028]{.028}	.498(.028)[.028]	.500(.036)[.036]
.25	100	.167(.155)[.130]	.230(.137)[.135]{.129}	.220(.151)[.148]	.235(.172)[.171]
	250	.211(.085)[.076]	.245(.079)[.079]{.077}	.236(.082)[.081]	.245(.100)[.100]
	500	.219(.060)[.051]	.243(.054)[.053]{.054}	.238(.055)[.054]	.245(.067)[.067]
	1000	.231(.042)[.038]	.251(.040)[.040]{.039}	.248(.040)[.040]	.250(.052)[.052]
.00	100	-.084(.181)[.160]	-.028(.179)[.176]{.169}	-.044(.195)[.190]	-.019(.228)[.227]
	250	-.031(.098)[.093]	-.008(.101)[.101]{.098}	-.018(.107)[.105]	-.005(.134)[.134]
	500	-.015(.068)[.067]	-.003(.073)[.073]{.069}	-.009(.074)[.074]	.001(.095)[.095]
	1000	-.008(.050)[.049]	-.002(.053)[.053]{.050}	-.005(.054)[.054]	.000(.069)[.069]
-.25	100	-.313(.178)[.167]	-.283(.206)[.203]{.211}	-.296(.215)[.210]	-.268(.259)[.258]
	250	-.262(.109)[.108]	-.263(.126)[.126]{.119}	-.272(.128)[.126]	-.256(.159)[.159]
	500	-.243(.072)[.072]	-.254(.082)[.082]{.082}	-.260(.081)[.081]	-.252(.101)[.101]
	1000	-.235(.055)[.053]	-.253(.060)[.060]{.060}	-.256(.061)[.061]	-.252(.080)[.080]
-.50	100	-.523(.182)[.181]	-.531(.241)[.239]{.230}	-.541(.237)[.233]	-.510(.284)[.283]
	250	-.471(.118)[.114]	-.510(.142)[.142]{.140}	-.517(.138)[.137]	-.497(.174)[.174]
	500	-.458(.092)[.082]	-.503(.101)[.101]{.095}	-.509(.098)[.097]	-.498(.121)[.121]
	1000	-.445(.079)[.057]	-.497(.068)[.068]{.069}	-.500(.068)[.068]	-.493(.090)[.089]

**Table 3:** Cont'd

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 3: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$					
.50	100	.433(.115)[.094]	.484(.090)[.089]{.081}	.476(.110)[.107]	.485(.138)[.138]
	250	.469(.062)[.054]	.500(.053)[.053]{.050}	.495(.055)[.055]	.503(.076)[.076]
	500	.473(.046)[.037]	.497(.036)[.036]{.035}	.494(.037)[.037]	.496(.051)[.051]
	1000	.478(.035)[.027]	.500(.026)[.026]{.026}	.498(.027)[.027]	.502(.038)[.038]
.25	100	.173(.145)[.123]	.232(.125)[.124]{.114}	.221(.150)[.147]	.236(.187)[.186]
	250	.211(.086)[.077]	.243(.079)[.079]{.071}	.236(.084)[.083]	.247(.115)[.115]
	500	.225(.056)[.051]	.248(.052)[.052]{.051}	.244(.054)[.053]	.250(.078)[.078]
	1000	.228(.044)[.038]	.246(.039)[.039]{.038}	.244(.040)[.039]	.248(.056)[.056]
.00	100	-.078(.169)[.150]	-.026(.174)[.172]{.164}	-.044(.188)[.183]	-.019(.229)[.228]
	250	-.030(.098)[.093]	-.008(.102)[.102]{.099}	-.018(.107)[.106]	-.002(.145)[.145]
	500	-.017(.066)[.063]	-.005(.069)[.069]{.066}	-.012(.071)[.070]	-.005(.097)[.097]
	1000	-.007(.047)[.046]	-.001(.050)[.050]{.048}	-.005(.051)[.051]	-.003(.073)[.073]
-.25	100	-.305(.178)[.170]	-.270(.197)[.196]{.199}	-.291(.218)[.214]	-.262(.280)[.280]
	250	-.262(.104)[.103]	-.264(.123)[.122]{.119}	-.272(.124)[.122]	-.256(.173)[.173]
	500	-.248(.071)[.071]	-.259(.081)[.080]{.078}	-.265(.082)[.081]	-.256(.115)[.115]
	1000	-.234(.055)[.053]	-.251(.059)[.059]{.057}	-.255(.060)[.060]	-.249(.090)[.090]
-.50	100	-.535(.181)[.177]	-.530(.218)[.216]{.223}	-.555(.236)[.229]	-.528(.304)[.303]
	250	-.474(.118)[.115]	-.515(.148)[.147]{.139}	-.523(.142)[.141]	-.505(.195)[.195]
	500	-.457(.091)[.080]	-.504(.094)[.093]{.092}	-.509(.091)[.090]	-.500(.125)[.125]
	1000	-.449(.081)[.063]	-.502(.069)[.069]{.067}	-.505(.069)[.069]	-.498(.101)[.101]
DGP 1: $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.364(.203)[.150]	.456(.148)[.141]{.129}	.419(.219)[.204]	.423(.234)[.220]
	250	.433(.105)[.080]	.487(.079)[.078]{.073}	.468(.095)[.090]	.469(.095)[.090]
	500	.450(.073)[.053]	.494(.053)[.053]{.051}	.482(.057)[.054]	.483(.057)[.054]
	1000	.460(.054)[.036]	.497(.036)[.036]{.036}	.491(.038)[.037]	.491(.038)[.037]
.25	100	.092(.246)[.188]	.193(.206)[.197]{.185}	.126(.269)[.239]	.127(.289)[.261]
	250	.178(.129)[.107]	.232(.114)[.112]{.109}	.203(.126)[.116]	.202(.127)[.117]
	500	.202(.084)[.069]	.242(.074)[.073]{.073}	.225(.079)[.075]	.225(.079)[.075]
	1000	.215(.059)[.048]	.246(.051)[.051]{.051}	.238(.053)[.051]	.238(.053)[.051]
.00	100	-.150(.258)[.211]	-.070(.257)[.247]{.233}	-.161(.331)[.289]	-.159(.346)[.307]
	250	-.060(.141)[.127]	-.028(.148)[.146]{.133}	-.066(.164)[.150]	-.066(.165)[.151]
	500	-.030(.090)[.085]	-.011(.097)[.097]{.093}	-.033(.104)[.099]	-.032(.104)[.099]
	1000	-.016(.059)[.057]	-.007(.065)[.065]{.066}	-.018(.068)[.066]	-.018(.069)[.066]
-.25	100	-.365(.241)[.212]	-.328(.294)[.283]{.272}	-.441(.381)[.330]	-.432(.409)[.366]
	250	-.260(.127)[.126]	-.264(.159)[.158]{.156}	-.308(.172)[.162]	-.309(.173)[.162]
	500	-.243(.093)[.093]	-.263(.116)[.115]{.110}	-.289(.123)[.117]	-.289(.123)[.117]
	1000	-.228(.071)[.068]	-.258(.084)[.084]{.088}	-.271(.087)[.085]	-.272(.088)[.085]
-.50	100	-.556(.216)[.209]	-.581(.312)[.301]{.299}	-.712(.409)[.350]	-.706(.404)[.347]
	250	-.464(.137)[.132]	-.526(.185)[.183]{.179}	-.576(.202)[.186]	-.579(.204)[.188]
	500	-.439(.113)[.095]	-.514(.129)[.128]{.124}	-.543(.137)[.130]	-.544(.138)[.131]
	1000	-.423(.101)[.066]	-.506(.089)[.089]{.088}	-.520(.092)[.090]	-.521(.092)[.090]



**Table 3:** Cont'd

$\lambda_0$	$n$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 2: $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.361(.206)[.152]	.453(.150)[.143]{.137}	.426(.251)[.240]	.518(.396)[.396]
	250	.435(.103)[.080]	.489(.078)[.077]{.070}	.469(.085)[.079]	.510(.185)[.185]
	500	.453(.070)[.052]	.496(.050)[.050]{.049}	.485(.053)[.051]	.502(.113)[.113]
	1000	.460(.054)[.037]	.497(.036)[.036]{.035}	.492(.038)[.037]	.494(.042)[.042]
.25	100	.098(.241)[.187]	.197(.202)[.194]{.186}	.134(.269)[.242]	.230(.459)[.459]
	250	.176(.131)[.108]	.229(.116)[.114]{.109}	.199(.128)[.117]	.231(.219)[.218]
	500	.200(.086)[.070]	.239(.075)[.074]{.071}	.222(.080)[.075]	.234(.113)[.112]
	1000	.215(.062)[.052]	.246(.055)[.055]{.051}	.238(.057)[.055]	.239(.062)[.061]
.00	100	-.144(.254)[.209]	-.064(.257)[.249]{.241}	-.154(.314)[.273]	-.029(.573)[.573]
	250	-.052(.127)[.116]	-.017(.132)[.131]{.129}	-.054(.146)[.136]	-.015(.267)[.266]
	500	-.032(.091)[.085]	-.014(.098)[.097]{.090}	-.036(.105)[.099]	-.024(.119)[.116]
	1000	-.018(.063)[.060]	-.009(.069)[.069]{.065}	-.020(.072)[.069]	-.014(.082)[.081]
-.25	100	-.354(.235)[.211]	-.311(.283)[.276]{.265}	-.423(.348)[.302]	-.320(.534)[.529]
	250	-.264(.131)[.130]	-.268(.164)[.163]{.159}	-.312(.180)[.168]	-.278(.271)[.269]
	500	-.241(.090)[.089]	-.260(.110)[.109]{.106}	-.286(.117)[.111]	-.269(.136)[.135]
	1000	-.228(.067)[.064]	-.257(.078)[.078]{.077}	-.270(.081)[.078]	-.266(.092)[.091]
-.50	100	-.543(.218)[.214]	-.559(.308)[.302]{.296}	-.696(.424)[.376]	-.621(.616)[.604]
	250	-.468(.138)[.135]	-.532(.186)[.183]{.179}	-.583(.203)[.186]	-.563(.248)[.240]
	500	-.444(.113)[.098]	-.520(.129)[.128]{.122}	-.549(.138)[.129]	-.538(.161)[.156]
	1000	-.420(.104)[.066]	-.503(.086)[.086]{.087}	-.517(.088)[.086]	-.512(.101)[.100]
DGP 3: $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$					
.50	100	.378(.186)[.140]	.470(.131)[.127]{.114}	.439(.225)[.217]	.575(.428)[.421]
	250	.434(.100)[.076]	.487(.071)[.070]{.074}	.467(.080)[.073]	.536(.255)[.253]
	500	.450(.074)[.055]	.492(.052)[.051]{.049}	.481(.056)[.052]	.539(.229)[.226]
	1000	.460(.055)[.037]	.497(.035)[.035]{.033}	.491(.036)[.035]	.523(.168)[.167]
.25	100	.109(.217)[.165]	.210(.173)[.168]{.160}	.151(.252)[.232]	.286(.518)[.517]
	250	.183(.120)[.099]	.235(.103)[.102]{.099}	.207(.114)[.106]	.310(.398)[.394]
	500	.205(.081)[.067]	.243(.069)[.069]{.066}	.227(.074)[.070]	.287(.286)[.284]
	1000	.215(.058)[.046]	.246(.048)[.048]{.047}	.237(.050)[.048]	.265(.179)[.179]
.00	100	-.144(.241)[.194]	-.063(.235)[.227]{.199}	-.144(.329)[.296]	.056(.696)[.694]
	250	-.051(.123)[.112]	-.018(.130)[.129]{.119}	-.054(.144)[.133]	.094(.551)[.543]
	500	-.027(.084)[.079]	-.008(.091)[.090]{.089}	-.030(.098)[.093]	.032(.337)[.336]
	1000	-.015(.058)[.056]	-.006(.065)[.064]{.061}	-.017(.067)[.065]	.020(.210)[.209]
-.25	100	-.355(.231)[.205]	-.313(.273)[.265]{.250}	-.432(.357)[.307]	-.193(.780)[.778]
	250	-.267(.129)[.128]	-.272(.162)[.160]{.151}	-.317(.180)[.167]	-.183(.540)[.536]
	500	-.240(.087)[.086]	-.259(.106)[.106]{.100}	-.285(.114)[.108]	-.202(.376)[.373]
	1000	-.224(.068)[.063]	-.254(.075)[.075]{.073}	-.267(.078)[.076]	-.213(.253)[.251]
-.50	100	-.544(.209)[.204]	-.557(.290)[.284]{.279}	-.684(.447)[.407]	-.442(.904)[.903]
	250	-.467(.139)[.135]	-.526(.179)[.177]{.168}	-.577(.196)[.180]	-.464(.523)[.522]
	500	-.433(.119)[.099]	-.506(.123)[.123]{.119}	-.535(.130)[.125]	-.412(.483)[.475]
	1000	-.423(.107)[.074]	-.504(.086)[.086]{.083}	-.519(.088)[.086]	-.466(.257)[.255]

**Table 4:** Empirical Mean(rmse)[sd]{ $\hat{sd}$ } of Estimators of  $\beta$  for SAR Model  
Cases of Consistent QMLEs

$\lambda_0$	$n$	$\beta_0$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 1: Constant Circular Neighbours (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$						
.5	100	3	3.220(.644)[.606]{.592}	3.166(.708)[.688]{.691}	3.192(.733)[.707]	3.129(.797)[.786]
		1	1.006(.131)[.131]{.123}	0.992(.153)[.152]{.143}	0.989(.152)[.152]	0.988(.152)[.152]
		1	1.003(.201)[.201]{.203}	0.990(.229)[.228]{.222}	0.983(.228)[.228]	0.981(.229)[.229]
	250	3	3.089(.396)[.386]{.392}	3.051(.388)[.385]{.369}	3.069(.395)[.389]	3.040(.437)[.435]
		1	0.999(.096)[.096]{.093}	0.999(.096)[.096]{.093}	0.996(.096)[.096]	0.996(.096)[.096]
		1	1.003(.138)[.138]{.134}	1.004(.149)[.149]{.144}	1.002(.149)[.149]	1.002(.149)[.149]
	500	3	3.039(.264)[.261]{.276}	3.019(.261)[.260]{.253}	3.030(.264)[.263]	3.013(.290)[.290]
		1	1.000(.068)[.068]{.068}	0.996(.070)[.070]{.070}	0.995(.070)[.070]	0.995(.070)[.070]
		1	0.999(.106)[.106]{.104}	0.998(.106)[.106]{.104}	0.997(.106)[.106]	0.997(.106)[.106]
-.5	100	3	3.047(.357)[.353]{.360}	3.011(.356)[.355]{.339}	3.041(.362)[.360]	3.024(.400)[.399]
		1	0.994(.130)[.130]{.123}	0.994(.157)[.157]{.149}	0.988(.157)[.157]	0.988(.158)[.158]
		1	0.995(.226)[.226]{.222}	0.996(.227)[.227]{.222}	0.988(.226)[.226]	0.987(.227)[.227]
	250	3	3.026(.221)[.220]{.230}	3.011(.220)[.220]{.214}	3.024(.221)[.220]	3.016(.246)[.245]
		1	0.999(.098)[.098]{.100}	0.995(.093)[.093]{.094}	0.992(.094)[.093]	0.992(.094)[.093]
		1	1.002(.130)[.130]{.135}	0.992(.143)[.143]{.144}	0.989(.143)[.143]	0.990(.144)[.143]
	500	3	3.001(.157)[.157]{.166}	2.993(.158)[.157]{.152}	3.000(.158)[.158]	2.993(.174)[.174]
		1	0.998(.067)[.067]{.068}	0.998(.067)[.067]{.070}	0.997(.067)[.067]	0.997(.067)[.067]
		1	0.999(.104)[.104]{.103}	0.999(.104)[.104]{.103}	0.997(.104)[.104]	0.998(.104)[.104]
DGP 2: Constant Circular Neighbours (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$						
.5	100	3	3.207(.597)[.560]{.570}	3.117(.641)[.631]{.645}	3.150(.706)[.690]	3.071(.843)[.840]
		1	1.007(.154)[.154]{.148}	1.007(.154)[.154]{.148}	1.003(.154)[.154]	1.003(.151)[.151]
		1	1.000(.207)[.207]{.198}	0.999(.220)[.220]{.211}	0.993(.220)[.220]	0.991(.217)[.217]
	250	3	3.078(.380)[.372]{.345}	3.041(.372)[.370]{.345}	3.057(.377)[.372]	3.029(.512)[.512]
		1	1.004(.096)[.096]{.092}	1.004(.096)[.096]{.092}	1.001(.095)[.095]	1.001(.095)[.095]
		1	0.993(.141)[.141]{.132}	1.010(.146)[.146]{.141}	1.007(.146)[.146]	1.007(.145)[.145]
	500	3	3.028(.254)[.253]{.229}	3.009(.252)[.252]{.245}	3.020(.254)[.253]	2.998(.357)[.357]
		1	1.001(.067)[.067]{.068}	0.996(.071)[.070]{.069}	0.995(.071)[.070]	0.995(.070)[.070]
		1	0.999(.100)[.100]{.097}	1.002(.108)[.108]{.103}	1.001(.108)[.108]	1.000(.108)[.108]
-.5	100	3	3.044(.326)[.323]{.310}	3.010(.324)[.324]{.316}	3.039(.331)[.329]	3.021(.450)[.449]
		1	0.997(.154)[.154]{.141}	0.999(.154)[.154]{.140}	0.992(.154)[.153]	0.993(.153)[.153]
		1	0.999(.235)[.235]{.217}	1.000(.235)[.235]{.218}	0.992(.234)[.234]	0.990(.231)[.231]
	250	3	3.012(.205)[.205]{.201}	2.997(.205)[.205]{.206}	3.010(.206)[.206]	3.002(.281)[.281]
		1	1.000(.097)[.097]{.093}	1.001(.097)[.097]{.093}	0.998(.097)[.097]	0.999(.097)[.097]
		1	0.997(.147)[.147]{.141}	0.998(.147)[.147]{.142}	0.994(.147)[.147]	0.995(.146)[.145]
	500	3	3.010(.148)[.148]{.101}	3.002(.148)[.148]{.150}	3.009(.148)[.148]	3.002(.207)[.207]
		1	1.001(.070)[.070]{.067}	0.995(.069)[.069]{.069}	0.994(.069)[.069]	0.994(.069)[.069]
		1	1.000(.104)[.104]{.103}	1.000(.104)[.104]{.103}	0.998(.104)[.104]	0.999(.103)[.103]

Table 4: Cont'd

$\lambda_0$	$n$	$\beta_0$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 1: Queen Contiguity (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$						
.5	100	.3	.338(.154)[.149]{.139}	.323(.146)[.145]{.137}	.328(.154)[.151]	.306(.167)[.167]
		.1	.094(.163)[.163]{.159}	.094(.163)[.163]{.169}	.093(.162)[.162]	.092(.165)[.165]
		.1	.100(.204)[.204]{.195}	.100(.204)[.204]{.195}	.099(.202)[.202]	.100(.202)[.202]
250		.3	.310(.082)[.081]{.082}	.303(.080)[.080]{.079}	.307(.081)[.081]	.300(.081)[.081]
		.1	.109(.096)[.096]{.096}	.109(.096)[.096]{.096}	.109(.096)[.095]	.108(.096)[.096]
		.1	.101(.139)[.139]{.134}	.096(.141)[.141]{.139}	.096(.141)[.141]	.096(.140)[.140]
500		.3	.308(.060)[.059]{.059}	.304(.059)[.058]{.056}	.306(.064)[.064]	.302(.064)[.064]
		.1	.101(.067)[.067]{.068}	.101(.067)[.067]{.068}	.101(.067)[.067]	.100(.067)[.067]
		.1	.102(.100)[.100]{.098}	.102(.100)[.100]{.098}	.102(.100)[.100]	.101(.100)[.100]
-.5	100	.3	.306(.109)[.109]{.106}	.301(.108)[.108]{.104}	.305(.110)[.109]	.304(.110)[.110]
		.1	.100(.167)[.167]{.157}	.100(.168)[.168]{.159}	.099(.166)[.166]	.097(.168)[.168]
		.1	.087(.195)[.194]{.185}	.084(.199)[.198]{.189}	.082(.196)[.195]	.082(.196)[.195]
250		.3	.303(.069)[.069]{.069}	.303(.069)[.069]{.068}	.305(.069)[.069]	.306(.069)[.069]
		.1	.097(.099)[.098]{.095}	.107(.100)[.100]{.095}	.106(.100)[.100]	.106(.100)[.099]
		.1	.096(.138)[.138]{.134}	.106(.138)[.138]{.133}	.105(.138)[.138]	.105(.138)[.138]
500		.3	.301(.048)[.048]{.048}	.297(.049)[.049]{.048}	.298(.049)[.049]	.298(.049)[.049]
		.1	.100(.069)[.069]{.067}	.101(.069)[.069]{.067}	.101(.069)[.069]	.101(.069)[.069]
		.1	.100(.097)[.097]{.098}	.100(.097)[.097]{.098}	.100(.097)[.097]	.100(.097)[.097]
DGP 2: Queen Contiguity (REG-1), $\beta_0 = (.3, .1, .1)'$						
.5	100	.3	.327(.136)[.133]{.128}	.311(.129)[.129]{.120}	.318(.134)[.133]	.251(.234)[.229]
		.1	.103(.161)[.161]{.153}	.103(.161)[.161]{.152}	.103(.161)[.161]	.102(.161)[.161]
		.1	.103(.194)[.194]{.189}	.094(.194)[.194]{.180}	.092(.193)[.193]	.093(.192)[.192]
250		.3	.311(.080)[.079]{.087}	.304(.078)[.078]{.078}	.308(.079)[.079]	.280(.111)[.110]
		.1	.104(.095)[.095]{.093}	.108(.097)[.097]{.093}	.107(.097)[.097]	.106(.095)[.095]
		.1	.096(.130)[.130]{.132}	.096(.130)[.130]{.132}	.096(.129)[.129]	.096(.129)[.129]
500		.3	.307(.057)[.057]{.064}	.305(.058)[.058]{.056}	.306(.064)[.063]	.292(.070)[.069]
		.1	.101(.069)[.069]{.067}	.101(.069)[.069]{.067}	.101(.069)[.069]	.100(.068)[.068]
		.1	.104(.102)[.102]{.098}	.094(.101)[.101]{.098}	.094(.101)[.101]	.092(.100)[.099]
-.5	100	.3	.306(.109)[.109]{.110}	.301(.108)[.108]{.103}	.306(.109)[.109]	.304(.111)[.111]
		.1	.104(.171)[.171]{.162}	.104(.172)[.172]{.164}	.103(.170)[.170]	.103(.159)[.159]
		.1	.101(.194)[.194]{.181}	.089(.194)[.194]{.181}	.088(.192)[.191]	.084(.181)[.180]
250		.3	.300(.069)[.069]{.072}	.302(.067)[.067]{.066}	.304(.067)[.067]	.303(.070)[.070]
		.1	.103(.095)[.095]{.093}	.103(.095)[.095]{.093}	.102(.095)[.094]	.101(.092)[.092]
		.1	.101(.133)[.133]{.132}	.095(.138)[.138]{.130}	.094(.138)[.138]	.093(.133)[.133]
500		.3	.299(.048)[.048]{.051}	.298(.048)[.048]{.048}	.299(.048)[.048]	.299(.049)[.049]
		.1	.102(.067)[.067]{.068}	.102(.067)[.067]{.068}	.101(.067)[.067]	.100(.066)[.066]
		.1	.099(.099)[.099]{.096}	.103(.103)[.103]{.098}	.103(.102)[.102]	.103(.101)[.101]

**Table 5:** Empirical Mean(rmse)[sd]{ $\hat{sd}$ } of Estimators of  $\beta$  for SAR Model  
Case I of Inconsistent QMLEs: Circular Neighbours (REG-1)

$\lambda_0$	$n$	$\beta_0$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 1: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$						
.5	100	3	3.398(.598)[.719]	3.116(.596)[.607]{.594}	3.145(.641)[.624]	3.104(.679)[.671]
		1	1.001(.125)[.125]	0.997(.125)[.125]{.118}	0.993(.125)[.125]	0.993(.126)[.126]
		1	0.999(.190)[.190]	0.992(.189)[.189]{.188}	0.986(.188)[.187]	0.987(.187)[.187]
250	3	3	3.254(.346)[.429]	3.055(.350)[.355]{.349}	3.067(.351)[.345]	3.048(.370)[.367]
		1	1.001(.076)[.076]	0.998(.076)[.076]{.073}	0.997(.076)[.076]	0.997(.076)[.076]
		1	1.011(.125)[.125]	1.004(.124)[.124]{.119}	1.002(.124)[.124]	1.002(.124)[.124]
500	3	3	3.219(.263)[.342]	3.024(.265)[.266]{.262}	3.030(.266)[.264]	3.021(.281)[.280]
		1	1.006(.054)[.055]	1.000(.054)[.054]{.056}	0.999(.054)[.054]	0.999(.055)[.055]
		1	1.008(.090)[.090]	1.002(.089)[.089]{.089}	1.001(.089)[.089]	1.001(.089)[.089]
-.5	100	3	2.897(.206)[.231]	2.986(.259)[.259]{.270}	2.981(.232)[.231]	2.993(.245)[.245]
		1	1.003(.127)[.127]	0.999(.127)[.127]{.120}	0.996(.127)[.127]	0.995(.127)[.127]
		1	1.014(.191)[.191]	1.003(.192)[.192]{.194}	0.996(.192)[.192]	0.993(.192)[.192]
250	3	3	2.898(.134)[.169]	3.010(.177)[.177]{.166}	3.000(.146)[.146]	3.003(.154)[.154]
		1	1.005(.072)[.073]	0.996(.072)[.072]{.074}	0.995(.073)[.073]	0.995(.073)[.073]
		1	1.001(.122)[.122]	0.996(.121)[.121]{.119}	0.995(.121)[.121]	0.995(.121)[.121]
500	3	3	2.887(.101)[.152]	3.011(.136)[.137]{.135}	3.009(.115)[.115]	3.011(.121)[.120]
		1	1.003(.055)[.055]	1.000(.055)[.055]{.055}	0.999(.055)[.055]	0.999(.055)[.055]
		1	1.002(.089)[.089]	0.995(.089)[.089]{.088}	0.994(.089)[.089]	0.993(.089)[.089]
DGP 2: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$						
.5	100	3	3.374(.572)[.683]	3.104(.568)[.578]{.563}	3.136(.623)[.607]	3.092(.767)[.762]
		1	1.009(.122)[.122]	1.005(.122)[.122]{.115}	1.001(.122)[.122]	1.001(.121)[.121]
		1	0.995(.193)[.193]	0.988(.192)[.193]{.182}	0.982(.192)[.191]	0.983(.192)[.191]
250	3	3	3.229(.325)[.397]	3.030(.327)[.328]{.330}	3.045(.321)[.318]	3.021(.399)[.399]
		1	1.000(.073)[.073]	0.997(.073)[.073]{.072}	0.995(.074)[.073]	0.995(.074)[.074]
		1	1.013(.118)[.118]	1.006(.117)[.118]{.117}	1.004(.118)[.118]	1.005(.118)[.118]
500	3	3	3.200(.261)[.329]	3.003(.262)[.262]{.259}	3.013(.265)[.264]	3.005(.343)[.343]
		1	1.007(.054)[.055]	1.001(.054)[.054]{.055}	1.000(.054)[.054]	1.000(.054)[.054]
		1	1.006(.089)[.089]	1.001(.088)[.088]{.087}	1.000(.088)[.088]	1.000(.088)[.088]
-.5	100	3	2.907(.209)[.229]	3.002(.260)[.260]{.273}	2.992(.239)[.239]	2.994(.265)[.265]
		1	0.997(.125)[.125]	0.993(.124)[.124]{.119}	0.990(.125)[.124]	0.991(.124)[.124]
		1	1.016(.198)[.199]	1.003(.199)[.199]{.195}	0.997(.200)[.200]	0.998(.199)[.199]
250	3	3	2.892(.135)[.173]	3.000(.168)[.168]{.161}	2.995(.145)[.145]	2.996(.169)[.168]
		1	1.010(.075)[.076]	1.001(.075)[.075]{.072}	1.000(.076)[.076]	1.000(.076)[.076]
		1	0.996(.122)[.122]	0.991(.121)[.121]{.116}	0.989(.121)[.121]	0.990(.121)[.121]
500	3	3	2.875(.101)[.161]	2.997(.133)[.133]{.129}	2.994(.113)[.113]	2.991(.137)[.137]
		1	1.007(.056)[.057]	1.004(.056)[.056]{.055}	1.003(.056)[.056]	1.003(.056)[.056]
		1	1.010(.090)[.090]	1.002(.090)[.090]{.088}	1.001(.090)[.090]	1.001(.090)[.090]

**Table 6:** Empirical Mean(rmse)[sd]{ $\hat{sd}$ } of Estimators of  $\beta$  for SAR Model  
Case II of Inconsistent QMLEs: Group Interaction (REG-2)

$\lambda_0$	$n$	$\beta_0$	QMLE	MQMLE	RGMM	ORGMM
DGP 1: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$						
.5	100	3	3.493(.795)[.623]	3.146(.645)[.628]{.599}	3.207(.698)[.667]	3.196(.714)[.687]
		1	1.131(.253)[.217]	1.036(.221)[.218]{.205}	1.043(.237)[.233]	1.043(.239)[.235]
		1	1.096(.272)[.254]	1.015(.245)[.244]{.247}	1.019(.260)[.260]	1.019(.262)[.261]
250	3	3	3.239(.423)[.348]	3.041(.358)[.355]{.349}	3.074(.375)[.367]	3.054(.397)[.394]
		1	1.059(.160)[.149]	1.008(.149)[.149]{.142}	1.012(.151)[.151]	1.008(.155)[.155]
		1	1.058(.160)[.149]	1.007(.149)[.149]{.139}	1.011(.152)[.151]	1.008(.155)[.155]
500	3	3	3.173(.291)[.234]	3.017(.237)[.236]{.239}	3.038(.245)[.242]	3.027(.258)[.256]
		1	1.045(.101)[.090]	1.002(.090)[.090]{.091}	1.006(.091)[.091]	1.003(.093)[.093]
		1	1.045(.106)[.096]	1.004(.096)[.096]{.099}	1.008(.097)[.096]	1.005(.099)[.099]
-.5	100	3	3.070(.388)[.382]	3.075(.489)[.483]{.480}	3.104(.493)[.482]	3.097(.521)[.512]
		1	1.011(.168)[.168]	1.011(.183)[.182]{.202}	1.009(.190)[.190]	1.009(.194)[.194]
		1	1.019(.230)[.229]	1.020(.247)[.246]{.245}	1.016(.243)[.242]	1.015(.245)[.245]
250	3	3	2.938(.251)[.243]	3.015(.308)[.307]{.301}	3.033(.296)[.294]	3.025(.312)[.310]
		1	0.980(.129)[.127]	0.997(.135)[.135]{.134}	0.998(.136)[.136]	0.997(.139)[.139]
		1	0.982(.127)[.125]	1.000(.134)[.134]{.131}	1.001(.134)[.134]	1.001(.136)[.136]
500	3	3	2.918(.189)[.170]	3.013(.216)[.215]{.204}	3.023(.202)[.200]	3.017(.212)[.212]
		1	0.976(.082)[.078]	1.001(.087)[.087]{.083}	1.002(.083)[.083]	1.001(.085)[.085]
		1	0.976(.086)[.083]	1.000(.088)[.088]{.092}	1.001(.087)[.087]	0.999(.089)[.089]
DGP 2: $\beta_0 = (3, 1, 1)'$						
.5	100	3	3.397(.746)[.631]	3.057(.622)[.620]{.654}	3.088(.693)[.688]	3.027(.786)[.786]
		1	1.106(.239)[.214]	1.012(.213)[.213]{.198}	1.009(.234)[.234]	0.998(.255)[.255]
		1	1.084(.277)[.264]	1.003(.252)[.252]{.239}	0.999(.275)[.275]	0.989(.285)[.285]
250	3	3	3.211(.408)[.349]	3.006(.349)[.349]{.333}	3.036(.366)[.364]	2.979(.450)[.449]
		1	1.045(.152)[.146]	0.993(.145)[.144]{.141}	0.996(.148)[.148]	0.984(.165)[.165]
		1	1.046(.153)[.145]	0.993(.144)[.144]{.138}	0.997(.148)[.148]	0.984(.163)[.162]
500	3	3	3.172(.287)[.229]	3.016(.230)[.230]{.235}	3.036(.238)[.235]	3.005(.303)[.303]
		1	1.049(.102)[.090]	1.005(.090)[.090]{.091}	1.009(.091)[.091]	1.001(.105)[.105]
		1	1.046(.110)[.100]	1.005(.101)[.101]{.099}	1.008(.101)[.101]	1.001(.112)[.112]
-.5	100	3	3.055(.397)[.394]	3.073(.520)[.515]{.508}	3.096(.508)[.499]	3.031(.598)[.597]
		1	1.016(.174)[.173]	1.020(.197)[.196]{.218}	1.019(.197)[.196]	1.004(.214)[.214]
		1	1.004(.225)[.225]	1.009(.246)[.246]{.260}	1.001(.241)[.241]	0.991(.248)[.248]
250	3	3	2.939(.247)[.239]	3.018(.301)[.300]{.392}	3.031(.286)[.284]	2.992(.357)[.357]
		1	0.986(.128)[.127]	1.006(.136)[.136]{.133}	1.005(.137)[.137]	0.997(.149)[.148]
		1	0.986(.123)[.122]	1.005(.132)[.131]{.130}	1.006(.130)[.130]	0.997(.140)[.140]
500	3	3	2.912(.195)[.174]	3.003(.216)[.216]{.200}	3.015(.206)[.205]	2.993(.253)[.253]
		1	0.976(.081)[.078]	1.000(.085)[.085]{.083}	1.002(.083)[.083]	0.996(.091)[.091]
		1	0.982(.090)[.088]	1.005(.093)[.093]{.092}	1.007(.094)[.093]	1.002(.100)[.100]